## Matching and Recognition in 3D

Based on slides by Tom Funkhouser and Misha Kazhdan

## From 2D to 3D: Some Things Easier

No occlusion (but sometimes missing data instead) Segmenting objects often simpler

## From 2D to 3D: Many Things Harder

Rigid transform has 6 degrees of freedom vs. 3

- Brute-force algorithms much less practical

Rotations do not commute

- Difficult to parameterize, search over

No natural parameterization for surfaces in 3D

- Hard to do FFT, convolution, PCA
- Exception: range images (which are view dependent)


## Shape Matching Challenge

Need shape descriptor \& matching method that is:

- Concise to store
- Quick to compute
- Efficient to match
- Discriminating
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- Invariant to deformations
- Insensitive to noise
> Insensitive to topology
- Robust to degeneracies


Different Tessellations

## Shape Matching Challenge

Need shape descriptor \& matching method that is:

- Concise to store
- Quick to compute
- Efficient to match
- Discriminating


No Bottom!
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## Taxonomy of 3D Matching Methiodso

Structural representations

- Skeletons
- Part-based methods
- Feature-based methods

Statistical representations

- Attribute feature vectors
- Volumetric methods
- Surface-based methods
- View-based methods



## Features on Surfaces

Can construct edge and corner detectors

Analogue of $1^{\text {st }}$ derivative: surface normal
Analogue of $2^{\text {nd }}$ derivative: curvature

- Curvature at each point in each direction
- Minimum and maximum: "principal curvatures"
- Can threshold or do nonmaximum suppression



## Using Curvatures for Recognition/Matching

Curvature histograms: compute $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ throughout surface, create 2D histograms

Invariant to translation, rotation

Alternative: use $\kappa_{2} / \kappa_{1}-$ also invariant to scale

- Shape index: $S=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}} \in[0 . .1]$

Curvatures sensitive to noise (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ derivative...), so sometimes just use sign of curvatures

## Using Curvatures for Segmentation

Sharp creases in surface (i.e., where $\left|\kappa_{1}\right|$ is large) tend to be good places to segment

Option \#1: look for maxima of curvature in the first principal direction

- Much like Canny edge detection
- Nonmaximum suppression, hysteresis thresholding

Option \#2: optimize for both high curvature and smoothness using graph cuts, snakes, etc.

## Taxonomy of 3D Matching Methods.

## Structural representations

- Skeletons
- Part-based methods
- Feature-based methods

Statistical representations

- Attribute feature vectors
- Volumetric methods
- Surface-based methods
- View-based methods


Feature 2

## Example

Shape distributions

- Shape representation: probability distributions
- Distance measure: difference between distributions
- Evaluation method: classification performance


## Shape Distributions

Key idea: map 3D surfaces to common parameterization by randomly sampling shape function


## Which Shape Function?

Implementation: simple shape functions based on angles, distances, areas, and volumes


## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

- Concise to store?
- Quick to compute?
- Invariant to transforms?
- Efficient to match?
- Insensitive to noise?
- Insensitive to topology?
- Robust to degeneracies?
- Invariant to deformations?
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512 bytes (64 values)
0.5 seconds ( $10^{6}$ samples)

## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

$\checkmark$ Concise to store
$\checkmark$ Quick to compute
$>$ Invariant to transforms?

- Efficient to match?
- Insensitive to noise?
- Insensitive to topology?
- Robust to degeneracies?
- Invariant to deformations?
- Discriminating?


Normalized Means

## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

$\checkmark$ Concise to store
$\checkmark$ Quick to compute
$\checkmark$ Invariant to transforms
> Efficient to match?

- Insensitive to noise?
- Insensitive to topology?
- Robust to degeneracies?
- Invariant to deformations?

- Discriminating?


## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

$\checkmark$ Concise to store
$\checkmark$ Quick to compute
$\checkmark$ Invariant to transforms
$\checkmark$ Efficient to match
$>$ Insensitive to noise?
$>$ Insensitive to topology?
$>$ Robust to degeneracies?

- Invariant to deformations?
- Discriminating?



## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

$\checkmark$ Concise to store
$\checkmark$ Quick to compute
$\checkmark$ Invariant to transforms
$\checkmark$ Efficient to match
$\checkmark$ Insensitive to noise
$\checkmark$ Insensitive to topology
$\checkmark$ Robust to degeneracies
$>$ Invariant to deformations?

- Discriminating?


## D2 Shape Distribution

## Properties

$\checkmark$ Concise to store
$\checkmark$ Quick to compute
$\checkmark$ Invariant to transforms
$\checkmark$ Efficient to match
$\checkmark$ Insensitive to noise
$\checkmark$ Insensitive to topology
$\checkmark$ Robust to degeneracies
※ Invariant to deformations
> Discriminating?


