

Tensor Decomposition via SOS

$$T = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d}$$

$\downarrow$ "1st mode" $\rightarrow$ "order 3".
"dimension d"

$$\left. \begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_r \\ b_1 \dots b_r \\ c_1 \dots c_r \end{matrix} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{"Symmetric"} \\ \hline \text{if } a_i = b_i = c_i \end{array} \right\}$

$$T(f, g, h) = \sum_i a_i(f) \cdot b_i(g) \cdot c_i(h)$$

$f, g, h \in [d]$

Rank of a tensor T:

$$a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{rank 1 tensor}$$

T is rank r if r is the min. entger so that T is a sum of at most r rank 1 tensors.

2^{nd} order tensors $\equiv$ matrices

$M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ has rank $\leq r$ if it can be written as a sum of r rank 1 matrices.

$$\underline{a_i b_i^T}$$

Two problems: extremely important primitives in
also useful in algebra.

- 1) Given $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, find its rank.
- 2) Given M of rank r , find an explicit rank $\leq$ decomposition of M .

① & ② are polynomial time solvable.

Q: $M = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i^T$

Can you calculate $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^r$

Psychometrics: 1904 Charles Spearman

Obsⁿ: Rank decompositions are not unique.
in general...

Obsⁿ: tensor decompositions (order ≥ 3) are
unique under some mild conditions.

Problem: Given a rank r tensor $T = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i$

find $a_1 \text{ --- } a_r$
 $b_1 \text{ --- } b_r$
 $c_1 \text{ --- } c_r$

Q: Given a matrix M : Symmetric has rank $r > 1$.

Obsⁿ: "EVD" : eigenvalue decomposition is unique if all eigenvalues are distinct.

Obsⁿ: $M = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \cdot a_i a_i^T$, $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ are orthonormal, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \ \forall i \neq j$.

then there's a poly algo to compute (arbitrarily good approx.) to $a_1, \dots, a_r$ up to sign.

Desiderata for Algorithms:

Algorithms to be resilient to noise.

→ ①. handle noise that's random/indep

② perturbation bounded in appropriate norms $M' = M + E$, $\|E\| \ll \text{small}$.

③ outlier-robustness (not our focus).

Algorithms for Tensor Decomposition.

Lemma (Jennrich's Algorithm):

Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ be orthonormal. $\|a_i\|_2 = 1$
 $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle = 0$
 $\forall i \neq j$

Then, given $T = \sum_i a_i^{\otimes 3}$, there's a poly time algo to recover $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ up to permutation.

Proof: "Random Contraction"

Let $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{I})$.

Suppose you get $M_g = \sum_i \underbrace{\langle a_i, g \rangle}_{\text{scalar}} \cdot a_i a_i^T$

If you're done.

then you

$$\mathbb{E} \langle g, \underline{a_i - a_j} \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E} (\langle g, a_i \rangle - \langle g, a_j \rangle)^2$$

$$= 2$$

$$\Pr \left[|\langle g, a_i - a_j \rangle| \leq \frac{\delta}{d^2} \right] \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\delta}{d^2}$$

h : gaussian: $\Pr[|h| \leq \delta \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E} h^2}] \leq \delta \leftarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr \left[\min_{i \neq j} |\langle g, a_i - a_j \rangle| \geq \frac{\delta}{d^2} \right] \leq 1 - \sqrt{2}\delta$$

Obsⁿ: Suppose $T = a^{\otimes 3}$

$$M_g(s, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^d T(s, t, u) \cdot g_u}{T(s, t, \cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^d \stackrel{=}{\langle T(s, t, \cdot), g \rangle}}$$

linear operation on tensor

For every s, t ,

$$\sum_u T(s, t, u) \cdot g_u = \sum_u a_s \cdot a_t \cdot a_u \cdot g_u$$

$$= \langle a, g \rangle \cdot a_s a_t$$

$$M_g = \langle a, g \rangle \cdot a a^T$$

Cor: $T = \sum_i a_i^{\otimes 3}$

$$\langle T(s, t, \cdot), g \rangle = \sum_i a_i(s) \cdot a_i(t) \cdot \langle a_i, g \rangle$$

$$M_g = \sum_i a_i a_i^T \langle a_i, g \rangle.$$

Lemma: There's a poly time algo that

takes inputs $T = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes a_i$ where

