

A DENSITY THEOREM FOR PURELY ITERATIVE  
ZERO FINDING METHODS

Joel Friedman

CS-TR-115-87

November 1987

# A Density Theorem for Purely Iterative Zero Finding Methods

Joel Friedman  
Princeton University

November 12, 1987

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Some Preliminary Results	3
3	Successive Normalizations	7

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove a theorem about the density of points for which a purely iterative root finding method converges to a root.

For  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i z^i$  consider a map

$$T_f(z) = \frac{P(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(l)})}{Q(z, f, f', \dots, f^{(l)})}$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are polynomials over  $\mathbf{C}$ . For each  $f$ ,  $T_f$  is a map from  $\mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  to itself which we think of as an iteration in a root finding method. We require that

1.

$$T_f(z) = \frac{z^s P_0(f, z f', z^2 f'', \dots)}{z^{s-1} Q_0(f, z f', z^2 f'', \dots)} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $P_0$  and  $Q_0$  are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree.

2.  $T_f(z)$  depends only on  $z$  and the roots  $r_1, \dots, r_d$  of  $f$ , and

$$A(T_f(z)) = T_{Af}(Az)$$

for any linear map  $A: z \mapsto az + b$ , where

$$Af(z) = a_d(z - Ar_1) \dots (z - Ar_d)$$

for

$$f(z) = a_d(z - r_1) \dots (z - r_d).$$

3.  $T_f(r) = r$ ,  $|T'_f(r)| < 1$  for any root  $r$  of  $f$ .  
 4.  $T_f(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $|T'_f(\infty)| > 1$  for any  $f$  of degree  $> 1$ .

To measure the density of convergent points for  $T_f$ , let  $P_d$  denote the polynomials of degree  $d$  with roots in the unit ball. For a polynomial  $f$ , let

$$\Gamma_{T,f} = \{z : T_f^n(z) \rightarrow \text{a root of } f \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$$

where  $T_f^n$  is the  $n$ -th iterate of  $T_f$  (i.e.  $\Gamma_{T,f}$  is the set of points converging to a root of  $f$  under the iteration  $T_f$ ). Let

$$A_{T,f} = |\Gamma_{T,f} \cap B_2(0)|.$$

Then  $A_{T,f}/4\pi$  is the probability that a random point in  $B_2(0)$  converges to a root.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $T$  satisfy (1)-(4). Then for any  $d$  there is a  $c > 0$  such that*

$$A_{T,f} > c \quad \forall f \in P_d.$$

The above density theorem was conjectured to hold for Newton's method by Smale in [Sma85]. This conjecture was proven in [Fri86]; the proof used some special properties of Newton's method and explicit bounds on the constants as a function of  $d$  were given. The above theorem applies to a much larger class of root finding methods, though no explicit bounds on  $c$  are given.

Examples of  $T$  satisfying (1)-(4) are

1. Newton's method,  $T_f(z) = z - \frac{f}{f'}$ .
2. Modified Newton's method,  $T_f(z) = z - h\frac{f}{f'}$  with a constant  $h$ ,  $0 < h < 1$ .
3. Taylor's Method

$$T_f(z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{d^i}{dt^i} \left( \frac{\phi_t(z)}{i!} \right) \Big|_{t=0} h^i$$

where  $\phi_t(z)$  solves

$$\frac{d\phi_t(z)}{dt} = -\frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}, \quad \phi_0(z) = z$$

with  $k$  a positive integer and  $h$  a positive number sufficiently small (depending on  $k$ ).

4. Incremental Euler's Method

$$T_f(z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-hf(z))^i}{i!} g^{(i)}(f(z))$$

with  $g = f^{-1}$ ,  $k$  a positive integer, and  $h$  positive and sufficiently small.

## 2 Some Preliminary Results

One of the main tools used will be the Fatou-Julia theory of iterations of rational maps; see [Bla84] for an exposition. We shall use the following consequence of their theory— let  $g: \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a rational map. Let  $z$  be a repelling fixed point, i.e.  $g(z) = z$  and  $|g'(z)| > 1$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have*

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} g^n \{B_\epsilon(z)\} = \mathbf{C} - A$$

where  $A$  consists of at most two points.