## D2 Shape Distribution Results

Question

- How discriminating are D2 shape distributions?
Test database
- 133 polygonal models
- 25 classes



## D2 Shape Distribution Results

D2 distributions are different across classes
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## D2 Shape Distribution Results

## But ... are D2 distributions discriminating?



D2 shape distributions for 15 classes of objects

## D2 Shape Distribution Results



D2 distributions for 5 tanks (gray) and 6 cars (black)

## Evaluation Methods

For each model (the query):

- Compute match score for all models
- Rank matches from best to worst
- Measure how often models in same class as query appear near top of ranked list



Ranked Matches

## Evaluation Methods

Precision-recall curves

- Precision = retrieved_in_class / total_retrieved
- Recall = retrieved_in_class / total_in_class



## Evaluation Methods

Precision-recall curve example

- Precision $=0 / 0$
- Recall $=0 / 5$
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Precision-recall curve example

- Precision = 1 / 1
- Recall = 1 / 5
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Precision-recall curve example

- Precision = 3 / 5
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## Evaluation Methods

Precision-recall curve example

- Precision = 4 / 7
- Recall = 4 / 5



Ranked Matches

## Evaluation Methods

Precision-recall curve example

- Precision $=5 / 9$
- Recall = 5 / 5



Ranked Matches

## Evaluation Methods



## Extended Gaussian Image

Represent a model by a spherical function by binning surface normals


## Extended Gaussian Image

## Properties:

- Invertible for convex shapes
- Can be defined for most models
- 2D array of information
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3D Model
EGI


## Extended Gaussian Image

## Properties:

- Invertible for convex shapes
- Can be defined for most models
- 2D array of information


## Limitations:

- In general, shapes are not convex
- Normals are sensitive to noise


Initial Model


Noisy Model

## Retrieval Results

## Princeton Shape Benchmark



51 potted plants


33 faces


15 desk chairs


14 flying birds


22 dining chairs


100 humans


28 biplanes


11 ships

## Retrieval Results



## Shape Histograms

Shape descriptor stores a histogram of how much surface resides at different bins in space


## Boundary Voxel Representation

Represent a model as the (anti-aliased) rasterization of its surface into a regular grid:

- A voxel has value 1 (or area of intersection) if it intersects the boundary
- A voxel has value 0 if it doesn't intersect



## Boundary Voxel Representation

## Properties:

- Can be defined for any model
- Invertible
- 3D array of information


Shape Spectrum

## Boundary Voxel Representation

## Properties:

- Can be defined for any model
- Invertible
- 3D array of information


## Limitations:

- Difficult to match

If the resolution is too high:
most voxels miss
If the resolution is too low:
representation is too coarse


## Retrieval Results



## Histogram Representations

Challenge:

- If shape properties are mapped to nearby bins, they will not be compared
Solutions:
- Match across adjacent bins:

Earth Mover's Distance

- Low-pass filter:

Convolution with a Gaussian


## Earth Mover's distance

Match by computing the minimal amount of work needed to transform one distribution into the other

Computing the distance:

- For 1D histograms can use the CDF to compare efficiently
- In general, need to solve the transportation problem which is inefficient for large numbers of bins


## Convolving with a Gaussian

The value at a point is obtained by summing Gaussians distributed over the surface of the model
$\checkmark$ Distributes the surface into adjacent bins
x Blurs the model, loses high frequency information


Surface


Gaussian


Gaussian
convolved surface

## Gaussian EDT

The value at a point is Gaussian applied to distance to closest point on the surface
$\checkmark$ Distributes the surface into adjacent bins
$\checkmark$ Maintains high-frequency information


Surface


Gaussian


Gaussian EDT

## Gaussian EDT

## Properties:

- Can be defined for any model
- Invertible
- 3D array of information
- Difference measures proximity between surfaces


Shape Spectrum

## Retrieval Results



## Handling Transformations

Key difficulty:
locating objects under any rigid-body transformation

Approaches:

- Exhaustive search: try all possibilities
- Invariance: use descriptors that do not change under transformations
- Normalization: align objects to canonical coordinate frame


## Exhaustive Search

Search for the best aligning transformation:

- Compare at all alignments
- Match at the alignment for which models are closest



Exhaustive search for optimal rotation

## Exhaustive Search

Search for the best aligning transformation:

- Compare at all alignments
- Match at the alignment for which models are closest



## Exhaustive Search

Search for the best aligning transformation:

- Use signal processing for efficient correlation
- Represent model at many different transformations

Search for the best aligning transformation:

- Gives the correct answer
- Is hard to do efficiently


## Invariance

Represent a model with information that is independent of the transformation

- Power spectrum representation

Fourier Transform for translation and 2D rotations
Spherical Harmonic Transform for 3D rotations


Circular Power Spectrum


Spherical Power Spectrum

## Circular Power Spectrum
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## Circular Power Spectrum



Circular Function


Cosine/Sine Decomposition

## Circular Power Spectrum



Frequency Decomposition
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Circular Function


Constant

$1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Order
Frequency Decomposition

## Circular Power Spectrum



## Spherical Power Spectrum

Represent each spherical function as a sum of harmonic frequencies (orders)