$\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ are linearly independent

and outputs $\{\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_r\}$ s.t. $\exists$

$\pi: [r] \rightarrow [r]$ s.t.

$$\min \left\{ \|\hat{a}_i - a_{\pi(i)}\|, \|\hat{a}_i + a_{\pi(i)}\| \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof: Idea is to take 2 random

Contractions.

g, g'

$$M_g = \sum_i \langle \underline{a_i}, g \rangle \underline{a_i} \underline{a_i}^T$$

$$M_{g'} = \sum_i \langle \underline{a_i}, g' \rangle \underline{a_i} \underline{a_i}^T$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_g = \underbrace{U \cdot D_g \cdot U^T}_{}, M_{g'} = U \cdot D_{g'} \cdot U^T$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \langle a_i, g \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_g^{-1} \cdot M_{g'} = (U^T)^{-1} \cdot D_g^{-1} \cdot \underline{U^T \cdot U} \cdot D_{g'} \cdot U^T$$

$$= (U^T)^{-1} \cdot \underbrace{(D_g^{-1} \cdot D_{g'})}_{\text{eigenvalue decomposition with distinct eigenvalues!}} \cdot U^T$$

$$\frac{\langle a_i, g' \rangle}{\langle a_i, g \rangle}$$

"Undercomplete Tensor" if $r \leq d$.

"Overcomplete tensor" if $r > d$.

① 3rd order tensors in d -dim have rank at most $O(d^2)$.

Pf sketch:

$$\underbrace{d \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline T(i,j,k) \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}}_{d^2}$$

$$\in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d^2}$$

"flattening"

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d u_i \cdot v_i^T.$$

$$v_i = \sum_j y_j \cdot z_j^T$$

② Random tensors have rank $\Omega(d^2)$..

Facts: 1) Computing tensor rank is NP-hard

"Most tensor problems are NP-hard"

Millar-Leim '08 ??

The SoS approach to tensor decomposition.

Key idea: Relationship to polynomial

maximization over $\{x: \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1\}$.

Lemma: Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$, orthonormal.

$$p(x) = \langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle$$

hom deg 3
polynomial

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} T_{i,j,k} \cdot \underbrace{x_i x_j x_k}_{\text{hom deg 3 polynomial}}$$

Then: $\{z: \underbrace{\langle T, z^{\otimes 3} \rangle}_{p(z)} \geq \max_{x: \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1} \langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle\}$

$$= \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

Pf: $a_1, \dots, a_r \quad r=d$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i \cdot a_i$$

$$1 = \|x\|_2^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r d_i^2 = 1$$

$$\text{Then, } p(x) = \langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle a_i^{\otimes 3}, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s,t,u} a_i(s) a_i(t) a_i(u) \cdot x(s) x(t) x(u) \\ &= \left(\sum_s a_i(s) x(s) \right)^3 \\ &= \langle a_i, x \rangle^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i d_i^3 = \sum_i d_i \cdot d_i^2 \\ &\leq \left(\max_i d_i \right) \sum_i d_i^2 \\ &= \max_i d_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad p(a_i) = 1 \quad \forall i \quad \leftarrow = 1$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \max_{x: \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1} p(x) \leq 1$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{if } x \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$$

$$\text{then } \max_i d_i < 1 \rightarrow p(x) < 1.$$

Idea for using SOS for tensor decomp

find the maximizers of p !

Focus on certifying purported solⁿs

$$x : \begin{cases} p(x) \geq 1 \\ \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{"test"} \checkmark$$

Soundness: If x passes the test then it must be a true solⁿ.

real world proof $\equiv$ Correspondence lemma above.

how to phrase soundness as poly inequality?

$$\rightarrow \prod_i \|x - a_i\|_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{deg } r \text{ equation!}$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle = 1 \leftarrow$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^2 = 1 \quad \forall x: \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1$$

$$\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^t = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r d_i^t = 1 \Rightarrow \exists i: d_i^t \geq 1/r$$

$$\Downarrow \\ |d_i| \geq e^{-\frac{\ln r}{t}} \\ |d_i| \geq e^{-\delta}$$

$$\text{If } t \geq \frac{\ln r}{\delta}$$

δ

$\sim 1-\delta$

Poly inequality version of soundness

$$\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^t \geq 1$$

(for some $t \sim \frac{\ln r}{\delta}$)

target accuracy

Lemma 1 $\{ \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^3 \geq 1-\delta \}$

