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ABSTRACT
Garbage collection algorithms rely on invariants to permit the identification of pointers
and to correctly locate accessible objects. These invariants translate into constraints on
object layouts and programming conventions governing pointer use. There are recent vari-
atations of collectors in which the invariants are relaxed. Typically, rules governing pointer
use are relaxed, and a ‘conservative’ collection algorithm that treats all potential pointers
as valid is used. Such pointers are ‘ambiguous’ because integers and other data can mas-
quarade as pointers. Ambiguous pointers cannot be modified and hence the objects they
reference cannot be moved. Consequently, conservative collectors are based on mark-and-
sweep algorithms. Copying algorithms, while more efficient, have not been used because
they move objects and adjust pointers. This paper describes a variation of a copying
garbage collector that can be used in the presence of ambiguous references. The algorithm
constrains the layout and placement of objects, but not the location of referencing point-
ers. It simply avoids copying objects that are referenced directly by ambiguous pointers,
reclaiming their storage on a subsequent collection when they are no longer ambiguously
referenced. An implementation written in the ANSI C programming language is given.
Copy Garbage Collection in the Presence of Ambiguous References

Introduction

Traditional garbage collection algorithms [1] are based on the assumption that memory use obeys well-defined rules. Such rules typically specify the layout of objects allocated in the heap, the use of 'root' or 'tended' pointers, and the representation of data. These kinds of rules are designed to maintain invariants necessary for successful garbage collection. Rules concerning root pointers enforce invariants that permit all active objects to be located starting from a (small) set of root pointers. Rules concerning object layout and data representation permit pointers to objects to be distinguished from other data.

These kinds of rules exert a strong influence on the design and implementation of systems that use garbage collection for storage management. Programming languages, such as Icon [2] and ML [3], are prime examples, but garbage collection constraints affect the design of other applications, such as editors [4].

Evolving systems that lack garbage collectors initially may suffer because adding garbage collection late in the development can be prohibitively difficult. Adding garbage collection to an existing or nearly completed system often requires adherence to rules that can lead to massive re-design and re-implementation. Bugs in a garbage collector that has not matured concurrently with its host system are notoriously difficult to repair [5].

Garbage collection is sufficiently useful that several attempts have been made to provide garbage collectors that can be used in systems without the accompanying rules and consequent impact outlined above. Such garbage collectors must deal with ill-defined root sets and ambiguous references, i.e., apparent references to objects that cannot be distinguished from other data, such as integers. In the most general case, these references arise from data in the root set and from within other objects.

The approach usually taken is 'conservative': All global data including the runtime stack is treated as the root set, and any object that is potentially accessible is treated as active. Thus, any bit pattern in the root set or in an accessible object that, when treated as a pointer, points to an object marks that object as active.

While numerous such facilities have undoubtedly been implemented, few are documented. The galloc facility in the ninth edition of UNIX is an example of this conservative approach [6]. galloc and gfree are generalizations of the malloc and free facility from the C programming language library [7]. The latter functions allocate and deallocate storage explicitly, but do not reclaim storage. galloc and gfree are similar, except that inaccessible space is reclaimed via garbage collection when free space is exhausted.

More recent facilities are similar [8, 9] and take special efforts to minimize the additional overhead incurred by programs that do not make use of the garbage collector. These recent efforts have also demonstrated the use of the garbage collector as a debugging tool. Adding a garbage collector to an existing system in which storage is supposedly managed explicitly can often help detect 'storage leaks' and similar storage management bugs.

Some garbage collection algorithms move accessible objects and adjust pointers to them to reflect this movement. In the presence of ambiguous references, however, pointers cannot be adjusted because they cannot be identified conclusively as pointers. Thus, objects accessible via ambiguous references cannot be moved. For this reason, collectors like galloc are based on mark-and-sweep algorithms with an associated free space list [1].

Copying garbage collection algorithms [10] are generally superior to mark-and-sweep. Copying algorithms require time proportional to the number of accessible objects whereas mark-and-sweep algorithms require time proportional to the number of accessible and inaccessible objects. With the increasing prevalence of large memories, the additional space required by copying algorithms is of decreasing importance [11].

