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Problem

• What is weakest failure detector to solve consensus/atomic broadcast in asynchronous system

• Assumptions:
  • Crash failures
  • Majority of processes are correct
  • Message delays are finite
Problem

- **Required paper:**
  Define properties of various failure detectors and propose algorithms to solve Consensus/Atomic Broadcast in asynchronous system assuming reliable broadcast, failures are crash failure and majority of processes are correct.

- **Supplemental paper:**
  Weakest failure detector:
  1. Eventually, some correct process always suspect all failed ones
  2. Eventually, some correct process always trusted by all correct ones.
  Why not
  1. Eventually, some correct process always suspect all some failed ones
  2. Eventually, some correct process always trusted by all some correct ones.
Failure Detector

- Required paper:
- 8 classes of failure detector -> reduce to 4 class
- Conclusion:
- We can use weak completeness, eventual weak accuracy to solve consensus Atomic broadcast in asynchronous environment with n > 2f (crash failure).
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**FIG. 1.** Eight classes of failure detectors defined in terms of accuracy and completeness.
Key techniques

• Proof:
Relax the problem to Eventually all correct process trusts the same process. If any failure detector can solve Consensus is at least as strong as this one, then it is indeed the weakest failure detector.

• Idea:
1. run Consensus n times with each time i; [0, i] propose 1, (i,n] propose 0
2. Exchange failure information and form a DAG
3.1. root of run i is 1-valent, root of i-1 is 0-valent, pi must be correct
3.2. root of run i is {0,1}, the correct process will be found
Key techniques

Distributed algorithm to select a correct process

• Communication:
1. receive Y from others and merge it into its own Y
2. query its own failure detector and add it to Y
3. sends the new Y to all other processes

• Computation:
1. tag the vertex such that its descendants has a correct process decides 0/1.
2. return the correct process described in the previous slide
Key findings

• W is indeed the weakest failure detector.
• However, with completely async system, it is impossible to implement it. 😞

• With some cases of partial synchrony, we can implement “perfect” failure detector!
• One failure detector can solve consensus in multiple partial synchrony models
Take Away

• Weakest failure detector
  1. Eventually, some correct processes always suspects all faulty ones
  2. Eventually, all correct processes always trusts some correct ones

• Reducibility between failure detectors.
• More practical, Implement failure detector in Partial Synchrony Models.