**Proof** See [Bla84].

For our maps  $T$ , we have that  $\infty$  is a repelling fixed point so the lemma can be applied.

From condition (1)-(4) on  $T$  it is easy to see that

$$T'_f(\infty) = q(d) = \frac{Q(1, d, d(d-1), \dots)}{P(1, d, d(d-1), \dots)}$$

is a rational function of  $d$  independent of  $f$ , and that if  $r$  is a  $k$ -tuple root, then

$$T'_f(r) = \frac{P(1, k, k(k-1), \dots)}{Q(1, k, k(k-1), \dots)} = \frac{1}{q(k)}.$$

For any  $f$  we have that in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ ,

$$T_f(z) = \frac{z}{q(d)} + O(1)$$

and

$$T'_f(z) = \frac{1}{q(d)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$$

and  $T_f^{-1}$  is defined locally. We have

$$\frac{T_f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{q(d)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$$

and so for  $|z|$  sufficiently large, we have  $z_0 = z, z_{-1}, z_{-2}, \dots$  given by  $T_f(z_{-i}) = z_{-i+1}$  has  $|z_{-n}|$  growing like  $(q(d) - \epsilon)^n$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  depending on how large  $|z|$  is, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_{-n}}{z} &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{|z_{-i}|}\right)\right) q(d) \\ &= q^n(d) \left(1 - \sum O\left(\frac{1}{|z_{-i}|}\right)\right) \\ &= q^n(d) \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

since the sum of a geometric progression is bounded by a constant times its largest term. The mean value theorem yields for, say,  $r < |z|/2$ ,

$$T_f^n \{B_{r'}(z_{-n})\} \subset B_r(z)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= r \left( 1 - O \left( \frac{1}{|z_{-n+1}|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right) \\ &= r \left( 1 - O \left( \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we let

$$\tilde{z} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_{-n}}{q^n(d)}$$

we have that for any  $r < |z|/2$  we have

$$T_f^n \left\{ B_{rq^n(d)/2}(\tilde{z}q^n(d)) \right\} \subset B_r(z) \quad (2.1)$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large (depending on  $r$ ).

Next we would like to obtain a version of equation 2.1 for polynomials close to  $f$  in a certain sense. Fix  $D$  and consider the set  $\mathcal{F}_{f,\delta,D}$  of polynomials

$$g(z) = (z - s_1) \cdots (z - s_{d+D})$$

with  $s_i \in B_\delta(r_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $|s_i| > 1/\delta$  for  $i > d$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *For any sufficiently large  $z$  and  $r < |z|/2$  there is a  $c$ ,  $\delta_0$  and  $n_0$  such that if  $\delta < \delta_0$  and  $n > n_0$  we have*

$$T_g^n \left\{ B_{rq^n(d)/2}(\tilde{z}q^n(d)) \right\} \subset B_r(z)$$

if

$$|\tilde{z}|q^n(d) < \frac{c}{\delta}$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{f,\delta,D}$ .

**Proof** Dividing both numerator and denominator by  $z^{s-1}g^{\deg(P_0)}$  in condition (1) on T yields

$$T_g(z) = \frac{zP_0(1, z \frac{g'}{g}, z^2 \frac{g''}{g}, \dots)}{Q_0(1, z \frac{g'}{g}, z^2 \frac{g''}{g}, \dots)}.$$

