

Lecture slides by Kevin Wayne Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~wayne/kleinberg-tardos

INTRACTABILITY III

- ▶ special cases: trees
- special cases: planarity
- approximation algorithms: vertex cover
- approximation algorithms: knapsack
- exponential algorithms: 3-SAT
- exponential algorithms: TSP

INTRACTABILITY III

special cases: trees

▶ special cases: planarity

▶ exponential algorithms: 3-SAT

▶ exponential algorithms: TSP

approximation algorithms: vertex cover
approximation algorithms: knapsack

Last updated on 5/5/18 5:15 AM

Algorithm Design Jon Kleinberg - Éva tardos

SECTION 10.2

Coping with NP-completeness

- Q. Suppose I need to solve an NP-hard problem. What should I do?
- A. Sacrifice one of three desired features.
- i. Solve arbitrary instances of the problem.
- ii. Solve problem to optimality.
- iii. Solve problem in polynomial time.

Coping strategies.

- i. Design algorithms for special cases of the problem.
- ii. Design approximation algorithms or heuristics.iii. Design algorithms that may take exponential time.
- using greedy, dynamic programming, divide-and-conquer, and network flow algorithms!



Independent set on trees

Independent set on trees. Given a tree, find a max-cardinality subset of nodes such that no two are adjacent.

Fact. A tree has at least one node that is a leaf (degree = 1).

Key observation. If node v is a leaf, there exists a max-cardinality independent set containing v.

- **Pf.** [exchange argument]
 - Consider a max-cardinality independent set S.
 - If $v \in S$, we're done.
 - Otherwise, let (*u*, *v*) denote the lone edge incident to *v*.
 - if $u \notin S$ and $v \notin S$, then $S \cup \{v\}$ is independent $\Rightarrow S$ not maximum
 - if $u \in S$ and $v \notin S$, then $S \cup \{v\} \{u\}$ is independent

Independent set on trees: greedy algorithm

Theorem. The greedy algorithm finds a max-cardinality independent set in forests (and hence trees).

Pf. Correctness follows from the previous key observation. •

```
  INDEPENDENT-SET-IN-A-FOREST(F)

  S \leftarrow \emptyset.

  WHILE (F has at least 1 edge)

  Let v be a leaf node and let (u, v) be the lone edge incident to v.

  S \leftarrow S \cup \{v\}.

  F \leftarrow F - \{u, v\}.

  F \leftarrow F - \{u, v\}.

  RETURN S \cup \{ nodes remaining in F \}.
```

Remark. Can implement in O(n) time by maintaining nodes of degree 1.

Weighted independent set on trees

Weighted independent set on trees. Given a tree and node weights $w_v \ge 0$, find an independent set *S* that maximizes $\sum_{v \in S} w_v$.

Greedy algorithm can fail spectacularly.



How might the greedy algorithm fail if the graph is not a tree/forest?

- A. Might get stuck.
- B. Might take exponential time.
- C. Might produce a suboptimal independent set.
- **D.** Any of the above.

Weighted independent set on trees

Weighted independent set on trees. Given a tree and node weights $w_v \ge 0$, find an independent set *S* that maximizes $\sum_{v \in S} w_v$.

Dynamic-programming solution. Root tree at some node, say *r*.

- $OPT_{in}(u) = max$ -weight IS in subtree rooted at u, containing u.
- $OPT_{out}(u) = max$ -weight IS in subtree rooted at u, not containing u.
- Goal: max { $OPT_{in}(r)$, $OPT_{out}(r)$ }.



D Intractability III: quiz 2 In which order to solve the subproblems? in a tree in O(n) time. Preorder. Α. W Postorder. Β. Ro Level order. С. S **D.** Any of the above. Fo Rı

NP-hard problems on trees: context

Independent set on trees. Tractable because we can find a node that breaks the communication among the subproblems in different subtrees.





SECTION 23.1

Weighted independent set on trees: dynamic-programming algorithm

Theorem. The DP algorithm computes max weight of an independent set can also find independent set itself (not just value)

oot the tree T at any node	<i>r</i> .
← Ø.	
OREACH (node u of T in p	ostorder/topological order)
IF (u is a leaf node)	Υ.
$M_{in}[u] = w_u.$	ensures a node is processed after all of its descendants
$M_{out}[u] = 0.$	
Else	
$M_{in}[u] = w_u + \Sigma_{v \in chi}$	$Idren(u) M_{out}[v].$
$M_{out}[u] = \Sigma_v \in children(u)$	$max \{ M_{in}[v], M_{out}[v] \}.$
ETURN max { $M_{in}[r]$, M_o	[r] }

INTRACTABILITY III

- ▶ special cases: trees
- special cases: planarity
- approximation algorithms: vertex cover
- approximation algorithms: knapsack
- ▶ exponential algorithms: 3-SAT
- ▶ exponential algorithms: TSP

Planarity

Def. A graph is planar if it can be embedded in the plane in such a way that no two edges cross.







