# O'Caml Basics: Unit and Options

COS 326 David Walker Princeton University

(4.0, 5.0) : float \* float

(4.0, 5.0) : float \* float

• Here's a tuple with 3 fields:

(4.0, 5, "hello") : float \* int \* string

(4.0, 5.0) : float \* float

• Here's a tuple with 3 fields:

(4.0, 5, "hello") : float \* int \* string

• Here's a tuple with 4 fields:

(4.0, 5, "hello", 55) : float \* int \* string \* int

(4.0, 5.0) : float \* float

• Here's a tuple with 3 fields:

(4.0, 5, "hello") : float \* int \* string

• Here's a tuple with 4 fields:

(4.0, 5, "hello", 55) : float \* int \* string \* int

 Have you ever thought about what a tuple with 0 fields might look like? • Unit is the tuple with zero fields!



• there are no other values with this type!

# Unit

• Unit is the tuple with zero fields!



- there are no other values with this type!
- Why is the unit type and value useful?
- Every expression has a type:

(print\_string "hello world\n") : ???

# Unit

• Unit is the tuple with zero fields!



- there are no other values with this type!
- Why is the unit type and value useful?
- Every expression has a type:

(print\_string "hello world\n") : unit

• Expressions executed for their *effect* return the unit value

# Writing Functions Over Typed Data

- Steps to writing functions over typed data:
  - 1. Write down the function and argument names
  - 2. Write down argument and result types
  - 3. Write down some examples (in a comment)
  - 4. Deconstruct input data structures
  - 5. Build new output values
  - 6. Clean up by identifying repeated patterns
- For tuples:
  - when the input has type unit
    - use let () = ... in ... to deconstruct
    - or better use e1; ... to deconstruct if e1 has type unit
    - or do nothing ... because unit carries no information of value
  - when the output has type unit
    - use () to construct

# OUR THIRD DATA STRUCTURE! THE OPTION

# Options

- A value v has type t option if it is either:
  - the value None, or
  - a value Some v', and v' has type t
- Options can signal there is no useful result to the computation
- Example: we loop up a value in a hash table using a key.
  - If the key is present in the hash table then we return Some v where v is the associated value
  - If the key is not present, we return None

















# Remember the typing rule for if

if e1 : bool
and e2 : t and e3 : t (for some type t)
then if e1 then e2 else e3 : t

• Returning an optional value from an if statement:

if then	
None	: t option
else	
Some ( )	: t option







```
slope : point -> point -> float option
```

```
let print_slope (p1:point) (p2:point) : unit =
  match slope p1 p2 with
```

```
;;
```



Vertical bar separates possibilities



```
slope : point -> point -> float option
let print slope (p1:point) (p2:point) : unit =
 match slope p1 p2 with
    Some s ->
     print string ("Slope: " ^ string of float s)
   None ->
     print string "Vertical line.\n"
;;
```

# Writing Functions Over Typed Data

- Steps to writing functions over typed data:
  - 1. Write down the function and argument names
  - 2. Write down argument and result types
  - 3. Write down some examples (in a comment)
  - 4. Deconstruct input data structures
  - 5. Build new output values
  - 6. Clean up by identifying repeated patterns
- For tuples:

when the input has type t option, deconstruct with:

when the output has type t option, construct with:





# **MORE PATTERN MATCHING**

### **Recall the Distance Function**

```
type point = float * float
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   let (x1,y1) = p1 in
   let (x2,y2) = p2 in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
;;
```

## **Recall the Distance Function**

There is only 1 possibility when matching a pair

## **Recall the Distance Function**

We can nest one match expression inside another.

(We can nest any expression inside any other, if the expressions have the right types)

## **Better Style: Complex Patterns**



Pattern for a pair of pairs: ((variable, variable), (variable, variable)) All the variable names in the pattern must be different.

## **Better Style: Complex Patterns**



A pattern must be consistent with the type of the expression in between match ... with We use (p3, p4) here instead of ((x1, y1), (x2, y2))

# I like the original the best

```
type point = float * float
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   let (x1,y1) = p1 in
   let (x2,y2) = p2 in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
;;
```

It is the clearest and most compact.

Code with unnecessary nested patterns matching is particularly ugly to read. You'll be judged on code style in this class.