$\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^4 \geq 1-2\delta \}$

Proof:

$\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^3 \}^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^4 \right) \cdot \left(\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^2 \right)$

$$\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^2 \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot \|x\|_2^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^4 \right) \cdot \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^4$$

$\{ (1-\delta)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^4 \}$

□

"Soundness"

Lemma: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be even, $k \geq 4$

$$\Delta \vdash \frac{2k}{x} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \right. \\ \left. \geq 1 - O(k\delta) \right\}$$

Lemma: $v_1, \dots, v_r$ indeterminates.

Then, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left| \frac{4s}{v_1, \dots, v_r} \right\{ \left(\sum_i v_i^4 \right)^s \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r v_i^2 \right)^s \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^r v_i^{2s} \right) \}$$

$$\|v\|_4^{4s} \leq \|v\|_2^{2s} \cdot \|v\|_{2s}^{2s}$$

Pf using lemma: $v_i = \langle a_i, x \rangle \quad \forall i$

$$\boxed{s = \frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left| \frac{2k}{x} \right\{ \left(\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^4 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} &\leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}_{\|x\|_2^k} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \right) \\ &= \left\{ \|x\|_2^k \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$1 + 2k \leq \dots \leq k \quad (1 + 2k)^{k/2}$$

$$\left\{ \left| \frac{a_i}{x} \right| \right\} \quad \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \langle a_i, x \rangle \geq (1-2\delta) \right\} \\ \Rightarrow 1-k\delta \}$$

Pf of Lemma on Vector norms.

$$\left(\sum_i v_i^4 \right)^S \leq \left(\sum_i v_i^2 \right)^{2S} \left(\sum_i v_i^{2S} \right)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=S \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}} \binom{S}{\alpha} v^{4 \cdot \alpha} \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=S} \binom{S}{\alpha} v^{2 \cdot \alpha} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_i v_i^{2S} \right)$$

$$\binom{S}{\alpha} = \frac{S!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_r!}$$

enough to prove $v^{4\alpha} \leq v^{2\alpha} \cdot \left(\sum_i v_i^{2S} \right)$

enough to prove $v^{2\alpha} \leq \left(\sum_i v_i^{2S} \right)$

$|\alpha|=4$

$$v_1^6 \cdot v_2^2 \leq v_1^8 + v_2^8$$

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_n)^8$$

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_8}{8} \right)^8 \geq a_1 \dots a_8$$

$$v_1^6 \cdot v_2^2 \leq \left(\frac{6}{8} v_1 + \frac{2}{8} v_2 \right)^8$$

Jensen

$$\leq \frac{6}{8} v_1^8 + \frac{2}{8} v_2^8$$

Noise Renience / Generalization

Lemma: Suppose $\| \sum_i a_i a_i^T \|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon$

Suppose $T = \sum_i a_i^{\otimes 3} + E$. a_i are unit vectors.

$$\|E\|_{\{1,3\}, \{2,3\}} \leq \delta.$$

Then, $\mathcal{A} = \{ \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1, \langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle \geq 1 - \epsilon - \delta \}$

$$\mathcal{A} \vdash \frac{2k}{x} \left\{ \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \geq 1 - O(k\delta) - O(k\epsilon) \right\}$$

Proof: Similar style
proof

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle &\geq 1 - \delta - \epsilon \\ &= \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^3 + \langle E, x^{\otimes 3} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\gg \sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^2 - \delta$$

For $x = a_1$

$$\|a_1\|^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{i \neq 1} \langle a_i, a_1 \rangle^2}_{\leq \varepsilon} - \delta$$

$$\sum_i \langle a_i, a_1 \rangle^2$$

$$\leq \sum_i \langle a_i, a_1 \rangle^2 \leq$$

$$= a_1^T \left(\sum_i a_i a_i^T \right) a_1$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \|a_1\|^2 = 1 + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i \neq 1} \langle a_i, a_1 \rangle^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof of completeness.

Algorithm / Rounding

Let μ be a pdi of $\deg 2k$. satisfy A .