K₅ is nonplanar

K_{3,3} is nonplanar

Planarity testing

Theorem. [Hopcroft–Tarjan 1974] There exists an O(n) time algorithm to determine whether a graph is planar. simple planar graph



Efficient Planarity Testing

JOHN HOPCROFT AND ROBERT TARJAN Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

ABSTRACT. This paper describes an efficient algorithm to determine whether an arbitrary graph G can be embedded in the plane. The algorithm may be viewed as an iterative version of a method originally proposed by Auslander and Parter and correctly formulated by Goldstein. The algorithm uses depth-first search and has O(V) time and space bounds, where V is the number of vertices in G. An ALGOL implementation of the algorithm successfully tested graphs with as many as 900 vertices in less than 12 seconds.

Applications. VLSI circuit design, computer graphics, ...

13

Problems on planar graphs

Fact 0. Many graph problems can be solved faster in planar graphs. Ex. Shortest paths, max flow, MST, matchings, ...

Fact 1. Some NP-complete problems become tractable in planar graphs. Ex. MAX-CUT, ISING, CLIQUE, GRAPH-ISOMORPHISM, 4-COLOR, ...

Fact 2. Other NP-complete problems become easier in planar graphs. Ex. INDEPENDENT-SET, VERTEX-COVER, TSP, STEINER-TREE, ...

An $O(n \log n)$ Algorithm for Maximum st-Flow in a Directed Planar Graph

SIAM J. COMPUT. Vol. 9, No. 3, August 1980

GLENCORA BORRADAILE AND PHILIP KLEIN

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island

Abstract. We give the first correct $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding a maximum st-flow in a directed planar graph. After a preprocessing step that consists in finding single-source shortest-path distances in the dual, the algorithm consists of repeatedly saturating the leftmost residual s-to-r path.

© 1980 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 0097-5397/80/0903-0013 \$01.00/0

APPLICATIONS OF A PLANAR SEPARATOR THEOREM* RICHARD J. LIPTON† AND ROBERT ENDRE TARJAN‡

Abstract. Any n-vertex planar graph has the property that it can be divided into components of roughl equal size by removing only $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices. This separator theorem, in combination with a divide-and conquer strategy, leads to many new complexity results for planar graph problems. This paper describe

Planar graph 3-colorability

PLANAR-3-COLOR. Given a planar graph, can it be colored using 3 colors so that no two adjacent nodes have the same color?



Planar map 3-colorability

PLANAR-MAP-3-COLOR. Given a planar map, can it be colored using 3 colors so that no two adjacent regions have the same color?



Planar graph and map 3-colorability reduce to one another

Theorem. PLANAR-3-COLOR $\equiv P$ PLANAR-MAP-3-COLOR. Pf sketch.

- Nodes correspond to regions.
- Two nodes are adjacent iff they share a nontrivial border.



Planar map 3-colorability

PLANAR-MAP-3-COLOR. Given a planar map, can it be colored using 3 colors so that no two adjacent regions have the same color?



Planar 3-colorability is NP-complete

Theorem. PLANAR-3-COLOR \in **NP**-complete.

Pf.

- Easy to see that PLANAR-3-COLOR \in **NP**.
- We show 3-COLOR \leq_P PLANAR-3-COLOR.
- Given 3-COLOR instance *G*, we construct an instance of PLANAR-3-COLOR that is 3-colorable iff *G* is 3-colorable.

Planar 3-colorability is NP-complete

Lemma. *W* is a planar graph such that:

- In any 3-coloring of *W*, opposite corners have the same color.
- Any assignment of colors to the corners in which opposite corners have the same color extends to a 3-coloring of *W*.

Planar 3-colorability is NP-complete

Lemma. *W* is a planar graph such that:

- In any 3-coloring of *W*, opposite corners have the same color.
- Any assignment of colors to the corners in which opposite corners have the same color extends to a 3-coloring of *W*.
- Pf. The only 3-colorings (modulo permutations) of *W* are shown below.





Planar 3-colorability is NP-complete

Construction. Given instance G of 3-COLOR, draw G in plane, letting edges cross. Form planar G' by replacing each edge crossing with planar gadget W.