In general, copying algorithms cannot be used with ambiguous references because accessible objects are moved [12–14]. The general situation does not always apply, and in some cases, the details of specific systems relax some of the general constraints and admit more flexibility. The remainder of this paper describes a simple copying garbage collector that can be used when there are relatively few ambiguous references and when those references appear only in the root set. As detailed below, the collector simply declines
to move objects directly accessible from ambiguous references, reclaiming their storage on a subsequent collection when they are no longer referenced by ambiguous pointers. The collector is written in the ANSI C programming language [7].

The algorithm described below is less general than the one described recently by Bartlett [12, 13]. Bartlett’s algorithm handles ‘derived pointers’ and ambiguous pointers from within the heap. It does, however, require that pages with ambiguously referenced objects remain allocated until none of the objects on the page are ambiguously referenced.

Environment

Systems in which space is allocated without any knowledge of the contents are rare in practice. Most often, dynamic memory allocation is used with specific data structures having known layouts. For example, compilers often use general-purpose storage allocators, but usually allocate relatively few different types of objects, such as symbol table entries and tree nodes. In some object-oriented systems, such as Objective-C [15], may have many different kinds of objects, but they obey simplifying rules and conventions. When the details of the allocated objects are known, general-purpose techniques can often be simplified.

The copying garbage collector described below is intended for use in systems in which dynamically allocated objects are used as described above. Specifically, it is assumed that

1. the layout of objects is known;
2. objects are self-identifying;
3. the memory spaces from which objects are allocated contain only objects;
4. all pointers to an object point to the head of an object, i.e., there are no ‘derived pointers’; and
5. objects do not contain untagged ‘unions’ (i.e., variant records that can hide pointers).

These assumptions constrain the layout and placement of objects, but they do not constrain the placement of pointers from outside the allocation space. The garbage collector treats any pointer to an object as valid and preserves the referenced object.

Objects are defined by

```c
typedef struct object Object;
struct object {
  int size;  /* number of fields */
  Object *alink;  /* link for ambiguous object list */
  Object *fields[i];  /* pointers to other objects */
};
#define sizeInBytes(n) ((n)-1)*sizeof(Object *) + sizeof(Object))
```

Instances of Object are as large as necessary to accommodate their fields. sizeInBytes computes the size of an object in bytes given its size in fields. The size field is unnecessary where the size can be deduced from other fields [2]. Also, assuming that all fields are pointers simplifies the exposition; in applications involving heterogeneous objects, a pointer map would be used to find pointers within objects. Pointer maps, one per object type, are generated by hand or by the compiler. alink is described below.

Memory is divided into two spaces defined by

```c
typedef struct space {  /* memory space: */
  char *start;  /* start of memory space */
  char *end;  /* 1 past end of memory space */
  char *next;  /* next free location */
  Object *limit;  /* 1 past end of free chunk */
  Object *alist;  /* ambiguous object list */
} Space;
```

A and B are initialized to n-byte memory regions during initialization, start and end give the bounds of a space, and the allocation pointers next and limit and alist are described below.
Allocation and Garbage Collection

New objects are allocated and cleared by calling `newObject(n)` where `n` is the number of fields required (`n > 0`):

```c
Object *newObject(int n) {
    Object *p;
    p = (Object *) alloc(sizeInBytes(n), old);
    if (p == 0) {
        gc();
        p = (Object *) alloc(sizeInBytes(n), old);
        if (p == 0)
            error("memory overflow");
    }
    p->alink = 0;
    p->size = n;
    while (--n >= 0)
        p->fields[n] = 0;
    return p;
}
```

`alloc(int n, Space *s)`, described below, allocates `n` bytes in the memory space pointed to by `s`.

As shown, objects are allocated in `old` space. When an allocation fails, indicated by `alloc` returning `0`, the garbage collector, `gc`, is called. In the absence of ambiguous references, `gc` would use the standard copying algorithm: Copy accessible objects in `old` to `new` space, exchange `old` and `new`, and return. If the second call to `alloc` fails, the system is out of memory.

`gc` cannot move objects pointed to by ambiguous references. It leaves ambiguously referenced objects behind, but adds them to the `alist` — the ambiguously referenced object list — for that memory space. The `alist` for space `s` begins at `s->alist` and is threaded through the `alink` fields of objects in order of increasing object addresses. `s->alist` is initialized to `s->end`, which permits non-zero `alink` fields to indicate an object’s presence on a `alist`. Objects are added to `old->alist` by `aref`:

```c
void aref(Object *p) {
    Object **q, *r;
    if (inSpace(p, old) && p->alink == 0) {
        q = &old->alist;
        for (r = *q; p > r; r = *q)
            q = &r->alink;
        p->alink = r;
        *q = p;
    }
}
```

`inSpace(Object *p, Space *s)` is non-zero if `p` points to an object in space `s` and is implemented by checking that `p` is within `s->start` and `s->end` and points to the head of an object. Note that the length of the `alist` for each garbage collection gives the number of ambiguously referenced objects.