Then.
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \geq 1 - O(k\delta)$$

$$O(k\delta) < k_2$$

therefore $\exists i \quad \mathbb{E} \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \geq 1 - O(k\delta)$

$$\mu \uparrow \frac{1}{2V}$$

Note: if all points in $\text{supp}(\mu)$ are such that $\langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1 - \beta \sim \delta$, $k \sim \frac{1}{\delta} \log V$

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \langle a_i, x \rangle^k \leq (1 - \beta)^k$$

Goal: round μ to a single solution.

Lemma: Let μ be a p.d. on X satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \langle a, x \rangle^k \geq e^{-\delta k} \quad \text{for } k \sim \frac{\log V}{\delta} \quad \& \quad \{ \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1 \}$$

Then, there's a $n^{O(k)}$ time algo that succeeds w.p. $2^{-k/\text{poly}(C)}$ that outputs

a' s.t. $\langle a, a' \rangle \geq e^{-\delta} \sim 1 - \delta$.

$\rightarrow$ cert norm

Note: If $\mathbb{E} \langle a, x \rangle^2 \geq e^{-\delta} \sim \underline{1-\delta}$

then can round easily.

$$1 \geq \text{tr}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}} x x^T) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1$$

$$a^T (\tilde{\mathbb{E}} x x^T) a \geq 1-\delta$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_d \end{array} \right)$$

$$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d \geq 0$$

$$\sum_i \lambda_i \leq 1$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 1-\delta$$

So top eigenvector of $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} x x^T$ works.

Rounding idea:

Reweightings.

$$\mu, p: \mu'_x = \mu(x) p(x)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu'} f = \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{E}} f \cdot p}{\tilde{\mathbb{E}} p}$$

Claim: $\mu: \|x\|_2^2 \leq 1, \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^k \geq e^{-\delta \cdot k}$

then there's a $k-2$ deg so's r/wrightly of μ

s.t. $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^2 \geq e^{-\delta}$ ✓

Pf: $1, \langle a, x \rangle^2, \langle a, x \rangle^4, \dots, \langle a, x \rangle^{k-2}$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^2, \quad \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^4}{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^2} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle a, x \rangle^k / \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle a, x \rangle^{k-2} \end{array} \right.$$

Suppose $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\mu} \langle a, x \rangle^2 < e^{-\delta}$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle a, x \rangle^k \geq e^{-k\delta}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle a, x \rangle^2 < \underline{e^{-\delta}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \langle a, x \rangle^k \geq e^{-(k-1)\delta}$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \langle g, x \rangle^2}{\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \langle g, x \rangle^2} \geq \epsilon$$

$$\exists i: \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \langle g, x \rangle^{i+2}}{\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \langle g, x \rangle^i} \geq \epsilon^{-\delta}$$

use $\langle g, x \rangle^i$ as reweighting.

Actual idea: pick $g_1 \dots g_{\frac{k-1}{2}}$

Reweight with $\langle g_1, x \rangle^2$
 $\langle g_1, x \rangle^2, \langle g_2, x \rangle^2$

$$|\langle g, a \rangle| \sim \sqrt{\log k}$$

$$\langle g_1, x \rangle^2 \dots \langle g_{\frac{k-1}{2}}, x \rangle^2$$

Quasipoly algo to recover at least one
 overcomplete 3rd

Component of an

order known

$$\|\sum_i a_i a_i^T\|_2 \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

$a_1, \dots, a_r$, of unit norm

Overcompleteness:

$$\frac{d^{1.5}}{\log d}$$

✓

polynomial time!

Idea: use Jennrich's algo as rounding algo!

$a_1, \dots, a_r$ $r \gg d$

$a_1^{\otimes 2}, \dots, a_r^{\otimes 2} : d^2 \text{ dim}$

Jennrich's algo: $\sum_i a_i^{\otimes 6}$ $\swarrow$ you don't
 $= \sum_i (a_i^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3}$

$3 - 1 - \epsilon$

SOS: $\sum \langle a_i, x \rangle^6 \geq 1 - \delta$

$\downarrow$

$\sum_i \langle a_i, x \rangle^6 \geq 1 - o(\delta)$

$\mathbb{E}_{\mu} x^{\otimes 6} \sim \sum_i a_i^{\otimes 6}$

$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}$

1. Polynomial Time Tensor Decomposition
using SOS
Ma-Shi-Steurer '15
2. Dictionary Learning & Tensor Dec
using SOS
Barak-Kelner-Steurer '14.