Lemma. *G* is 3-colorable iff *G*′ is 3-colorable.

- In any 3-coloring of *W*, $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$.
- If $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$ then can extend to a 3-coloring of *W*.



Planar 3-colorability is NP-complete

21

Construction. Given instance *G* of 3-COLOR, draw *G* in plane, letting edges cross. Form planar *G'* by replacing each edge crossing with planar gadget *W*.

Lemma. *G* is 3-colorable iff *G*′ is 3-colorable.

- In any 3-coloring of *W*, $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$.
- If $a \neq a'$ and $b \neq b'$ then can extend to a 3-coloring of *W*.



multiple crossings

concatenate copies of gadget W

Planar map k-colorability

Theorem. [Appel-Haken 1976] Every planar map is 4-colorable.

- Resolved century-old open problem.
- Used 50 days of computer time to deal with many special cases.
- First major theorem to be proved using computer.



Remarks.

- Appel–Haken yields $O(n^4)$ algorithm to 4-color of a planar map.
- Best known: $O(n^2)$ to 4-color; O(n) to 5-color.
- Determining whether 3 colors suffice is NP-complete.

25

Poly-time special cases of NP-hard problems

Trees. VERTEX-COVER, INDEPENDENT-SET, LONGEST-PATH, GRAPH-ISOMORPHISM, ... Bipartite graphs. VERTEX-COVER, INDEPENDENT-SET, 3-COLOR, EDGE-COLOR, ... Planar graphs. MAX-CUT, ISING, CLIQUE, GRAPH-ISOMORPHISM, 4-COLOR, ... Bounded treewidth. HAM-CYCLE, INDEPENDENT-SET, GRAPH-ISOMORPHISM, ... Small integers. SUBSET-SUM, KNAPSACK, PARTITION, ...









Beyond planarity

Graph minor theorem. [Robertson–Seymour 1983–2004] Pf of theorem. Tour de force.

Corollary. There exist an $O(n^3)$ algorithm to determine if a graph can be embedded in the torus in such a way that no two edges cross.

Mind boggling fact 1. The proof is highly nonconstructive! \swarrow more than $2\uparrow 2\uparrow 2\uparrow (n/2)$ Mind boggling fact 2. The constant of proportionality is enormous!

"Unfortunately, for any instance G = (V, E) that one could fit into the known universe, one would easily prefer n^{70} to even constant time, if that constant had to be one of Robertson and Seymour's." — David Johnson

Theorem. There exists an explicit O(n) algorithm. Practice. LEDA implementation guarantees $O(n^3)$.



SECTION 11.8

INTRACTABILITY III

- special cases: trees
- ▶ special cases: planarity

• approximation algorithms: vertex cover

- approximation algorithms: knapsack
- ▶ exponential algorithms: 3-SAT
- exponential algorithms: TSP

tree

bipartite

planar

bounded treewidth

Approximation algorithms

ρ -approximation algorithm.

- Runs in polynomial time.
- Applies to arbitrary instances of the problem.
- Guaranteed to find a solution within ratio ρ of true optimum.

Ex. Given a graph *G*, can find a vertex cover that uses $\leq 2 OPT(G)$ vertices in O(m + n) time.

Challenge. Need to prove a solution's value is close to optimum value, without even knowing what optimum value is!



Vertex cover: greedy algorithm

VERTEX-COVER. Given a graph G = (V, E), find a min-size vertex cover.



Vertex cover



Intractability III: quiz 3

Given a graph G, let M be any matching and let S be any vertex cover. Which of the following must be true?

- $|M| \le |S|$
- $|S| \leq |M|$
- **C.** |S| = |M|
- **D.** None of the above.

Vertex cover: greedy algorithm is a 2-approximation algorithm

Theorem. Let S^* be a minimum vertex cover. Then, greedy algorithm computes a vertex cover S with $|S| \le 2 |S^*|$. \longleftarrow 2-approximation algorithm Pf.

- S is a vertex cover. ← delete edge only after it's already covered
- *M* is a matching. \leftarrow when (u, v) added to *M*, all edges incident to either *u* or *v* are deleted
- $|S| = 2 |M| \le 2 |S^*|$. design weak duality

Corollary. Let M^* be a maximum matching. Then, greedy algorithm computes a matching M with $|M| \ge \frac{1}{2} |M^*|$.

 $\mathsf{Pf.} |M| = \frac{1}{2} |S| \ge \frac{1}{2} |M^*|. \bullet$

weak duality

Vertex cover inapproximability

Theorem. [Dinur–Safra 2004] If $P \neq NP$, then no ρ -approximation for VERTEX-COVER for any $\rho < 1.3606$.

