

Midterm Solutions

1. Memory.

$\sim 48n$ bytes

Each Node object requires 48 bytes: 16 (object overhead) + 16 (two references) + 8 (double) + 4 (int) + 4 (padding). In total the n Node objects consume $48n$ bytes.

2. Five sorting algorithms.

0 original array

5 quicksort (after first partition)

1 selection sort (after 12 iterations)

3 mergesort (just before left half of the array is sorted)

2 insertion sort (after 16 iterations)

4 heapsort (after heap construction phase and putting 6 largest keys into place)

6 sorted array

3. Analysis of algorithms.

(a) $\sim 2n^2$

Selection sort makes $\sim \frac{1}{2}m^2$ compares to sort any array of length m . Here, $m = 2n$.

(b) $\sim n^2$

Each integer i in the right half is inverted with $n - i$ integers in the left half and the same $n - i$ integers in the right half. So, the number of inversions is

$$0 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2(n - 1) \sim n^2.$$

The number of compares in insertion sort is always within n of the number of inversions.

(c) $\sim n \log_2 n$

Recall that the best case for a merge happens when all of the keys in one subarray are larger than all of the keys in the other subarray. Sorted arrays always result in best-case merges, as do reverse-sorted arrays. As a result, sorting the left half (a sorted array of length n) takes $\frac{1}{2}n \log_2 n$ compares and sorting the right half (a reverse sorted array of length n) takes $\frac{1}{2}n \log_2 n$ compares. Merging them together takes an extra $2n - 1$ compares.

With tilde notation, be sure to include the leading coefficient and the base of the logarithm and to discard lower-order terms.

4. Binary heaps.

(a) 3 6 14 16

(b) 4 5 6 7 9 13 14

5. Red–black BSTs.

22 color flip → 18 rotate left → 24 rotate right → 22 color flip → 14 rotate left

6. Data structure and algorithm properties.

(a) n^4

Each computational experiment involves opening about $0.593n^2$ sites, where 0.593 is the percolation threshold. Opening a site (and checking whether the system percolates) takes a constant number of *union* (and *find*) operations. Since there are n^2 sites, the *quick-find* data structure has n^2 elements. So, each *find* operation makes 1 array access and each *union* operation makes about n^2 array accesses.

Even in the worst case, if $0.593n^2$ sites are opened, a constant fraction of them will have one (or more) open neighbors, each of which triggers a *union* operation.

(b) n

The amortized number of array accesses per operation is bounded by a some constant $c > 0$. So, starting from an initially empty data randomized queue, any sequence of n operations makes at most cn array accesses.

(c) $\log n$

The range count requires two (deluxe) binary searches in a sorted array of length n .

(d) *exponential*

The A^* algorithm with the Manhattan priority function is incapable of solving even some 5-by-5 puzzles in a reasonable amount of time.

(e) $n \log n$

Inserting a sequence of n keys in ascending order into a binary heap takes $\sim n \log_2 n$ compares. (Each *insert* and *delete-the-max* operation makes at most $2 \log_2 n$ compares, so no sequence of n operations makes more than $2n \log_2 n$ compares.)

(f) $n \log n$

The height of any binary tree on n nodes is at least $\log_2 n$.

(g) n^2

Consider a sequence of n *insert* operations in which each of the n keys has the same hash code.

7. System sort.

	insertion sort	dual-pivot quicksort	Timsort
<i>Stable.</i>	■	□	■
<i>In-place.</i>	■	■	□
<i>At most $\sim n \log_2 n$ compares.</i>	□	□	■
<i>Linear number of compares on arrays with only 3 distinct keys.</i>	□	■	□
<i>Linear number of compares on arrays in ascending order.</i>	■	□	■

8. Duplicate in two arrays.

The key idea is to sort the smaller array and use binary search to check for duplicates.

1. Heapsort $a[]$.
2. For each j , binary search for $b[j]$ in $a[]$. If a search hit, then return $b[j]$ since it appears in both arrays.

Heapsorting $a[]$ takes $m \log m$ time and uses constant extra space. Binary searching for $b[j]$ in $a[]$ takes $\log m$ time (for a total of $n \log m$ time). Standard binary search (nonrecursive) uses only constant extra space.

Some alternative approaches that don't meet the performance requirements:

- Using mergesort instead of heapsort (linear extra space).
- Using quicksort instead of heapsort (logarithmic extra space for the recursion and does not achieve a linearithmic running time in the worst case).
- Using a red-black BST or a hash table (linear extra memory).
- Heapsorting both $a[]$ and $b[]$ and then checking for duplicates with a merge operation ($n \log n$ time instead of $n \log m$).

9. Data structure design.

The main idea is to maintain a hash table (such as `java.util.HashMap`) for each list, with `key = integer` and `value = number of times the integer appears in the list`. Also maintain a duplicate counter that counts the number of integers that appears in both lists.

- Increment the duplicate counter whenever
 - an integer is added to a list for the first time and
 - it also appears in the other list
- Decrement the duplicate counter whenever
 - an integer is deleted from a list and
 - it is the last such integer in the list and
 - it appears in the other list

```
public class Duo {
    private HashMap<Integer, Integer> list1 = new HashMap<>();
    private HashMap<Integer, Integer> list2 = new HashMap<>();
    private int duplicates = 0;

    public void addToList1(int x) {
        if (!list1.containsKey(x)) {
            list1.put(x, 1);
            if (list2.containsKey(x)) duplicates++;
        }
        else list1.put(x, list1.get(x) + 1);
    }

    public void deleteFromList1(int x) {
        if (list1.get(x) == 1) {
            list1.remove(x);
            if (list2.containsKey(x)) duplicates--;
        }
        else list1.put(x, list1.get(x) - 1);
    }

    public boolean hasDuplicate() {
        return duplicates > 0;
    }
}
```

Without deletion, it suffices to maintain a hash set (such as `java.util.HashSet`) for each list containing the set of integers in that list. Also, maintain a boolean variable that indicates whether there exists an integer that appears in both lists.

- Set the boolean variable to true whenever
 - an integer is added to a list for the first time and
 - that integer also appears in the other list