The root set consists of the active portion runtime stack, `stack[0..sp]`. Other locations, such as the machine registers and global data, could also be added. `gc` considers all references from the root set to be ambiguous, adding them to `old`’s `alist` by calling `aref`:

```c
gc(void) {
    int i;
    Space *t;
    Object *p;
    old->alist = (Object *) old->end;
    for (i = 0; i <= sp; i++)
        aref(stack[i]);
```
old->limit = old->alist;
head = 0;
tail = &head;
for (p = old->alist; (char *)p != old->end; p = p->alink)
    scan(p);
for (p = new->alist; (char *)p != new->end; p = p->alink)
    scan(p);
while (head) {
    p = head;
    head = head->alink;
    if (head == 0)
        tail = &head;
    p->alink = 0;
    scan(p);
}
t = old;
old = new;
ew = t;
new->next = new->start;

old->limit is reset to old->alist for use in alloc, described below. Once old->alist is constructed, those objects are treated as the root set for the remainder of the collection. That is, objects pointed to by objects on the alist are copied to the new space using scan. Similar comments apply for those objects on new->alist, which are ambiguously referenced objects left over from the previous collection. This top-level copying is accomplished by the second and third for loops in gc.

scan moves the object’s referents using move:

    void scan(Object *p) {
        int i;

        for (i = 0; i < p->size; i++)
            p->fields[i] = move(p->fields[i]);
    }

Whenever move copies an object to new space, it appends the copy onto a queue of unscanned objects. This queue is formed by linking through the alink fields, which are not as yet used in the newly copied objects. head points to the first object on the queue and tail points to link at the end of the queue. The while loop in gc is the equivalent of the breadth-first code from the standard copying algorithm; it removes and scans objects from the unscanned queue, copying their referents by calling move.

The unscanned queue is unnecessary a standard copying collector; the breadth-first loop advances a pointer toward new->next, scanning intervening, recently copied objects, e.g.,

    for (unscanned = new->start; unscanned < new->next; ) {
        scan((Object *) unscanned);
        unscanned += sizeInBytes(p->size);
    }

This code cannot be used in the present collector because the free space in new is not contiguous; ambiguously referenced objects left over from a previous collection fragment the free space in new.

move copies a unambiguously referenced object from old to new space, marks it as moved, leaves a forwarding pointer in its old place, and adds it to the unscanned queue:

    Object *move(Object *p) {
        int i;
        Object *q;

        if (!inSpace(p, old) || p->alink)
            return p;
        if ((p->size&MARKED) == 0) {

            - 4 -
q = (Object *) alloc(sizeInBytes(p->size), new);
    if (q == 0)
        error("memory overflow during collection");
    q->alink = 0;
    q->size = p->size;
    for (i = 0; i < p->size; i++)
        q->fields[i] = p->fields[i];
    p->fields[0] = q;
    p->size |= MARKED;
    *tail = q;
    tail = &q->alink;
}
return p->fields[0];
}

MARKED is the sign bit of the size field. If p->alink is non-zero, p points to an ambiguously referenced object, which is not moved. move is similar to the code used in the standard copy time algorithm [1]. The differences are the test for a ambiguously referenced object, the possibility that alloc will fail, and the use of a mark bit. In the standard algorithm, testing if fields[0] points into new space was sufficient to determine if the object needed to be copied. Here, however, fields[0] might point to an object that was previously referenced by ambiguous pointer and was left behind in new space on a previous collection, which necessitates the mark bit.