For  $|z|$  sufficiently large and, say,  $\leq \frac{1}{2\delta}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{g'}{g} \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{1}{z-r_i} - \frac{1}{z-s_i} \right| + \sum_{j=d+1}^{d+D} \left| \frac{1}{z-s_j} \right| \\ &= \sum \left| \frac{s_i - r_i}{(z-r_i)(z-s_i)} \right| + \sum \frac{1}{|z-s_i|} \\ &= O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|^2} + \delta\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - \frac{g^{(k)}}{g} \right| &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq d} \left| \frac{1}{(z-r_{i_1}) \dots (z-r_{i_k})} - \frac{1}{(z-s_{i_1}) \dots (z-s_{i_k})} \right| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq d+D, i_k > d} \left| \frac{1}{(z-s_{i_1}) \dots (z-s_{i_k})} \right| \\ &= O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|^{k+1}} + \frac{\delta}{|z|^{k-1}} + \frac{\delta^2}{|z|^{k-2}} + \dots + \delta^k\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|^{k+1}} + \frac{\delta}{|z|^{k-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| z^k \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - z^k \frac{g^{(k)}}{g} \right| = O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|} + \delta|z|\right)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} T_g(z) &= T_f(z) \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|} + \delta|z|\right) \right), \\ T'_g(z) &= T'_f(z) \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\delta}{|z|} + \delta|z|\right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now fix a  $z$  sufficiently large and a small  $\epsilon$  so that  $z_0 = z, z_{-1}, z_{-2}, \dots$  defined as before grow like a geometric series. Then, using equation 2.2, we see that for  $\delta$  sufficiently small we have that  $y_0 = z, y_{-1}, y_{-2}, \dots, y_{-n}$  given by  $T_g(y_{-i}) = y_{-i+1}$  grows like a geometric series, as long as  $|y^{-n}| < c/\delta$  for

$c$  sufficiently small. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_{-n} &= z_{-n} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} O \left( \frac{\delta}{|y_{-i}|} + \delta |y_{-i}| \right) \right) \\ &= z_{-n} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\delta}{|z|} + \delta |y_{-n}| \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the chain rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_g^n)'(w) &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} T_g'(T_g^i(w)) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{q(d)} \right)^n \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\delta}{|T_g^n(w)|} + \delta |w| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

assuming  $|T_g^n(w)|$  is sufficiently large and  $|w| \leq c/\delta$ . The mean value theorem then implies

$$T_g^n \{B_{r'}(z_{-n})\} \subset B_r(z)$$

where

$$r' = r q^n(d) \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{\delta}{|z|} + \delta |z_{-n}| \right) \right).$$

Hence, as before, we get that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$T_g^n \{B_{r q^n(d)/2}(\tilde{z} q^n(d))\} \subset B_r(z)$$

as long as  $|\tilde{z}| q^n(d) < \frac{c}{\delta}$  for  $c$  sufficiently small.

### 3 Successive Normalizations

The difficulty in proving theorem 1.1 is that  $A_{T,f}$  is not necessarily continuous when  $f$  has multiple roots. Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $P_d$  for which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{T,f_n} = \inf_{f \in P_d} A_{T,f}.$$

By passing to a subsequence we may assume that

$$f_n(z) = (z - r_1^n)^{e_1} \dots (z - r_{k_0}^n)^{e_{k_0}}$$



with

$$e_1 + \cdots + e_{k_0} = d$$

and

$$r_i^n \neq r_j^n \quad \forall n, \quad i < j \leq k_0.$$

By passing to a subsequence we can assume

$$r_i^n \rightarrow r_i \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If any  $r_i$  is isolated, i.e. for some  $i$  we have  $r_j \neq r_i$  for  $j \neq i$ , then we could show by continuity in  $f$  of  $T_f$  that for some  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$B_\delta(r_i^n) \subset \Gamma_{T, f_n}$$

for all  $n$  sufficiently large, and thus

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_d} A_{T, f} > 0$$

(the details of the argument appear as part of the proof later in this section).  
If not, we can assume

$$r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_{k_1}$$

and  $r_j \neq r_1$  for  $j > k_1$ . We will now analyze more carefully the way in which  $r_1^n, \dots, r_{k_1}^n$  converge to  $r_1$ .

For  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbf{C}$  not all the same, we define the *normalization of  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  centered at  $z_1$*  to be the unique linear map

$$g(z) = az + b, \quad a \in \mathbf{R}, \quad a > 0, \quad b \in \mathbf{C}$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m g(z_i) = 0,$$

and  $g(z_1) = 0$ .

By passing to a subsequence we can assume that

1. the normalizations  $g_n(z) = a_n z + b_n$  centered at  $r_1^n$  have  $g_n(r_i^n) \rightarrow s_i$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

2.

$$q_1^{\lfloor -\log_{q_1} a_n \rfloor} a_n \rightarrow a \quad (3.1)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $a \in [1/q_1, 1]$  where

$$q_1 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} e_i \right)$$

and where  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer  $\leq a$ .

