

Lecture 12: Rademacher Bounds and Rademacher Calculus

Lecturer: Roi Livni

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

12.1 Rademacher Bounds

Lemma 12.1 (Masart's Lemma). *Let A be some finite set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^m s.t. $\|a\| \leq r$ then:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right] \leq \frac{r \sqrt{2 \ln |A|}}{m}$$

Proof. Set

$$\mu = \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right]$$

Then for every $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda \mu} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{a \in A} \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{a \in A} \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right) \right] = \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i a_i \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} [\exp(\lambda \sigma_i a_i)] \leq \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} [\exp(\lambda a_i) + \exp(-\lambda a_i)] \\ &\leq \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^m [\exp(\lambda a_i^2 / 2)] \qquad \qquad \qquad : \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \leq e^{x^2/2} \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} [\exp(\lambda \|a\|^2 / 2)] \leq |A| e^{\lambda r^2 / 2} \end{aligned}$$

Taking log and dividng by λ we get that:

$$\mu \leq \frac{\ln |A|}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda r^2}{2}$$

Taking $\lambda = r \sqrt{2 \ln |A|}$ we obtain the Lemma. □

Corollary 12.2 (Finite Classes). *Let \mathcal{F} be a finite set of functions such that $|f(\mathbf{z})| \leq 1$ then:*

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln |F|}{m}}$$

Proof. Given \mathcal{F} and a sample $S = \{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_m\}$ consider the set of vectors $A = \{(f(\mathbf{z}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{z}_m)) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, then note that for every $a \in A$ we have $\|a\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m f(\mathbf{z}_i)} \leq \sqrt{m}$. Applying Massart's Lemma we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{R}_S(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{E} \left[\sup_{a \in A} \left| \sum \sigma_i a_i \right| \right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln |\mathcal{F}|}{m}}.$$

□

Lemma 12.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space (for simplicity assume $H = \mathbb{R}^d$) and define $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) : f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \|\mathbf{w}\| \leq 1\}$ Then*

$$\mathfrak{R}_S(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{\max_i \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|_2}{\sqrt{m}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} m\mathfrak{R}_S(\mathcal{F}) &= \mathbf{E} \left[\sup_{\|\mathbf{w}\| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \rangle \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\sup_{\|\mathbf{w}\| \leq 1} \langle \mathbf{w}, \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \rangle \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right\| \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[\sqrt{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right\|^2} \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right\|^2 \right]} && \text{Concavity of } \sqrt{} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^m \sigma_i \sigma_j \langle \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)} \rangle \right]} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|^2 \right]} && \mathbf{E}(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \\ &\leq \sqrt{m \max \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

12.1.1 Rademacher Calculus

The following facts are easy to prove, and we leave them as an exercise:

Fact 12.1.

1. Let $c \cdot \mathcal{F} + b = \{c \cdot f + b : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{R}(c \cdot \mathcal{F} + b) = |c| \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})$$

2. Let $\text{conv}\mathcal{F} = \{\sum \alpha_i f_i : \{f_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{R}(\text{conv}\mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})$$

Lemma 12.4. Let $\phi_{\mathbf{z}}$ be ρ -Lipschitz functions for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X}$ (i.e. $|\phi_{\mathbf{z}}(a) - \phi_{\mathbf{z}}(b)| \leq \rho|a - b|$). Denote

$$\phi \circ \mathcal{F} = \{\phi_{\mathbf{z}}(f(\mathbf{z})) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(\phi \circ \mathcal{F}) \leq \rho \mathfrak{R}_m(\mathcal{F})$$

Proof. w.l.o.g we may assume $\rho = 1$, the more general case will follow by setting $\phi'_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{\rho}\phi$ and applying property 1. Given $S = \{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_m\}$, Let us write

$$\mathfrak{R}_S(\phi_{[t]} \circ \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\left| \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \leq t} \sigma_i \phi_{\mathbf{z}_i}(f(\mathbf{z}_i)) + \sum_{i > t} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| \right]$$

We will show by induction that $\mathfrak{R}_S(\phi_{[t]} \circ \mathcal{F}) \leq \mathfrak{R}_S(\mathcal{F})$. Since the case for $t = 1$ is similar to the induction step, we will show the proof only for $t = 1$. Let us write $\pm\mathcal{F} = \{\pm f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\left| \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sigma_1 \phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\left| \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\left| \sup_{f' \in \mathcal{F}} -\phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f'(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\left| \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| + \left| \sup_{f' \in \mathcal{F}} -\phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f'(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f \in \pm\mathcal{F}} \left[\phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] + \sup_{f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} \left[-\phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f'(\mathbf{z}_1)) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f, f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} (\phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f(\mathbf{z}_1)) - \phi_{\mathbf{z}_1}(f'(\mathbf{z}_1))) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f, f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} |f(\mathbf{z}_1) - f'(\mathbf{z}_1)| + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Next, we claim that we can remove the absolute value from the term $|f(\mathbf{z}_1) - f'(\mathbf{z}_1)|$, since we are taking supremum over the terms, and the sum of the two other terms will not be effected by replacing f with f' , hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f, f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} |f(\mathbf{z}_1) - f'(\mathbf{z}_1)| + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f, f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} f(\mathbf{z}_1) - f'(\mathbf{z}_1) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f'(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \left[\sup_{f, f' \in \pm\mathcal{F}} \sigma_1 f(\mathbf{z}_1) + \sum_{i > 1} \sigma_i f(\mathbf{z}_i) \right] = \mathfrak{R}_S(\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

□