On the Hardness of Approximating Minimum Vertex Cover

Irit Dinur^{*} Samuel Safra[†]

May 26, 2004



Abstract

We prove the Minimum Vertex Cover problem to be NP-hard to approximate to within a factor of 1.3606, extending on previous PCP and hardness of approximation technique. To that end, one needs to develop a new proof framework, and borrow and extend ideas from several fields.

Open research problem. Close the gap. Conjecture. no ρ -approximation for VERTEX-COVER for any $\rho < 2$.



INTRACTABILITY III

- ▶ special cases: trees
- ▶ special cases: planarity
- approximation algorithms: vertex cover
- approximation algorithms: knapsack
- ▶ exponential algorithms: 3-SAT
- ▶ exponential algorithms: TSP

Knapsack problem

Knapsack problem.

33

- Given *n* objects and a knapsack.
- Item *i* has value $v_i > 0$ and weighs $w_i > 0$. \leftarrow we assume $w_i \le W$ for each *i*
- Knapsack has weight limit *W*.
- Goal: fill knapsack so as to maximize total value.

Ex: { 3, 4 } has value 40.

item	value	weight
1	1	1
2	6	2
3	18	5
4	22	6
5	28	7

original instance (W = 11)

Knapsack is NP-complete

SUBSET-SUM. Given a set *X*, values $u_i \ge 0$, and an integer *U*, is there a subset $S \subseteq X$ whose elements sum to exactly *U*?

KNAPSACK. Given a set *X*, weights $w_i \ge 0$, values $v_i \ge 0$, a weight limit *W*, and a target value *V*, is there a subset $S \subseteq X$ such that:

$$\sum_{i \in S} w_i \leq W$$
$$\sum_{i \in S} v_i \leq V$$

Theorem. SUBSET-SUM \leq_P KNAPSACK.

Pf. Given instance $(u_1, ..., u_n, U)$ of SUBSET-SUM, create KNAPSACK instance:

$$v_i = w_i = u_i \qquad \sum_{i \in S} u_i \leq U$$
$$V = W = U \qquad \sum_{i \in S} u_i \geq U$$

Knapsack problem: dynamic programming II

Def. $OPT(i, v) = \min$ weight of a knapsack for which we can obtain a solution of value $\ge v$ using a subset of items 1,..., *i*.

Note. Optimal value is the largest value v such that $OPT(n, v) \leq W$.

Case 1. OPT does not select item *i*.

• *OPT* selects best of 1, ..., i-1 that achieves value $\ge v$.

Case 2. *OPT* selects item *i*.

- Consumes weight w_i , need to achieve value $\geq v v_i$.
- *OPT* selects best of 1, ..., i-1 that achieves value $\geq v v_i$.

$$OPT(i, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \le 0\\ \infty & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } v > 0\\ \min \left\{ OPT(i-1, v), \ w_i + OPT(i-1, v-v_i) \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Knapsack problem: dynamic programming I

Def. $OPT(i, w) = \max \text{ value subset of items } 1, ..., i \text{ with weight limit } w$.

Case 1. OPT does not select item *i*.

• *OPT* selects best of 1, ..., i-1 using up to weight limit w.

Case 2. OPT selects item *i*.

- New weight limit = $w w_i$.
- *OPT* selects best of 1, ..., i-1 using up to weight limit $w w_i$.

$$OPT(i,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0\\ OPT(i-1,w) & \text{if } w_i > w\\ \max\{OPT(i-1,w), v_i + OPT(i-1,w-w_i)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Computes the optimal value in O(n W) time.

- Not polynomial in input size.
- Polynomial in input size if weights are small integers.

Knapsack problem: dynamic programming II

Theorem. Dynamic programming algorithm II computes the optimal value in $O(n^2 v_{\text{max}})$ time, where v_{max} is the maximum of any value. Pf.

- The optimal value $V^* \leq n v_{\text{max}}$.
- There is one subproblem for each item and for each value $v \le V^*$.
- It takes O(1) time per subproblem.

Remark 1. Not polynomial in input size! Remark 2. Polynomial time if values are small integers.

43

41

Knapsack problem: poly-time approximation scheme

Intuition for approximation algorithm.

