



This exam consists of four questions. You have 50 minutes – budget your time wisely. Assume the ArmLab/gcc217 environment unless otherwise stated in a problem.

Do all of your work on these pages. You may use the provided blank pages at the end for scratch space, however this exam is preprocessed by computer, so for your final answers to be scored you must write them inside the designated spaces and fill in selected circles and boxes completely (● and ■, not ✓ or ✕). Please make text answers dark and neat.

Name: NetID:

Precept:

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> P01 - MW 1:20 Christopher Moretti | <input type="radio"/> P04 - TTh 12:15 Andrew Johnson | <input type="radio"/> P08 TTh 3:30 Kevin Alarcón Negy |
| <input type="radio"/> P02 - MW 3:30 Amelia Dobis | <input type="radio"/> P05 - TTh 12:15 Nicholas Yap | |
| <input type="radio"/> P03 - TTh 12:15 Kevin Alarcón Negy | <input type="radio"/> P07 - TTh 1:20 Lana Glisic | |

This is a closed-book, closed-note exam, except you are allowed one one-sided study sheet. Please place items that you will not need out of view in your bag or under your working space at this time. Electronic devices such as cell phones, laptops, smartwatches except to check the time, etc. may not be used during this exam.

This examination is administered under the Princeton University Honor Code. Students should sit one seat apart from each other and refrain from talking to other students during the exam. All suspected violations of the Honor Code must be reported to honor@princeton.edu.

In the box below, copy **and** sign the Honor Code pledge before turning in your exam:

"I pledge my honor that I have not violated the Honor Code during this examination."

X _____

Question 1: Building and Running Programs

15 points

a. For each of the following errors or warnings seen while building or running a program, identify when the error/warning appears: list the stage of the build process or “runtime” if it is a runtime error. Write your answers in the boxes provided for each part.

(i)

Segmentation fault (core dumped)

(ii)

```
/usr/bin/ld: client.c:(.text+0x20): undefined reference to `printf'
collect2: error: ld returned 1 exit status
```

(iii)

```
test.c:6:1: error: unterminated comment
```

(iv)

```
test.c:12:14: warning: format '%d' expects argument of type 'int', but
argument 2 has type 'int *' [-Wformat=]
```

```
12 |     printf("%d\n", ptr);
    |           ~^   ~~~
    |           |   |
    |           int int *
    |           %ls
```

b. The process of building a program utilizes the principle of modularity to increase efficiency. State in two sentences or less how it does this and how the increase in efficiency is achieved.

c. Complete the table below with the four stages of the process of building an executable starting from C source code. Write the stages in order (i.e., the first stage to be executed will be in row 1., the last stage will be in row 4.). For each build stage, indicate:

- the name of the stage
- the format of the file(s) produced by that stage
(Answer with a letter from the options given – you will *not* use every option.)
- whether or not that format is considered human-readable (Answer YES or NO.)

File Format Options:

A: Assembly language **B:** Bytecode **C:** C source code **D:** DFA
E: Executable file **F:** Machine language **G:** Makefile

| | Stage Name | File Format | Human Readable |
|----|------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1. | | | |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |

Question 2: Modularity

14 points

A COS217 student wrote a program with two functions that each double every element of an integer array – one version using a for loop and arithmetic operations, the other using a while loop with pointers and bitshifting. The main function uses a helper function that prints an array to show the results of each version.

Filename: **double.c**

```
01 #include <stddef.h>
02 #include <stdio.h>
03 #include <assert.h>
04 enum {ARRAY_LENGTH = 5};
05
06 void double_array_elements_for_loop(int aiNums[], size_t n);
07 void double_array_elements_while_loop(int aiNums[], size_t n);
08 void print_array(int arr[], size_t n);
09
10 int main(void) {
11     int aiNums1[ARRAY_LENGTH] = {4, 1233, 8, -32, 0};
12     int aiNums2[ARRAY_LENGTH] = {4, 1233, 8, -32, 0};
13
14     double_array_elements_for_loop(aiNums1, ARRAY_LENGTH);
15     print_array(aiNums1, ARRAY_LENGTH);
16
17     double_array_elements_while_loop(aiNums2, ARRAY_LENGTH);
18     print_array(aiNums2, ARRAY_LENGTH);
19     return 0;
20 }
21
22 void double_array_elements_for_loop(int aiNums[], size_t n) {
23     /* implementation correct but not shown */
24 }
25
26 void double_array_elements_while_loop(int aiNums[], size_t n) {
27     /* implementation correct but not shown */
28 }
29
30 void print_array(int arr[], size_t n) {
31     /* implementation correct but not shown */
32 }
```

Modularize this program. The result should be that you can build and run two versions – one that uses the `for` loop implementation and one that uses the `while` loop implementation – **using the same main function and print function for each**. The revised client should call an array doubling function only once, instead of running both implementations sequentially like the original `main` function. Your module should use the best practices from the last version of `IntMath` from precept and `Str` from Assignment 2.

