COS320: Compiling Techniques

Zak Kincaid

March 13, 2022



- Midterm scores released later today
- HW3 due next Monday

Compiler phases (simplified)



Semantic Analysis

Semantic analysis

- The *semantic analysis phase* is responsible for:
 - Connecting symbol occurrences to their definitions (i.e., implement scoping rules)
 - Checking that the AST is well-typed
 - Various other well-formedness checks not captured by the grammar (e.g., break must appear inside a for, while, or switch)

Semantic analysis

- The *semantic analysis phase* is responsible for:
 - Connecting symbol *occurrences* to their definitions (i.e., implement scoping rules)
 - Checking that the AST is well-typed
 - Various other well-formedness checks not captured by the grammar (e.g., break must appear inside a for, while, or switch)
- Semantic analysis phase can report *warnings* (potential problems) or *errors* (severe problems that must be resolved in order to compile)
 - ex.c:4:5: warning: assignment makes integer from pointer without a cast
 - ex.c:3:11: error: 'i' undeclared (first use in this function)

Semantic analysis

- The *semantic analysis phase* is responsible for:
 - Connecting symbol occurrences to their definitions (i.e., implement scoping rules)
 - Checking that the AST is well-typed
 - Various other well-formedness checks not captured by the grammar (e.g., break must appear inside a for, while, or switch)
- Semantic analysis phase can report *warnings* (potential problems) or *errors* (severe problems that must be resolved in order to compile)
 - ex.c:4:5: warning: assignment makes integer from pointer without a cast
 - ex.c:3:11: error: 'i' undeclared (first use in this function)
- Main data structure manipulated by semantic analysis: *symbol table*
 - Mapping from symbols to information about those symbols (type, location in source text, ...)
 - Symbol table is used to help translation into IR
 - Semantic analysis may also *decorate* AST (e.g., attach type information to expressions, or replace symbols with references to their symbol table entry)



- Type checking catches errors at *compile time*, eliminating a class of mistakes that would otherwise lead to run-time errors
- Type information is sometimes necessary for code generation
 - Floating-point + is not the same instruction as integer + is not the same as pointer/integer +
 - pointer/integer compiled differently depending on pointer type
 - Assignment x = y compiled differently if y is an int or a struct

What is a type?

- Intrinsic view (Church-style): a type is syntactically part of a term.
 - A term that cannot be typed is not a term at all
 - Types do not have inherent meaning they are just used to define the syntax of a program
- Extrinsic view (Curry-style): a type is a property of a term.
 - For any term and every type, either the term has that type or not
 - A term may have multiple types
 - A term may have no types







Haskell Curry

What is a type system?

A type system consists of a system of judgements and inference rules

- (Extrinsic view) A judgement is a *claim*, which may or may not be valid
 - \vdash 3 : int "3 has type integer"
 - \vdash (1 + 2) : bool "(1+2) has type boolean"
 - A type system might involve many different kinds of judgement (well-typed expressions, well-formed types, well-formed statements, ...)
- Inference rules are used to derive *valid* judgements from other valid judgements.

 $\frac{\mathsf{ADD}}{\vdash e_1: \mathsf{int}} \vdash e_2: \mathsf{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2: \mathsf{int}}$

Read: "If e_1 and e_2 have type int, so does $e_1 + e_2$ "

Inference rules, generally

An *inference rule* consists of a list of **premises** $J_1, ..., J_n$ and one **conclusion** J (and optionally a side-condition), typically written as:

$$rac{J_1 \qquad J_2 \qquad \cdots \qquad J_n}{J}$$
 Side-condition

- Side-condition: additional premise, but not a judgement
- Read *top-down*: If J_1 and J_2 and ... and J_n are valid (and the side condition holds) then J is valid.
- Read *bottom-up*: To prove *J* is valid, sufficient to prove *J*₁, *J*₂, ... *J_n* are valid (+ side condition)

A simple expression language

Syntax of expressions

<Exp>::=<Var> | <Int> | <Exp>+<Exp> | <Exp>*<Exp> | <Exp>^<Exp> | <Exp>V<Exp> | <Exp>_<<Exp> | <Exp>=<Exp> | if <Exp> then <Exp> else <Exp>

- 3 + (2 \land 0) is syntactically well-formed, but not well-typed
- Is x + 1 well-typed?

Type judgements

- A type environment is a symbol table mapping symbols to types.
 - E.g., $[x\mapsto \text{int}, y\mapsto \text{bool}, z\mapsto \text{int}]$: x and z are ints, y is a bool
 - Notation: type environment denoted by Γ
 - Notation: $\Gamma\{x \mapsto t\}$ is a functional update

$$\Gamma\{x \mapsto t\}(y) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = y \\ \Gamma(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• E.g., $[x \mapsto int, y \mapsto int] \{x \mapsto bool\} = [x \mapsto bool, y \mapsto int]$

Type judgements

- A type environment is a symbol table mapping symbols to types.
 - E.g., $[x\mapsto \text{int}, y\mapsto \text{bool}, z\mapsto \text{int}]$: x and z are ints, y is a bool
 - Notation: type environment denoted by Γ
 - Notation: $\Gamma\{x \mapsto t\}$ is a functional update

$$\Gamma\{x \mapsto t\}(y) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = y\\ \Gamma(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- E.g., $[x \mapsto int, y \mapsto int] \{x \mapsto bool\} = [x \mapsto bool, y \mapsto int]$
- A type judgement takes the form $\Gamma \vdash e: t$
 - Read "Under the type environment Γ , the expression e has type t"