- Round all values up to lie in smaller range.
- Run dynamic programming algorithm II on rounded/scaled instance.
- · Return optimal items in rounded instance.

item	value	weight	item	value	weigh
1	934221	1	1	1	1
2	5956342	2	2	6	2
3	17810013	5	3	18	5
4	21217800	6	4	22	6
5	27343199	7	5	28	7
original instance (W = 11)		roun	ded instance (W = 11)	

Knapsack problem: poly-time approximation scheme

Theorem. If *S* is solution found by rounding algorithm and *S*^{*} is any other feasible solution, then $(1 + \epsilon) \sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq \sum_{i \in S^*} v_i$

Pf. Let *S** be any feasible solution satisfying weight constraint.

Knapsack problem: poly-time approximation scheme

Round up all values:

- $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ = precision parameter.
- v_{max} = largest value in original instance.
- θ = scaling factor = $\varepsilon v_{max} / 2n$.

Observation. Optimal solutions to problem with \bar{v} are equivalent to optimal solutions to problem with \hat{v} .

 $\bar{v}_i = \left\lceil \frac{v_i}{\theta} \right\rceil \theta, \quad \hat{v}_i = \left\lceil \frac{v_i}{\theta} \right\rceil$

Intuition. \overline{v} close to v so optimal solution using \overline{v} is nearly optimal; \hat{v} small and integral so dynamic programming algorithm II is fast.

Knapsack problem: poly-time approximation scheme

Theorem. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the rounding algorithm computes a feasible solution whose value is within a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ factor of the optimum in $O(n^3 / \varepsilon)$ time.

Pf.

- We have already proved the accuracy bound.
- Dynamic program II running time is $O(n^2 \hat{v}_{max})$, where

$$\hat{v}_{\max} = \left\lceil \frac{v_{\max}}{\theta} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2n}{\epsilon} \right\rceil$$

INTRACTABILITY III

- ▶ special cases: trees
- ▶ special cases: planarity
- approximation algorithms: vertex cover
- ▶ approximation algorithms: knapsack

• exponential algorithms: 3-SAT

▶ exponential algorithms: TSP

Exact exponential algorithms

Complexity theory deals with worst-case behavior.

- Instances you want to solve may be "easy."
 - *"For every polynomial-time algorithm you have, there is an exponential algorithm that I would rather run." Alan Perlis*



"Fools ignore complexity. Pragmatists suffer it. Some can avoid it. Geniuses remove it."

Alan Perlis

Intractability III: quiz 1

What is complexity of 3-SAT? Choose the best answer.

- **A.** $O(n^2)$
- **B.** *O*^{*}(1.34^{*n*})
- **C.** $O^*(1.84^n)$
- **D.** $O^*(2^n)$ O^* ignores poly(m, n) terms

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

Brute force. Given a 3-SAT instance with *n* variables and *m* clauses, the brute-force algorithm takes $O((m + n) 2^n)$ time. Pf.

- There are 2^{*n*} possible truth assignments to the *n* variables.
- We can evaluate a truth assignment in O(m + n) time.

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

A recursive framework. A 3-SAT formula Φ is either empty or the disjunction of a clause ($\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3$) and a 3-SAT formula Φ' with one fewer clause.

- $\Phi = (\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3) \land \Phi'$
 - = $(\ell_1 \wedge \Phi') \vee (\ell_2 \wedge \Phi') \vee (\ell_3 \wedge \Phi')$
 - = $(\Phi' | \ell_1 = true) \lor (\Phi' | \ell_2 = true) \lor (\Phi' | \ell_3 = true)$

Notation. $\Phi \mid x = true$ is the simplification of Φ by setting *x* to *true*. Ex.

- Φ = $(x \lor y \lor \neg z) \land (x \lor \neg y \lor z) \land (w \lor y \lor \neg z) \land (\neg x \lor y \lor z)$.
- $\Phi' = (x \lor \neg y \lor z) \land (w \lor y \lor \neg z) \land (\neg x \lor y \lor z).$

• $(\Phi' \mid x = true) = (w \lor y \lor \neg z) \land (y \lor z).$

each clause has ≤ 3 literals

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

A recursive framework. A 3-SAT formula Φ is either empty or the disjunction of a clause ($\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3$) and a 3-SAT formula Φ' with one fewer clause.

$3-SAT(\Phi)$

IF Φ is empty RETURN *true*. $(\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3) \land \Phi' \leftarrow \Phi$. IF 3-SAT $(\Phi' | \ell_1 = true)$ RETURN *true*. IF 3-SAT $(\Phi' | \ell_2 = true)$ RETURN *true*. IF 3-SAT $(\Phi' | \ell_3 = true)$ RETURN *true*. RETURN *false*.

Theorem. The brute-force 3-SAT algorithm takes $O(\text{poly}(n) 3^n)$ time. Pf. $T(n) \le 3T(n-1) + \text{poly}(n)$.