Harmonic Decomposition

## Spherical Power Spectrum

Represent each spherical function as a sum of harmonic frequencies (orders)

$\qquad$ $3^{\text {rd }}$ Order


## Spherical Power Spectrum

Store "how much" ( $\mathrm{L}_{2}$-norm) of the shape resides at each frequency to get rotation invariant representation


## Invariant to transforms?

- Frequency subspaces are fixed by rotations:
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## Invariant to transforms?
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## Power Spectrum

Translation-invariance:

- Represent the model in a Cartesian coordinate system
- Compute the 3D Fourier transform
- Store the amplitudes of the frequency components


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Cartesian Coordinates } \\
f(x, y, z)=\sum_{l, m, n} f_{l, m, n} e^{i(l x+m y+z n)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left\{\left\|f_{l, m, n}\right\|\right\}_{l, m, n}
$$

Translation Invariant Representation

## Power Spectrum

Single axis rotation-invariance:

- Represent the model in a cylindrical coordinate system
- Compute the Fourier transform in the angular direction
- Store the amplitudes of the frequency components


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Cylindrical Coordinates } \\
f(r, h, \theta)=\sum_{k} f_{k}(r, h) e^{i(k \theta)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Rotation Invariant Representation

## Power Spectrum

Full rotation-invariance:

- Represent the model in a spherical coordinate system
- Compute the spherical harmonic transform
- Store the amplitudes of the frequency components


$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad f(r, \theta, \phi)=\sum_{l}^{\text {Spherical Coordinates }} \sum_{|m| \leq l} f_{l, m}(r) Y_{l}^{m}(\theta, \phi) \\
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.\sqrt{\sum_{|m| \leq l}\left\|f_{l}^{m}(r)\right\|^{2}}\right\}_{l} \\
\text { Rotation Invariant } \\
\text { Representation }
\end{array}\right. \\
\end{gathered}
$$

## Power Spectrum

Power spectrum representations

- Are invariant to transformations
- Give a lower bound for the best match
- Tend to discard too much information

Translation invariant:
$\mathrm{n}^{3}$ data -> $\mathrm{n}^{3} / 2$ data
Single-axis rotation invariant: $n^{3}$ data $->n^{3} / 2$ data
Full rotation invariant: $\quad n^{3}$ data $->n^{2}$ data

## Normalization

Place a model into a canonical coordinate frame by normalizing for:

- translation
- scale
- rotation



## Alignment of Point Sets

Given two point sets $\mathrm{P}=\left\{\mathrm{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Q}=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{q}_{n}\right\}$, what is the transformation $T$ minimizing the sum of squared distances:


## Alignment of Point Sets

## Translation

- Align the models so that their center of mass is at the origin.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=0
$$



## Alignment of Point Sets

## Scale

- Align the models so that their mean variance is 1.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|p_{i}\right\|^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|q_{i}\right\|^{2}=1
$$



Scaled point set $P$
Scaled point set $Q$

## Alignment of Point Sets

[Horn et al., 1988]

## Rotation

- SVD on cross covariance matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left(p_{1}|\ldots| p_{n}\right) \cdot\left(q_{1}|\ldots| q_{n}\right)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rotationally aligned point sets } P \text { and } Q
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalization

## Place a model into a canonical coordinate frame:

- Translation: center of mass

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=0
$$

Can be done on a per-model basis

- Scale: mean variance

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|p_{i}\right\|^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|q_{i}\right\|^{2}=1
$$

Can be done on a per-model basis

- Rotation: SVD on cross covariance matrix

$$
M=\left(p_{1}|\ldots| p_{n}\right) \cdot\left(q_{1}|\ldots| q_{n}\right)^{x}
$$

Need to know the correspondences between models

## Rotation

Challenge:

- We want to normalize for rotation on a per-model basis

Solution:

- Align the model so that the principal axes align with the coordinate axes



## Rotation

Challenge:

- We want to normalize for rotation on a per-model basis

Solution:

- Align the model so that the principal axes align with the coordinate axes


Directions of the axes are ambiguous

## Normalization (PCA)

PCA defines a coordinate frame up to reflection in the coordinate axes.

- Make descriptor invariant to the eight reflections

Reflections fix the cosine term
Reflections multiply the sine term by -1


$$
f(\theta)=\sum_{k} a_{k} \cos (k \theta)+b_{k} \sin (k \theta)
$$

$$
\left\{a_{k},\left|b_{k}\right|\right\}_{k}
$$

Translation Invariant Representation

## Problem with PCA-Based Alignment

If singular values are close, axes unstable


## Retrieval Results (Rotation)

Size:

| Method | Floats |
| :--- | ---: |
| Exhaustive Search | 8192 |
| PCA + Flip Invariance | 8192 |
| PCA | 8192 |
| Cylindrical PS | 4352 |
| Spherical PS | 512 |

Time:

| Method | Secs. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Exhaustive Search | 20.59 |
| PCA + Flip Invariance | .67 |
| PCA | .67 |
| Cylindrical PS | .32 |
| Spherical PS | .03 |