Suppose old and new are initialized as shown above to spaces A and B. At the end of the first call to gc, all accessible unambiguously referenced objects are in B, A contains the (few) ambiguously referenced objects on its alist, and old and new refer to B and A, respectively. When B runs out of memory, gc is invoked, builds an alist for B and begins to move unambiguously referenced objects into A, calling alloc for space. alloc uses A’s alist to step over ambiguously referenced objects left behind during the first call to gc:

char *alloc(int n, Space *s) {
    for (;;) {
        if (s->next + n < (char *)s->limit) {
            s->next += n;
            return s->next - n;
        }
        if ((char *)s->limit >= s->end)
            return 0;
        s->next = (char *)s->limit + sizeInBytes(s->limit->size);
        s->limit = s->limit->alink;
    }
}

At any point during execution, s->next and s->limit delimit the next free portion of space s. If an allocation request cannot be satisfied, s->next is stepped over the intervening ambiguously referenced object and s->limit is advanced to the next object on the alist. As noted above, the last object on the alist points to s->end.

At the end of the second call to gc, all accessible unambiguously referenced objects have again been copied, filling in the holes in A, ambiguously referenced objects have been left behind in B on its alist, and old and new refer to A and B, respectively. Allocation continues in A, using alloc to step over ambiguously referenced objects left over from the first gc, as necessary. When space in A is exhausted, a third call to gc continues the cycle. A’s alist is rebuilt, potentially releasing the space occupied by ambiguously referenced objects found during the first collection, B’s alist is scanned, and unambiguously referenced objects are copied to B.

Improvements

One possible problem with the garbage collection algorithm is fragmentation. If an allocation request cannot be satisfied by alloc, the space between s->next and s->limit is lost. More complex allocation schemes can be used to avoid this problem. For example, every time alloc steps over some free space, it could add it to a free space list. alloc would search the free space list only when its other, faster, allocation method
failed, or when success was certain, which can be determined by keeping track of the maximum block size on the free list. This scheme would add another two fields to Space structures.

A more serious problem is the space required by the alink field. alink’s are needed only in instances of ambiguously referenced objects, not in every object instance, and temporarily for building the unscanned queue. If the number of ambiguously referenced objects expected or tolerated is small, fixed-size arrays can be used.

Alternatively, at a moderate cost in space and time, the list elements can be allocated in the old and new spaces by calling alloc. An alist is constructed from Vnodes with fields vobj, which points to the ambiguously referenced object, and alink, which points to the next Vnode on the alist. The limit and alist fields in Space structures point to Vnode’s. As old’s alist is constructed, Vnode’s are allocated in new space by aref:

```c
void aref(Object *p) {
    Vnode **q, *r, *t;
    if (inSpace(p, old) && (p->size&AOBJECT) == 0) {
        q = &old->alist;
        for (r = *q; p > r->vobj; r = *q)
            q = &r->alink;
        t = (Vnode *) alloc(sizeof(Vnode), new);
        if (t == 0)
            error("memory overflow during collection");
        t->vobj = p;
        t->alink = r;
        *q = t;
        p->size |= AOBJECT;
    }
}
```

Ambiguously referenced objects are marked as such because objects no longer have alink fields. The AOBJECT marks are cleared in gc, as shown below. alloc is modified accordingly by changing all occurrences of a->limit that refer to a ambiguously referenced object to a->limit->vobj. Note that if, as in many applications, Vnodes are small compared to objects, they may be allocated in the space between a->next and a->limit that would otherwise be lost because of fragmentation.

The unscanned queue can be threaded through the old space copies of non-ambiguously referenced objects if all objects have enough room for two pointers. Space for one pointer, fields[0], is already required to point to the new space copy, and objects in many applications have space for a second pointer. Here, fields[0] can also be used as an element of the unscanned queue, and fields[1] points to the next object on the queue. With these changes, move becomes

```c
Object *move(Object *p) {
    int i;
    Object *q;
    if (!inSpace(p, old) || (p->size&AOBJECT))
        return p;
    if ((p->size&MARKED) == 0) {
        q = (Object *) alloc(sizeInBytes(p->size), new);
        if (q == 0)
            error("memory overflow during collection");
        q->size = p->size;
        for (i = 0; i < p->size; i++)
            q->fields[i] = p->fields[i];
        p->fields[0] = q;
        p->fields[1] = 0;
        p->size |= MARKED;
        *tail = q;
        tail = &q->fields[1];
    }
    return q;
}
```
return p->fields[0];
}