50

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

Key observation. The cases are not mutually exclusive. Every satisfiable assignment containing clause $(\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3)$ must fall into one of 3 classes:

- ℓ_1 is true.
- ℓ_1 is false; ℓ_2 is true.
- ℓ_1 is false; ℓ_2 is false; ℓ_3 is true.

3-SAT (Φ)IF Φ is empty RETURN true. $(\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3) \land \Phi' \leftarrow \Phi$.IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = true$)RETURN true.IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = false, \ell_2 = true$)RETURN true.IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = false, \ell_2 = false, \ell_3 = true$)RETURN true.RETURN true.RETURN true.RETURN true.

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

Theorem. The brute-force algorithm takes $O(1.84^n)$ time. Pf. $T(n) \le T(n-1) + T(n-2) + T(n-3) + O(m+n)$.

$3-SAT(\Phi)$

IF Φ is empty RETURN true.	
$(\ell_1 \vee \ell_2 \vee \ell_3) \land \Phi' \leftarrow \Phi.$	
IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = true$)	RETURN true.
IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = false, \ell_2 = true$)	RETURN true.
IF 3-SAT($\Phi' \mid \ell_1 = false, \ell_2 = false, \ell_3 = true$)	RETURN true.
RETURN false.	

Exact algorithms for 3-satisfiability

Theorem. There exists a $O(1.33334^n)$ deterministic algorithm for 3-SAT.

A Full Derandomization of Schöning's k-SAT Algorithm

Robin A. Moser and Dominik Scheder Institute for Theoretical Computer Science Department of Computer Science ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland {robin.moser, dominik.scheder}@inf.ethz.ch

August 25, 2010

Abstract

Schöning [7] presents a simple randomized algorithm for k-SAT with running time $O(a_k^* poly(n))$ for $a_k = 2(k - 1)/k$. We give a deterministic version of this algorithm running in time $O((a_k + e^i poly(n))$, where $\epsilon > 0$ can be made arbitrarily small.

53

Exact algorithms for satisfiability

DPPL algorithm. Highly-effective backtracking procedure.

- Splitting rule: assign truth value to literal; solve both possibilities.
- Unit propagation: clause contains only a single unassigned literal.
- Pure literal elimination: if literal appears only negated or unnegated.

A Computing Procedure for Quantification Theory* Marrer Dara Remacher Polydoxies Institution, Bayle Orbition, Bayl Wadow E Bill, Cons. Mark Hitadar Persona Prisone Diaberetty, Prisone, New Jerry

Priorate University, Pristone, New Jenu Tab bayes that sumbarmized methods and support in the browstightness of formal logic workload to a parch's comparational methods for solvaining mathematical theorems goes tasked to Lickius and has been revived by Parce as round the turn of the centary and by Ellibert's school in the 1300×. Hilbert, noting that all of classical antibaneous could be formalised withing currillations theory, delayed that the problem of finding as a haprithm for determining whether or not a given matical logic. And include, a cost time is served as if neutralizations of this "control problem were on the very of success. However, it was shown by Church and by Turing that sch an algorithm on not exist". This result lot to consider able possibility in the start of the server in the solver problem were revival of interaction. As a start of the possibility of using modern digital computers in a revival of interaction. How is the possibility of using modern digital computers in the shown of the server in the work operation. Specifically, it has been realised that while no decision procedure scikels in the using operations of the "consensities" in the work operation. Specifically, it has been realised that while no decision procedure scikels in the using operations of the "consensities" in the work operation. Specifically, it has been realised that while in the show operation science could will turn out to be feasible for any hydrogeneous science could will turn out to be feasible for a write modern computing machinery.

A Machine Program for Theorem-Proving[†]

Martin Davis, George Logemann, and Donald Loveland Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University

The programming of a proof procedure is discussed in connection with trial runs and possible improvements.

In [1] is set forth an algorithm for proving theorems of quantification theory which is an improvement in certain respects over previously available algorithms such as that of [2]. The present paper deals with the programming of the algorithm of [1] for the New York Christensity, Institute of Mathematical Sciences' IBM 704 computer, with some modifications in the algorithm segscietd by this work, with the results obtained using the completed algorithm. Familiarity with [1] is assumed throughout.

Exact algorithms for satisfiability

Chaff. State-of-the-art SAT solver.

 Solves real-world SAT instances with ~ 10K variable. Developed at Princeton by undergrads.