As much as possible, reuse the given code from `double.c` in your new files by writing down specific line numbers in each box below (e.g., “9” or “10-12”). If you want to refer to a line but make a change to it, write, e.g., “17, but add/remove/change ...”. If you want to write a new line of code, do so in the appropriate box in its proper place among the reused and modified lines.

You might not have to use every line of code from the file `double.c`.

Label the filenames and write the line numbers you want to include in each file (in order) in the boxes below, one file per box.

New filename: _____

New filename: _____

New filename: _____

New filename: _____

Question 3: Indirection and Portability

8 points

Consider the following C program. Assume the program is built and run on armlab.

```
#include <stdio.h>
enum {ARRAY_LENGTH = /* redacted */ };

void print_array(char ac[]) {
    char c;
    int *pi = (int*) &ac[0];

    while((c = *(char*)pi) != '\0') {
        putchar(c);
        pi++;
    }
}

void fill_array(char ac[]) {
    size_t i;
    for(i = 0; i < ARRAY_LENGTH - 1; i++)
        ac[i] = 'A' + i;
    ac[ARRAY_LENGTH - 1] = '\0';
}

int main(void) {
    char ac[ARRAY_LENGTH];
    fill_array(ac);
    print_array(ac);
    return 0;
}
```

a. Assume `ARRAY_LENGTH` is defined as 5. What are the contents of `ac` in `main` after returning from `fill_array`?

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|

b. Assume `ARRAY_LENGTH` is defined as 13. What is the output printed in `print_array`?

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

c. Setting `ARRAY_LENGTH` to 20 leads to undefined behavior on `armlab`. Explain in 1 sentence why this is the case.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

d. Explain in 1 sentence why the answers to parts **b.** and **c.** above might not be correct on all systems. Specifically, why does whether `ARRAY_LENGTH` is defined as 13 or 20 result in defined or undefined behavior depending on a system-dependent characteristic of C?

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Question 4: Bugs and the Stack

28 points

Consider the following implementation of `array_equals` that checks if the content in two arrays is equal. Assume that all needed header files have been included.

```
01 int array_equals(const int* arr0_p, const int* arr1_p, size_t size) {
02     int* arr_elem = &arr1_p[0];
03     size_t i;
04     for(i = 0; i < size; i++) {
05         if(arr0_p[i] != *(arr_elem++))
06             return 0;
07     }
08     return 1;
09 }
```

a. One of the lines in `array_equals` does not compile cleanly. Write which line number it is, and provide a corrected version of the line.

Also consider this function that calls `array_equals`.

```
int main() {
    int arr0[4] = { 2, 3, 4, 2 };
    int arr1[3] = { 2, 3, 4 };
    int eq = array_equals(arr0, arr1, 4);
    printf("%d", eq);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

b. Complete the contents of the table showing the contents of the stack section of memory **just before we return from the array_equals function**.

For simplicity, we assume that the last entry for the main stack frame is at **line 2000**. Addresses in our listing grow towards the bottom of the table, i.e., the rows *above* 2000 should all contain **smaller** addresses than 2000. The addresses should be consistent with the size of each variable's type on armlab.

Assume that parameters and variables are pushed onto their function's stack frame in the order in which they are declared, and there are no gaps or padding used.

The table contains **exactly** the number of rows you need to fill in or complete. Some entries are already provided to help you get started.

Write the array index into the two partially filled in cells in the bottom two rows and fill in the rest of the table, except for the greyed out cell.

| Address | Variable Name | Contents | Function Name |
|---------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | array_equals |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1996 | arr0[] (fill in index) | | main |
| 2000 | arr0[] (fill in index) | | main |

c. This program outputs 1, which is incorrect: the arrays do **not** have the same elements! In one sentence, what is the design flaw in the program that causes the incorrect output?

(Question 4 was the last question on this midterm exam. This page can be used as scratch space. Please note that anything written on this page will not be graded.)