Clearly

$$\sum_{i < j} |s_i - s_j| = 1,$$

and so we have

$$s_1 = \dots = s_{k_2}$$

and  $s_j \neq s_1$  for  $j > k_2$  where  $k_2 < k_1$ . In other words, by normalizing we separate the first  $k_1$  roots into smaller groups. By repeated normalization we will finally separate  $r_1^n$  from all other  $r_i^n$ 's. Now we start with the deepest level of normalization and work up, proving a density lower bound for each level.

Let the deepest level be  $\ell$ , and let

$$h_n(r_i^n) \rightarrow t_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k_\ell$$

where  $h_n$  is the normalization of  $r_1^n, \dots, r_{k_\ell}^n$  centered at  $r_1^n$ . We have

$$\sum_{i < j} |t_i - t_j| = 1,$$

$t_1 = 0$ , and  $t_i \neq t_1$  if  $i > 1$ . Consider

$$\tilde{f}(z) = (z - t_1)^{e_1} \dots (z - t_{k_\ell})^{e_{k_\ell}}.$$

Since  $T_{\tilde{f}}(t_1) = t_1$ ,  $|T'_{\tilde{f}}(t_1)| < 1$ , and  $\infty$  is a repelling fixed point for  $T_{\tilde{f}}$  we have open sets  $E$ , arbitrarily near  $\infty$ , such that  $T_{\tilde{f}}^n\{E\} \rightarrow t_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Take a point  $z$  large enough so that lemma 2.2 holds, with  $B_\epsilon(z)$  converging to  $t_1$  under  $T_{\tilde{f}}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . We have

$$B_{\epsilon q_\ell^m / 2}(\tilde{z} q_\ell^m) \subset \Gamma_{T, \tilde{f}}$$

for  $m$  sufficiently large where  $\tilde{z}$  is as in lemma 2.2 and

$$q_\ell = q \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_\ell} e_i \right).$$

Let  $h'_n$  be the normalization of the  $\ell-1$ -th level, i.e. of  $r_1^n, \dots, r_{k_\ell-1}^n$  centered at  $r_1^n$ ,

$$h'_n(z) = a'_n z + b'_n$$

and let

$$h_n(z) = a_n z + b_n.$$

We have that

$$\frac{a_n}{a'_n} q_\ell^{[-\log_{q_\ell}(a_n/a'_n)]} \rightarrow a$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $a \in [\frac{1}{q_\ell}, 1]$  (at each level we normalize and pass to a subsequence satisfying a condition analogous to that of equation 3.1 as well as the preceding condition). We want to prove that

$$B_{\epsilon_0}(z_0) \subset \Gamma_{T, h'_n f_n} \tag{3.2}$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 &= \tilde{z} a q_\ell^{-M} \\ \epsilon_0 &= \epsilon a q_\ell^{-M} / 4 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integer  $M$ . To see this, consider first

$$h_n f_n(z) = (z - h_n(r_1^n))^{e_1} \dots (z - h_n(r_{k_\ell}^n))^{e_{k_\ell}}.$$

We claim that for  $n$  sufficiently large we have

$$B_\epsilon(z) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n f_n}.$$

To see this, we note that for some small  $\eta > 0$  we have

$$|z - t_1| \leq \eta \implies |T_{\tilde{f}}(z) - t_1| \leq (1 - \mu)|z - t_1|$$

for some  $\mu > 0$ , and that for some large  $N$ ,

$$T_{\tilde{f}}^N \{B_\epsilon(z)\} \subset B_{\eta/2}(t_1).$$

Estimating as in lemma 2.2 (note that for any  $\delta$  we have  $h_n f_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{f}, \delta, D}$  for  $n$  sufficiently large and  $D = d - q_\ell$ ) we get that for  $n$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} |z - t_1| \leq \eta &\implies |T_{h_n f_n}(z) - t_1| \leq (1 - \mu/2)|z - t_1| \\ &\implies z \in \Gamma_{T, h_n f_n} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$T_{h_n f_n}^N \{B_\epsilon(z)\} \subset B_\eta(t_1) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n f_n}$$

