

Reasoning about Performance of Distributed Systems



COS 418: Distributed Systems
Lecture 23

Mike Freedman


1

Measuring Distributed Systems



2

Measuring Distributed Systems




3

Latency

- How long a request takes to complete
- Measured **externally** from time request is sent until time response is received.


4

Latency, Measure Externally




5

Latency, Reason Internally



6

Latency, Reason Internally




7


Throughput

- How many operations per unit time that a system can handle (typically ops / second)
- Measured externally as the rate that responses come out of the system


8




9



10




11




12

Throughput, Reason Internally



13

Throughput Bottlenecks (simplified)



14

Load Generation


- Closed-loop
 - Each “client” sends one request, waits for the response to come back, and then sends another request
 - More “clients” => more load
- Open-loop
 - Load is generated independently of the response rate of the system, typically from a probability distribution
 - More directly control the load on the system
- Which one is more realistic?
- We’ll reason using closed-loop clients

15


Mental Experimental Setup

- Start with 1 closed-loop client
 - Expected latency?
 - Expected throughput?
- Double number of closed-loop clients
 - Expected increase in latency?
 - Expected increase in throughput?
- Repeat

16



17



18

Throughput / Latency Relationship

- Proportional at low load ... but not high load
- Because measured throughput is a function of latency
 - i.e., throughput bottleneck is offered load
- Related, but you should reason about **both**
- For system A vs system B, all are possible:
 - A has lower latency and higher throughput than B
 - A has lower latency and lower throughput than B
 - A has higher latency and lower throughput than B
 - A has higher latency and higher throughput than B

19

Evaluation in Minutes not Months

- Reasoning using your mental model is much much faster than really doing it
- What would happen if?
 - I moved my servers from the San Jose datacenter to Oregon?
 - I switch from c5.xlarges to c5.24xlarges for my servers?
 - I doubled the number of servers?
 - I switch from system design X to system design Y?
 - replace single server with Paxos-replicated system?
 - replace Paxos with eventually consistent design?
 - add batching?
 - replace Paxos with new variant?

20

Let's use these tools!


21

Mental Experimental Setup

- System A versus System B
- From 1 to N closed-loop clients loading each
- Compare throughput and latency


22

Move Single Server from San Jose to Oregon (Clients in San Jose)




23


Replace Single Server with Paxos (Clients and servers in same datacenter, 3 replicas)



24



25




26


Batching

- Group together multiple operations
- Improves throughput, e.g.,
 - Marshall data together
 - Send to network layer together
 - Unmarshall data together
 - Handle group of operations together
- Delay processing/sending ops to increase batch size
 - Common way to trade an increase in latency for increase in throughput

27



28



29

Summary

- Measure distributed systems externally
- Latency: how long operations take
- Throughput: how many operations/sec
- Reason about latency and throughput using internal knowledge of system design
 - (and back-of-the-envelope calculations)
- Reason about effects on latency and throughput from changes to system choice, deployment, design
 - Critical tool in system design

30