Don't Settle for Eventual:

Scalable Causal Consistency for Wide-Area Storage with COPS

Wyatt Lloyd*

Michael J. Freedman*

Michael Kaminsky†

David G. Andersen‡

*Princeton, †Intel Labs, ‡CMU

Wide-Area Storage

Stores:

Status Updates

Likes

Comments

Photos

Friends List

Stores:

Tweets

Favorites

Following List

Stores:

Posts

+1s

Comments

Photos

Circles

Wide-Area Storage
Serves Requests Quickly



Inside the Datacenter



Desired Properties: ALPS

- **A**vailability
- **L**ow Latency
- **P**artition Tolerance
- **S**calability



"Always On"

Scalability

Increase capacity and throughput in each datacenter



Desired Property: Consistency

- Restricts order/timing of operations

- Stronger consistency:
  - Makes programming easier
  - Makes user experience better

Consistency with ALPS

Strong — Impossible [Brewer00, GilbertLynch02]

Sequential — Impossible [LiptonSandberg88, AttiyaWelch94]

Causal —  COPS

Eventual — Amazon Dynamo; LinkedIn Voldemort; Facebook/Apache Cassandra

System	A	L	P	S	Consistency
Scatter	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓ Strong
Walter	✗	✗	✗	?	PSI + Txn
COPS	✓	✓	✓	✓	Causal+
Bayou	✓	✓	✓	✗	Causal+
PNUTS	✓	✓	?	✓	Per-Key Seq.
Dynamo	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗ Eventual

Causality By Example



Remove boss from friends group



Post to friends: "Time for a new job!"



Friend reads post



Causality (⟶)

Thread-of-Execution

Gets-From

Transitivity

Causality Is Useful

For Users:



Employment Integrity

For Programmers:

Referential Integrity

Conflicts in Causal



Conflicts in Causal

Causal + Conflict Handling = **Causal+**



Previous Causal+ Systems

- Bayou ‘94, TACT ‘00, PRACTI ‘06
  - Log-exchange based

- Log is single serialization point
  - **Implicitly** captures and enforces causal order
  - Limits scalability OR
  - No cross-server causality

Scalability Key Idea

- Dependency metadata explicitly captures causality
- Distributed verifications replace single serialization
  - Delay exposing replicated puts until all dependencies are satisfied in the datacenter


COPS
Key-Value Store
All Data
Causal+ Replication
All Data
Local Datacenter
Client Library
All Data

Get



Put

put after = put + ordering metadata



Dependencies

- Dependencies are explicit metadata on values
- Library tracks and attaches them to put_afters

Dependencies

- Dependencies are explicit metadata on values
- Library tracks and attaches them to put_afters



Dependencies

- Dependencies are explicit metadata on values
- Library tracks and attaches them to put_afters



Causal+ Replication



Causal+ Replication



Exposing values after dep_checks return ensures causal+

Basic COPS Summary

- Serve operations locally, replicate in background
  - "Always On"
- Partition keyspace onto many nodes
  - Scalability
- Control replication with dependencies
  - Causal+ Consistency

Gets Aren't Enough



Gets Aren't Enough



Get Transactions

- Provide consistent view of multiple keys
  - Snapshot of visible values
- Keys can be spread across many servers
- Takes at most 2 parallel rounds of gets
- No locks, no blocking



Low Latency

Get Transactions







Could Get

System So Far

- ALPS and Causal+, but …
- Proliferation of dependencies reduces efficiency
  - Results in lots of metadata
  - Requires lots of verification
- We need to reduce metadata and dep_checks
  - Nearest dependencies
  - Dependency garbage collection

Many Dependencies

- Dependencies grow with client lifetime



Nearest Dependencies

- Transitively capture all ordering constraints



The Nearest Are Few

- Transitively capture all ordering constraints



The Nearest Are Few

- Only check nearest when replicating
- COPS only tracks nearest
- COPS-GT tracks non-nearest for transactions
- Dependency garbage collection tames metadata in COPS-GT

Extended COPS Summary

- Get transactions
  - Provide consistent view of multiple keys



- Nearest Dependencies
  - Reduce number of dep_checks
  - Reduce metadata in COPS



Evaluation Questions

- Overhead of get transactions?
- Compare to previous causal+ systems?
- Scale?

Experimental Setup



COPS & COPS-GT Competitive for Expected Workloads



COPS & COPS-GT Competitive for Expected Workloads



COPS Low Overhead vs. LOG

- COPS – dependencies ≈ LOG
- 1 server per datacenter only

- COPS and LOG achieve very similar throughput
  - Nearest dependencies mean very little metadata
  - In this case dep_checks are function calls



COPS Scales Out



Conclusion

- Novel Properties
  - First ALPS and causal+ consistent system in COPS
  - Lock free, low latency get transactions in COPS-GT
- Novel techniques
  - Explicit dependency tracking and verification with decentralized replication
  - Optimizations to reduce metadata and checks
- COPS achieves high throughput and scales out