Inference rules



Derivations

- A derivation or *proof tree* is a tree where each node is labelled by a judgement, and edges connect premises to a conclusion according to some inference rule.
- Leaves of the tree are axioms (inference rules w/o premises)

Derivation of x : int $\vdash 2 + x \le 10$: bool:

$$\operatorname{Leq} \frac{\operatorname{Add}}{\frac{\operatorname{Add}}{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 2:\operatorname{int}}} \frac{\operatorname{Var}}{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 2:\operatorname{int}} \operatorname{Int}}{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 2+x:\operatorname{int}} \operatorname{Int}}{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 10:\operatorname{int}}$$

$$\operatorname{Leq} \frac{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 2+x:\operatorname{int}}{x:\operatorname{int}\vdash 2+x\leq 10:\operatorname{bool}}$$

Derivation for x: int \vdash if $x \le 0$ then x else -1 * x: int:





- Goal of a type checker: given a context Γ, expression e, and type t, determine whether a
 derivation of the judgement Γ ⊢ e : t exists.
- Method: recurse on the structure of the AST, applying inference rules "bottom-up"

Binders & functions: scope logic

$$rac{f APP}{\Gammadash e_1:t_1 o t_2} \quad \Gammadash e_2:t_1 \ rac{\Gammadash e_2:t_1}{\Gammadash e_1:e_2:t_2}$$

Type inference

- Goal of type inference: given a context Γ and expression e, determine a type t for which there is a derivation of the judgement $\Gamma \vdash e : t$.
- Method: (again) recurse on the structure of the AST, applying inference rules "bottom-up"
- This only works because we have a very simple type system
 - OCaml type inference (Hindley-Milner): recurse on the structure of the AST to produce a *constraint system*, then solve the constraints

Type soundness



Robin Milner

- More formally: if ⊢ *e* : *t* is derivable, then evaluating *e* either fails to terminate or yields a value of type *t*
 - Note: for our language (extension of simply-typed lambda calculus with integers and booleans), we have something stronger: evaluating *e* always yields a value of type *t*

Well-formed types

- In languages with type definitions, need additional rules to define well-formed types
- Judgements take the form $H \vdash t$
 - *H* is set of type names
 - t is a type
 - $H \vdash t$ "Assuming H names well-formed types, t is a well-formed type"

Well-formed types

- In languages with type definitions, need additional rules to define well-formed types
- Judgements take the form $H \vdash t$
 - *H* is set of type names
 - t is a type
 - $H \vdash t$ "Assuming H names well-formed types, t is a well-formed type"

INT	BOOL	Arrow	NAMED
		$H \vdash t_1 \qquad H \vdash t_2$	$\frac{1}{H \vdash s} s \in H$
$H \vdash int$	$H \vdash \texttt{bool}$	$H \vdash t_1 \to t_2$	$\Pi \vdash S$

NI

Well-formed types

- In languages with type definitions, need additional rules to define well-formed types
- Judgements take the form $H \vdash t$
 - *H* is set of type names
 - t is a type
 - $H \vdash t$ "Assuming H names well-formed types, t is a well-formed type"

INT	BOOL	Arrow	NAMED
		$H \vdash t_1 \qquad H \vdash t_2$	$\overline{H \vdash s} s \in H$
$H \vdash int$	$\overline{H \vdash \texttt{bool}}$	$H \vdash t_1 \to t_2$	$\Pi \vdash S$

NIAMER

• Note: also need to modify the typing rules & judgements. E.g.,

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{FUN} \\ \underline{H \vdash t_1} & H, \Gamma\{x \mapsto t_1\} \vdash e: t_2 \\ \hline H, \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fun} \ (x: t_1) \text{->} e: t_1 \to t_2 \end{array}$$

Statements

- In languages with statements, need additional rules to defined well-formed statements
- E.g., judgements may take the form $D; \Gamma; rt \vdash s$
 - *D* maps type names to their definitions
 - Γ is a type environment (variables \rightarrow types)
 - *rt* is a type
 - D; Γ ; $rt \vdash s$ "with type definitions D, assuming type environment Γ , s is a valid statement within the context of a function that returns a value of type rt"

Statements

- In languages with statements, need additional rules to defined well-formed statements
- E.g., judgements may take the form $D; \Gamma; rt \vdash s$
 - *D* maps type names to their definitions
 - Γ is a type environment (variables \rightarrow types)
 - *rt* is a type
 - D; Γ; rt ⊢ s "with type definitions D, assuming type environment Γ, s is a valid statement within the context of a function that returns a value of type rt"

Assign	Return	DECL	
$\Gamma \vdash e : \Gamma(x)$	$\Gamma \vdash e: rt$	$\Gamma \vdash e: t$	$D; \Gamma\{x \mapsto t\}; rt \vdash s_2$
$D; \Gamma; rt \vdash x := e$	$D; \Gamma; rt \vdash return \ e$	$D; \Gamma; rt \vdash var \ x = e; s_2$	

Additional aspects

- In OCaml, can have a variable and a type with the same name
 - Multiple namespaces \Rightarrow multiple environments / symbol tables
- Parametric polymorphism
 - E.g., fun x -> x in ocaml has type 'a -> 'a
 - Finite representation of infinitely many typings
- Subtyping (e.g., object-oriented languages) next time
 - Related: casting, coersion