міт

Boolean Satisfiability is probably the most studied of

combinatorial optimization/search problems. Significant effort has been devoted to trying to provide practical solutions to this

problem for problem instances encountered in a range of

applications in Electronic Design Automation (EDA), as well as

in Artificial Intelligence (AI). This study has culminated in the

Chaff: Engineering an Efficient SAT Solver oskewicz Conor F. Madigan Ying Zhao, Lintag Zhang, Sharad

Department of EECS

cmadigan@mit.edu

Matthew W. Moskewicz Department of EECS UC Berkeley moskewcz@alumni.princeton.edu

ABSTRACT

Ying Zhao, Lintao Zhang, Sharad Malik Department of Electrical Engineering Princeton University (yingzhao, lintaoz, sharad)@ee.princeton.edu

Many publicly available SAT solvers (e.g. GRASP [8], POSTT [5], SATO [13], rel. sat [2], ValkSAT [9]) have been developed, most employing some combination of two main strategies: the Davis-Putnam (DP) backtrack search and heuristic local search. Heuristic local search techniques are not guaranteed to be complete (i.e. they are not guaranteed to find a satisfying assignment if one exists or prove unsatisfiability; as a

INTRACTABILITY III

- special cases: trees
- ▶ special cases: planarity
- approximation algorithms: vertex cover
- approximation algorithms: knapsack
- ▶ exponential algorithms: 3-SAT
- exponential algorithms: TSP

Pokemon Go

Given the locations of *n* Pokémon, find shortest tour to collect them all.



Traveling salesperson problem

TSP. Given a set of *n* cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



57

Traveling salesperson problem

TSP. Given a set of *n* cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?



TSP books, apps, and movies







Run Load

 (\mathbf{i})



11,849 holes to drill in a programmed logic array http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp

Hamilton cycle reduces to traveling salesperson problem

TSP. Given a set of *n* cities and a pairwise distance function d(u, v), is there a tour of length $\leq D$?

HAMILTON-CYCLE. Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), does there exist a cycle that visits every node exactly once?

Theorem. HAMILTON-CYCLE \leq_{P} TSP.

Pf.

• Given an instance G = (V, E) of HAMILTON-CYCLE, create n = |V| cities with distance function

$$d(u,v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (u,v) \in E \\ 2 & \text{if } (u,v) \notin E \end{cases}$$

• TSP instance has tour of length $\leq n$ iff G has a Hamilton cycle.

What is complexity of TSP? Choose the best answer.



Exponential algorithm for TSP: dynamic programming

Theorem. [Held–Karp, Bellman 1962] **TSP can be solved in** $O(n^2 2^n)$ time.

HAMILTON-CYCLE is a special case

J. Soc. INDUST. APPL. MATR. Vol. 10, No. 1, March, 1982 Printed in U.S. 4

A DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING APPROACH TO SEQUENCING PROBLEMS*

MICHAEL HELD† $_{\rm AND}$ RICHARD M. KARP†

INTRODUCTION and important optimization

Many interesting and important optimization problems require the determination of a best order of performing a given set of operations. This paper is concerned with the solution of three such sequencing problems. This paper is concerned with the solution of three such sequencing problems, as sheading problem involving arbitrary cost functions, the travelingsalemann problem, and an assembly-line balancing problem. Each of these or the type associated with dynamic programming. In sessnee, these recursion schemes permit the problems to be treated in terms of combinations, rather than permutations, of the operations to be performed. The dynamic programming formulations are given in §1, together with a discussion of various extensions such as the inclusion of precedence constraints. In each case the proposed method of solution is computationally effective for problems in a certain limited range. Approximate solutions to larger problems in the obtained by solving sequences of small derived problems, each having the same structure as the original one. This procedure of successive approximations is developed in defail in §2 with specific reference to the traveling-salesman problem, and §3 summarizes computationally efforts.

Dynamic Programming Treatment of the Travelling Salesman Problem*

RICHARD BELLMAN RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

Introduction

by the selectmat?" The problem has been treated by a number of different people using a variety of techniques; cf. Dantzig, Pulkerson, Johnson [1], where a combination of ingenuity and linear pogramming is used, and Miller. Takker and Zemlin [2], whose experiments using an all-integer pogram of Contary did not produce easily the considuation of this note is to show that this problem can easily be formalised in dynamic postgramming terms [9], and resolved and easily be formalised in dynamic postgramming terms [9], and resolved and any product of the state operation of the state of the stat Exponential algorithm for TSP: dynamic programming

Theorem. [Held–Karp, Bellman 1962] TSP can be solved in $O(n^2 2^n)$ time.