These revised representations for the alist and unscanned queue lead to the revised gc:

gc(void) {
  int i;
  Space *t;
  Object *p;
  Vnode *q;
  old->alist = (Vnode *) old->end;
  for (i = 0; i < sp; i++)
    aref(stack[i]);
  old->limit = old->alist;
  head = 0;
  tail = &head;
  for (q = old->alist; (char *) q->vobj != old->end; q = q->alink)
    scan(q->vobj);
  for (q = new->alist; (char *) q->vobj != new->end; q = q->alink)
    scan(q->vobj);
  while (head) {
    p = head;
    head = head->fields[1];
    if (head == 0)
      tail = &head;
    scan(p);
  }
  for (q = old->alist; (char *) q->vobj != old->end; q = q->alink)
    q->vobj->size &= ~AOBJECT;
  t = old;
  old = new;
  new = t;
  new->next = new->start;
}

The last for loop in gc unmarks the ambiguously referenced objects in old space, which were marked by aref, above.

Discussion
As presented, accessible objects are reached only through ambiguously referenced objects. In most applications, there are some pointers to known objects in the root set, which may or may not be referenced by an ambiguous pointer. This situation can be handled by adding statements p = move(p) for each of these objects, p, after old’s alist has been constructed in gc. This addition has the effect of copying the known objects to new space and adjusting the root pointer accordingly, unless the object was also referenced by an ambiguous pointer in which case it remains in old space.

When there are no ambiguous references, the algorithm is equivalent the standard copying algorithm. The additional overhead in this case is the scan for ambiguous references, but this overhead must be paid by any algorithm that copes with ambiguous references. The additional space required is minimal: A few extra pointers in Space structures.

When there are ambiguous references, both additional time and space are required. For N ambiguously referenced objects, the insertion sort in aref may require \(O(N^2)\) time, and requires \(2bN\) bytes of additional space, where \(b\) is the size of a pointer. This additional space comes at the expense of future allocations since it is taken from new space. The last for loop in gc contributes an additional time overhead of \(O(N)\).

Ambiguous references complicate alloc slightly. Allocation proceeds normally until s->next must be advanced over a ambiguously referenced object, which occurs \(N\) times. This additional \(O(N)\) time overhead is amortized across the allocation of an entire memory space, however.
One of the algorithm's most serious drawbacks, which it shares with all such algorithms, is that it saves potentially many objects that are not really accessible. These include the ambiguously referenced objects and the objects to which they directly or indirectly refer. The number of ambiguously referenced objects can be minimized by reducing the probability that other data will incidentally have pointer values or by reducing the size of the root set. On machines with large address spaces, locating the memory spaces in the high part of the address space tends to reduce the number of incidental pointer values.

The size of the root set can be reduced by using pointer maps or other information, such as stack frame layout, to identify potential pointers in the stack and global data instead of treating the contents of all pointer locations as potential pointers. This approach does, of course, require more assistance from the compiler or more hand work. A simple alternative is to try to avoid exhausting storage when the root set, i.e., the active stack, is large. This alternative can be accomplished by calling gc explicitly at appropriate points during execution. While there is a time penalty for this approach, in many applications, gc can be called when the system is otherwise idle, e.g., waiting for user input.

Another, potentially more serious, drawback of the algorithm is that it cannot handle derived pointers and objects must be self-identifying. \texttt{inSpace}(p,s) must determine—unambiguously—whether \texttt{p} points to an object in \texttt{s}. Doing so constrains the object layouts or their placement. For example, in systems like Icon [2], object layouts permit the head of an object to be identified given a potential pointer because the bit patterns that begin objects cannot occur elsewhere.

On other systems, such as Objective-C, objects must be placed so that addressing can be used to check if a pointer points to an object. For instance, suppose all pages (logical or physical) begin with objects. For pointer \texttt{p}, the head of the object at the beginning of the page into which \texttt{p} points can be located. From this object, all other objects on the page can be located thereby determining if \texttt{p} points to an object. This technique may increase the cost of \texttt{inSpace} significantly. It can, however, be used to handle derived pointers from the root set by having \texttt{inSpace} return a pointer to the head of the object.

An interesting area for further investigation is adapting the algorithm to do incremental [16] and generation-based [17] garbage collection. For example, in generation-based schemes, long-lived objects migrate to a memory space that is collected infrequently thereby reducing the cost of collection. The present algorithm might be extended with generations so that a space containing ambiguously referenced objects is used for long-lived storage. Doing so would effectively 'promote' ambiguously referenced objects to long-lived objects thereby avoiding further special treatment.
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