

Democratizing Content Publication with Coral

Mike Freedman

Eric Freudenthal David Mazières New York University

NSDI 2004

A problem...



- Feb 3: Google linked banner to "julia fractals"
- Users clicking directed to Australian University web site
- ...University's network link overloaded, web server taken down temporarily...

The problem strikes again!



News for Nerds. Stuff that matters.



Login	Google Traffic Takes Down Web Site	
<u>Why Login?</u> <u>Why Subscribe?</u>	Posted by <u>simoniker</u> on Wednesday February 04, @09:11PM	
Sections Main Apache	from the comparisons-inevitable dept. <u>bazonkers</u> writes "Searchenginelowdown.com <u>reports</u> that it appears that the Google logo yesterday (honoring <u>Gaston Julia</u>) linked to the	

Feb 4: Slashdot ran the story about Google

Site taken down temporarily...again

The response from down under...

Feb 4, later...Paul Bourke asks:

"They have hundreds (thousands?) of servers worldwide that distribute their traffic load. If even a small percentage of that traffic is directed to a single server ... what chance does it have?"

 \rightarrow Help the little guy \leftarrow

Existing approaches

- Client-side proxying
 - Squid, Summary Cache, hierarchical cache, CoDeeN, Squirrel, Backslash, PROOFS, ...
 - Problem: Not 100% coverage
- Throw money at the problem
 - Load-balanced servers, fast network connections
 - Problem: Can't afford or don't anticipate need
- Content Distribution Networks (CDNs)
 - Akamai, Digital Island, Mirror Image
 - Centrally managed, needs to recoup costs

Coral's solution...



Pool resources to dissipate flash crowds

- Implement an open CDN
- Allow anybody to contribute
- Works with unmodified clients
- CDN only fetches once from origin server

Coral's solution...



Pool resources to dissipate flash crowds

- Strong locality without a priori knowledge
- No hotspots in CDN
- Should all work automatically with nobody in charge

Contributions

- Self-organizing clusters of nodes
 - NYU and Columbia prefer one another to Germany
- Rate-limiting mechanism
 - Everybody caching and fetching same URL does not overload any node in system
 - Decentralized DNS Redirection
 - Works with unmodified clients

No centralized management or *a priori* knowledge of proxies' locations or network configurations

Using CoralCDN

- Rewrite URLs into "Coralized" URLs
 - www.x.com \rightarrow www.x.com.nyud.net:8090
 - Directs clients to Coral, which absorbs load
- Who might "Coralize" URLs?
 - Web server operators Coralize URLs
 - Coralized URLs posted to portals, mailing lists
 - Users explicitly Coralize URLs



Functionality needed

DNS: Given network location of resolver, return a proxy near the client

> put (network info, self) get (resolver info) \rightarrow {proxies}

HTTP: Given URL, find proxy caching object, preferably one nearby

> put (URL, self) get (URL) \rightarrow {proxies}

Use a DHT?

- Supports put/get interface using key-based routing
- Problems with using DHTs as given



Coral distributed index

- Insight: Don't need hash table semantics
 - Just need one well-located proxy
- put (key, value, ttl)
 - Avoid hotspots
- get (key)
 - Retrieves some subset of values put under key
 - Prefer values put by nodes near requestor
- Hierarchical clustering groups nearby nodes
 Expose hierarchy to applications
 - Rate-limiting mechanism distributes puts



CoralCDN components





- Minimizes lookup latency
- Prefer values stored by nodes within faster clusters

Prevent insertion hotspots

- Store value once in each level cluster
 - Always storing at closest node causes hotspot



- Halt put routing at full and loaded node
 - Full \rightarrow M vals/key with TTL > $\frac{1}{2}$ insertion TTL
 - Loaded $\rightarrow \beta$ puts traverse node in past minute
- Store at furthest, non-full node seen

Challenges for DNS Redirection

Coral lacks...

- Central management
- A priori knowledge of network topology
 - Anybody can join system
- Any special tools (e.g., BGP feeds)

Coral has...

- Large # of vantage points to probe topology
- Distributed index in which to store network hints
- Each Coral node maps nearby networks to self

Coral's DNS Redirection

- Coral DNS server probes resolver
- Once local, stay local
 - When serving requests from nearby DNS resolver
 - Respond with nearby Coral proxies
 - Respond with nearby Coral DNS servers
 - \rightarrow Ensures future requests remain local
 - Else, help resolver find local Coral DNS server

DNS measurement mechanism



- Return servers within appropriate cluster
 - e.g., for resolver RTT = 19 ms, return from cluster < 20 ms</p>
- Use network hints to find nearby servers
 - i.e., client and server on same subnet
- Otherwise, take random walk within cluster

Experimental results

- Consider requests to Australian web site:
 - Does Coral absorb flash crowds?
 - Does clustering help latency?
 - Does Coral form sensible clusters?
 - Does Coral prevent hotspots?
 - Experimental setup
 - 166 PlanetLab hosts; Coral node and client on each
 - Twelve 41-KB files on 384 Kb/sec (DSL) web server
 - (0.6 reqs / sec) / client \rightarrow 32,800 Kb/sec aggregate

Solves flash-crowd problem



Benefits end-to-end client latency



Benefits end-to-end client latency



Finds natural clusters



- Nodes share letter \rightarrow in same < 60 ms cluster
- Size of letter

→ number of collocated nodes in same cluster

Prevents put hotspots



- Nodes aggregate put/get rate: ~12 million / min
 Rate-limit per node (β): 12 / min
 RPCs at also at looked through 7 others: 82 / min
- RPCs at closest leaked through 7 others: 83 / min

Conclusions

Coral indexing infrastructure

- Provides non-standard P2P storage abstraction
- Stores network hints and forms clusters
 - Exposes hierarchy and hints to applications
- Prevents hotspots

Use Coral to build fully decentralized CDN

- Solves Slashdot effect
- - Democratizes content publication





For more information...

www.scs.cs.nyu.edu/coral

www.scs.cs.nyu.edu.nyud.net:8090/coral