Pf. [dynamic programming]

pick node *s* arbitrarily

- Subproblems: $c(s, v, X) = \text{cost of cheapest path between } s \text{ and } v \neq s$ that visits every node in X exactly once (and uses only nodes in X).
- Goal: $\min_{v \in V} c(s, v, V) + c(v, s)$
- There are $\leq n 2^n$ subproblems and they satisfy the recurrence:

$$c(s,v,X) = \begin{cases} c(s,v) & \text{if } |X| = 2\\ \min_{u \in X \setminus \{s,v\}} c(s,u,X \setminus \{v\}) + c(u,v) & \text{if } |X| > 2. \end{cases}$$

- |x| > 2.
- The values c(s, v, X) can be computed in increasing order of the cardinality of X.

The Washington Post

problem," where a salesperson has to visit a specific set of cities, each only once, and return to the Arst city by the most efficient route possible. As the number of cities increases, the problem becomes exponentially complex. It would take a k prop computer 2,000 years to compute the most efficient route between 22 cities, for example. A quantum computer could do this within minutes, possibly seconds.
increases, the problem becomes exponentially complex. It would take a keptop computer 2,000 years to compute the most efficient route between 22 cities, for
2 ²² = 4,194,304
 22! = 1,124,000,727,777,607,680,000 ~

Euclidean traveling salesperson problem

Euclidean TSP. Given *n* points in the plane and a real number *L*, is there a tour that visit every city exactly once that has distance $\leq L$?

Fact. 3-SAT \leq_{P} EUCLIDEAN-TSP. Remark. Not known to be in **NP**.

$\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{6} + \sqrt{18}$	<	$\sqrt{4} + \sqrt{12} + \sqrt{12}$
8.928198407	<	8.928203230



Concorde TSP solver. [Applegate-Bixby-Chvátal-Cook]

- Linear programming + branch-and-bound + polyhedral combinatorics.
- Greedy heuristics, including Lin-Kernighan.
- MST, Delaunay triangulations, fractional *b*-matchings, ...

Remarkable fact. Concorde has solved all 110 TSPLIB instances.

largest instance has 85,900 cities!



Euclidean traveling salesperson problem

Theorem. [Arora 1998, Mitchell 1999] Given *n* points in the plane, for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$: there exists a poly-time algorithm to find a tour whose length is at most $(1 + \varepsilon)$ times that of the optimal tour.

Pf recipe. Structure theorem + divide-and-conquer + dynamic programming.



THE EUCLIDEAN TRAVELING SALESMAN PROBLEM IS NP-COMPLETE*

Christos H. PAPADIMITRIOU Centur for Research in Computing Technology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.

Communicated by Richard Karp Received August 1975 Revised July 1976

Abstract. The Traveling Salesman Problem is shown to be NP-Complete even if its instances are restricted to be realizable by sets of points on the Euclidean plane.

Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes for Euclidean Traveling Salesman and other Geometric Problems

Sanjeev Arora Princeton Universit

Association for Computing Machinery, Inc., 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036, USA Tel: (212) 555-1212; Fax: (212) 555-2000

We present a polynomial time approximation scheme for Euclidean TSP in fixed dimensions. For every fixed < 3 : and given any n nodes in \mathbb{R}^3 , a randomid version of the scheme finds a (1 + 1/c)-approximation to the optimum traveling aslormato nor in $O(n(20^{-10})^{-1})$. For every fixed, c 1 the random given in \mathbb{R}^3 , the random given increases to $O(n(20^{-10})^{-10})^{-1}$. For every fixed, c c the random given in \mathbb{R}^3 , poly(log n), i.e., smartly finaser in n. The algorithm can be demandranded, but the polyclice Christoffield and lowers a 1/2-approximation in polyclicent) three. GUILLOTINE SUBDIVISIONS APPROXIMATE POLYGONAL SUBDIVISIONS: A SIMPLE POLYNOMAL-TIME APPROXIMATION SCHEME FOR GEOMETRIC TSP, K-MST, AND RELATED PROBLEMS JOSPH S.B. MICHEL*

Abstract. We show that any polygoral subdivision in the plane can be converted into an "m-guildoine" subdivision whose length is at most $(1 + \frac{1}{2})$ times that of the original subdivision, for a small constant c "m-Guildoine" subdivisions have a submer recursive structure that allows one to search for shoreest such subdivisions in polynomial time, using dynamic programming. In particular, a consequence of our much there entry is a simple polynomials for a guarante programming. In particular, a consequence of our much there entry is a simple polynomials for a guarante programming. In particular, the travel is a simple polynomial time, using Share minimum spanning travel, the investing subsports problem (TSF), and the AMST problem.