

# Robust Linear Regression via Least Squares

Yuanhao Wang

February 9, 2021

## 1 Setting

Consider a an uncorrupted i.i.d. dataset  $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i^*)\}_{i \in [n]} \sim \mathcal{D}$  such that  $y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i^\top \theta^* + \xi_i$ , where  $\xi_i$  is mean-zero and 1-subgaussian. Assume that the adversary corrupts  $m = \epsilon n$  of the labels  $y_i^*$  and the algorithm observes corrupted labels  $\{y_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ . In other words, there exists  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|\mathbf{b}\|_0 \leq m$ ,  $\|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty \leq 1$  such that

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^\top \theta^* + \xi_i + b_i.$$

We make the following additional assumption on the distribution on  $\mathbf{x}$ :

**Assumption 1** ( $(C, 4)$ -hypercontractivity).  $\exists C > 0: \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}}[(\mathbf{x}^\top v)^4] \leq C \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}}[(\mathbf{x}^\top v)^2])^2.$$

Note that this property is invariant under arbitrary linear transformation and is satisfied by any Gaussian distribution [1]. For a  $(C, 4)$ -hypercontractive distribution, we have the following facts.

**Fact 1** (Fact 3.4 [1]). Define  $\epsilon_1 := \frac{Cd^2}{\sqrt{n\delta}}$  and  $\Sigma := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{D}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top$ . With probability  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$(1 - \epsilon_1)\Sigma \preceq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \preceq (1 + \epsilon_1)\Sigma.$$

**Fact 2.** If the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(C, 4)$ -hypercontractive and isotropic (i.e.  $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top = \mathbf{I}$ ), then

$$\Pr[\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 > t] \leq \frac{C \cdot \text{poly}(d)}{t^4}.$$

*Proof.* Consider a random  $v \sim N(0, \mathbf{I})$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_v(\mathbf{x}^\top v)^4 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^4 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim N(0,1)} \xi^4 = \Theta(1) \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|^4.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\|\mathbf{x}\|^4] &\leq \Theta(1) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, v}(\mathbf{x}^\top v)^4 \leq C\Theta(1) \cdot \mathbb{E}_v(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^\top v)^2)^2 \\ &\leq C \cdot \Theta(1) \cdot \mathbb{E}_v\|v\|^4 = C \cdot \text{poly}(d). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from Markov's inequality.  $\square$

**Fact 3.** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $(C, 4)$ -hypercontractive and isotropic,  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $\mathcal{D}$ . Denote  $\sigma(\cdot)$  to be the decreasing order of  $\|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2$ . Then with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(i)}\|_2 \leq \delta^{-1/4} n^{1/4} m^{3/4} \text{poly}(C, d).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $k \in [m]$ . Set  $t = \alpha \left(\frac{Cn}{k}\right)^{1/4} \text{poly}(d)$ . By Fact 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\|\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(k)}\|_2 > t] &\leq \binom{n}{k} \Pr[\|\mathbf{x}\| > t]^k \\ &\leq \binom{n}{k} \cdot \left(\frac{C \cdot \text{poly}(d)}{t^4}\right)^k \\ &\leq \frac{n^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{k^k}{\alpha^{4k} n^k} \leq \left(\frac{e}{\alpha^4}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\alpha = \Omega(\delta^{-1/4})$  gives  $\Pr[\|\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(k)}\|_2 > t] \leq \delta/k^2$ . Thus, by a union bound, with probability  $1 - (\pi^2/6)\delta$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(i)}\|_2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \delta^{-1/4} \left(\frac{Cn}{k}\right)^{1/4} \text{poly}(d) \leq \delta^{-1/4} n^{1/4} m^{3/4} \text{poly}(C, d).$$

□

## 2 Why Least Square Works

We now show that the ordinary least square estimator achieves robustness against adversarial corruption. Define

$$\hat{\theta} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i y_i.$$

Define  $\hat{\Sigma} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} &= \frac{1}{n} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \theta^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot b_i \right) \\ &= \theta^* + \frac{1}{n} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot (\xi_i + b_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma} \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbf{x}_i \xi_i \right\|_{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{n} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbf{x}_i b_i \right\|_{\Sigma}.$$

The first term is known to be  $O\left(\frac{d^2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  with high probability. It remains to bound the second term. Define  $\mathbf{z}_i := \Sigma^{-1/2}\mathbf{x}_i$  to be the whitened inputs. By Definition 1, the distribution of  $\mathbf{z}_i$  is also  $(C, 4)$ -hypercontractive. Also,  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i^\top = \mathbf{I}$ . Thus Fact 3 applies. By Fact 3, with probability  $1 - \delta$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|_2 \cdot I[b_i \neq 0] \leq \delta^{-1/4} n^{1/4} m^{3/4} \text{poly}(C, d).$$

It follows that with probability  $1 - \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i b_i \right\|_{\Sigma} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Sigma^{1/2} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i b_i\|_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Sigma^{1/2} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{z}_i b_i\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\Sigma^{1/2} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \Sigma^{1/2}\|_2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|_2 \cdot I[b_i \neq 0] \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{Cd^2}{\sqrt{n\delta}}\right) \cdot \delta^{-1/4} n^{1/4} m^{3/4} \text{poly}(C, d) \\ &= n\epsilon^{0.75} \cdot \text{poly}(C, d, 1/\delta). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$\|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma} \leq O\left(\frac{d^2 \ln(1/\delta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + O(\epsilon^{0.75} \text{poly}(C, d, 1/\delta)).$$

## References

- [1] Ainesh Bakshi and Adarsh Prasad. Robust linear regression: Optimal rates in polynomial time. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.01394*, 2020.