### **Combining patterns**

```
type point = float * float
(* returns a nearby point in the graph if one exists *)
nearby : graph -> point -> point option
let printer (g:graph) (p:point) : unit =
 match nearby q p with
  | None -> print string "could not find one\n"
  | Some (x, y) \rightarrow
      print float x;
      print string ", ";
      print float y;
      print newline();
;;
```

### **Other Patterns**

• Constant values can be used as patterns



matches anything it is the "don't care" pattern

# A QUICK COMMENT ON JAVA

## **Definition and Use of Java Pairs**

```
public class Pair {
   public int x;
   public int y;
   public Pair (int a, int b) {
      x = a;
      y = b;
   }
}
```

```
public class User {
   public Pair swap (Pair p1) {
    Pair p2 =
        new Pair(p1.y, p1.x);
    return p2;
   }
}
```

What could go wrong?

# A Paucity of Types

```
public class Pair {
   public int x;
   public int y;
   public Pair (int a, int b) {
      x = a;
      y = b;
   }
}
```

```
public class User {
   public Pair swap (Pair p1) {
    Pair p2 =
        new Pair(p1.y, p1.x);
    return p2;
   }
}
```

- The input p1 to swap may be null and we forgot to check.
- Java has no way to define a pair data structure that is *just a pair*.
- How many students in the class have seen an accidental null pointer exception thrown in their Java code?

#### In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

type java\_pair = (int \* int) option

In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

```
type java_pair = (int * int) option
```

If you write code like this:

```
let swap_java_pair (p:java_pair) : java_pair =
   let (x,y) = p in
   (y,x)
```

In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

```
type java_pair = (int * int) option
```

If you write code like this:

```
let swap_java_pair (p:java_pair) : java_pair =
    let (x,y) = p in
    (y,x)
```

The type checker gives you an error immediately:

In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

```
type java_pair = (int * int) option
```

What if you did the following stupid thing?

```
let swap_java_pair (p:java_pair) : java_pair =
  match p with
  | Some (x,y) -> Some (y,x)
```

In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

```
type java_pair = (int * int) option
```

What if you did the following stupid thing?

```
let swap_java_pair (p:java_pair) : java_pair =
  match p with
    | Some (x,y) -> Some (y,x)
```

The type checker to the rescue again:

```
..match p with
    | Some (x,y) -> Some (y,x)
Warning 8: this pattern-matching is not exhaustive.
Here is an example of a value that is not matched:
None
```

In O'Caml, if a pair may be null it is a pair option:

```
type java_pair = (int * int) option
```

You can fix either error in 2 seconds:

```
let swap java pair (p:java pair) : java pair =
  let (x, y) = p in
  (y, x)
let swap java pair (p:java pair) : java pair =
   match p with
     None -> None
    | Some (x, y) \rightarrow Some (y, x)
```

- Moreover, your pairs are probably almost never null
- Defensive programming in which you are always checking for null is annoying and time consuming
- Worst of all, there just isn't always some "good thing" for a function to do when it receives a bad input, like a null pointer
- In O'Caml, all these issues disappear when you use the proper type for a pair and that type contains no "extra junk"

type pair = int \* int

```
let swap (p:pair) : pair =
   let (x,y) = p in (y,x)
```

• Once you know O'Caml, it is *hard* to write swap incorrectly

# Summary of Java Pair Rant

- Java has a paucity of types
  - There is no type to describe just the pairs
  - There is no type to describe just the triples
  - There is no type to describe the pairs of pairs
  - There is no type ...
  - Later: there is no type to describe just the acyclic lists or binary trees ...
- O'Caml has many more types
  - use option when things may be null
  - do not use option when things are not null
  - ocaml types describe data structures more precisely
  - type checking and pattern analysis help prevent programmers from ever forgetting about a case

# OVERALL SUMMARY: A SHORT INTRODUCTION TO FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING

# Functional Programming

Steps to writing functions over typed data:

- 1. Write down the function and argument names
- 2. Write down argument and result types
- 3. Write down some examples
- 4. Deconstruct input data structures
  - the argument types suggest how you do it
  - the types tell you which cases you must cover
- 5. Build new output values
  - the result type suggests how you do it
- 6. Clean up by identifying repeated patterns
  - define and reuse helper functions
  - refactor code to use your helpers
  - your code should be elegant and easy to read

# Summary: Constructing/Deconstructing Values

Туре	Construct Values	Number of Cases	Deconstruct Values
int	0, -1, 2,	2^31-1	match i with   0 ->   -1 ->    x ->
bool	true, false	2	match b with   true ->   false ->
t1 * t2	(2, "hi")	(# of t1) * (# of t2)	let $(x,y) =$ in match p with $(x,y) \rightarrow$
unit	()	1	e1;
t option	None, Some 3	1 + (# of t1)	match opt with   None ->   Some x ->

# END