using  $h_n(r_1^n) = t_1$  and that for any  $y \in B_\epsilon(z)$  we have  $y, T_{\bar{f}}(y), T_{\bar{f}}^2(y), \dots$  stays away from the  $r_i^n$ 's with  $i > 1$ . Now we apply lemma 2.2 to conclude that for  $m$  sufficiently large we have

$$T_{h_n f_n}^m \{B_{\epsilon q_\ell^m/2}(\tilde{z} q_\ell^m)\} \subset B_\epsilon(z) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n f_n}$$

so that

$$B_{\epsilon q_\ell^m/2}(\tilde{z} q_\ell^m) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n f_n}$$

as long as  $|\tilde{z}| q_\ell^m < c/\delta$  for some  $c$  sufficiently small, where  $1/\delta$  is a lower bound on  $h_n(r_i^n)$  for  $i > k_\ell$ . Rescaling by a factor of  $a_n/a'_n$  and translating appropriately we get

$$B_{\epsilon q_\ell^m a_n/(2a'_n)}(\tilde{z} q_\ell^m a_n/a'_n) \subset \Gamma_{T, h'_n f_n}$$

if

$$|\tilde{z}| q_\ell^m a_n/a'_n < c \min_{i > k_\ell} h'_n(r_i^n) < c'. \quad (3.3)$$

Taking

$$m(n) = \lfloor \log_{q_\ell} \frac{a'_n}{a_n} \rfloor - M$$

where  $M$  is sufficiently large to ensure equation 3.3 holds, we get that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$B_{\epsilon a q_\ell^{-M}/4}(\tilde{z} a q_\ell^{-M}) \subset \Gamma_{T, h'_n f_n},$$

the 4 in  $\epsilon a q_\ell^{-M}/4$  appearing to account for the fact that

$$\frac{a_n}{a'_n} q_\ell^{m(n)}$$

approaches, rather than equals,  $a q_\ell^{-M}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus equation 3.2 is established.

Now that we have a statement of the form

$$B_{\epsilon_0}(z_0) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n'' f_n},$$

we proceed to get a statement of the form

$$B_{\epsilon_1}(z_1) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n'' f_n},$$

where  $h_n''$  is the normalization at the  $\ell - 2$ -th level, i.e. the normalization of  $r_1^n, \dots, r_{k_{\ell-2}}^n$  centered at  $z_1^n$ . To do this we consider

$$\hat{f}(z) = (z - t_1)^{e_1} \dots (z - t_{k_{\ell-1}})^{e_{k_{\ell-1}}}.$$

Using lemma 2.1 we can find an arbitrarily large  $z$  with an  $\epsilon$  so that for some  $N$

$$T_{\hat{f}}^N \{B_{\epsilon}(z)\} \subset B_{\epsilon_0}(z_0).$$

Now we repeat the argument of before to conclude

$$T_{h_n'' f_n}^N \{B_{\epsilon}(z)\} \subset B_{\epsilon_0}(z_0)$$

i.e.

$$B_{\epsilon}(z) \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n'' f_n}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large, and that

$$T_{h_n'' f_n}^{m'(n)} \{B_{\epsilon_1}(z_1)\} \subset \Gamma_{T, h_n'' f_n}$$

for some  $m'(n)$  and fixed  $\epsilon_1, z_1$ .

Repeating the above argument  $\ell - 2$  more times yields that for all  $n$  sufficiently large we have

$$B_{\epsilon}(z) \subset \Gamma_{T, f_n}$$

for some fixed  $\epsilon$  and  $z$  with  $z$  very near  $r_1^n$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{T, f_n} > \pi \epsilon^2 > 0$$

and theorem 1.1 is proven.

## References

- [Bla84] Paul Blanchard. Complex analytic dynamics on the riemann sphere. *Bull. of the AMS*, 11(1):85–141, July 1984.
- [Fri86] Joel Friedman. On the convergence of newton’s method. In *27th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 153–161, 1986.
- [Sma85] Steve Smale. On the efficiency of algorithms of analysis. *Bull. of the AMS*, 13(2):87–121, Oct. 1985.