COS320: Compiling Techniques

Zak Kincaid

April 11, 2022

Logistics

- Reminder: HW4 is due today
- HW5 released today. You will implement:
 - The worklist algorithm for dataflow analysis
 - Constant propagation
 - Alias analysis & dead code elimination
 - Register allocation

Loop transformations



- Almost all execution time is inside loops
- Many optimizations are centered around transforming loops
 - Loop invariant code motion: hoist expressions out of loops to avoid re-computation
 - Strength reduction: replace a costly operation inside a loop with a cheaper one
 - Loop unrolling: avoid branching by excecuting several iterations of a loop
 - Lots more: parallelization, tiling, vectorization, ...

- We're after a graph-theoretic definition of a loop
 - Typically no explicit loop syntax at the IR level
 - Not sensitive to syntax of source language (loops can be created with while, for, goto, ...)

- We're after a graph-theoretic definition of a loop
 - Typically no explicit loop syntax at the IR level
 - Not sensitive to syntax of source language (loops can be created with while, for, goto, ...)
- First attempt: strongly connected components (SCCs)
 - Not fine enough nested loops have only one SCC, but we want to transform them separately
 - Too general makes it difficult to apply transformations

- We're after a graph-theoretic definition of a loop
 - Typically no explicit loop syntax at the IR level
 - Not sensitive to syntax of source language (loops can be created with while, for, goto, ...)
- First attempt: strongly connected components (SCCs)
 - Not fine enough nested loops have only one SCC, but we want to transform them separately
 - Too general makes it difficult to apply transformations
- Desiderata:
 - Want to *at least* capture loops that would result from structured programming (programs built with while, if, and sequencing (no goto!))
 - Many loop optimizations require inserting code *immediately before* the loop enters, so loop definition should make that easy

- A loop of a control flow graph is a set of nodes S such that with a distinguished *header* node h such that
 - \bigcirc S is strongly connected
 - There is a directed path from h to every node in S
 - There is a directed path from any to in S to h
 - 2 There is no edge from any node *outside* of S to any node *inside* of S, except for h
 - Implies h dominates all nodes in S: every path from entry to a node in S must go through h

- A loop of a control flow graph is a set of nodes S such that with a distinguished *header* node h such that
 - 1 S is strongly connected
 - There is a directed path from h to every node in S
 - There is a directed path from any to in S to h
 - 2 There is no edge from any node *outside* of S to any node *inside* of S, except for h
 - Implies h dominates all nodes in S: every path from entry to a node in S must go through h
- Observe: a loop has one entry, but may have multiple exits (or none)
 - A loop entry is a node with some predecessor outside the loop
 - A *loop exit* is a node with some successor outside the loop

















• A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u

- A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u
- The natural loop of a back edge *u* → *v* is the set of nodes *n* such that *v* dominates *n* and there is a path from *n* to *u* not containing *v*.

- A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u
- The natural loop of a back edge *u* → *v* is the set of nodes *n* such that *v* dominates *n* and there is a path from *n* to *u* not containing *v*.
 - The natural loop of a back edge can be computed with a DFS on the *reversal* of the CFG, starting from *u*



- A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u
- The natural loop of a back edge *u* → *v* is the set of nodes *n* such that *v* dominates *n* and there is a path from *n* to *u* not containing *v*.
 - The natural loop of a back edge can be computed with a DFS on the *reversal* of the CFG, starting from *u*



- A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u
- The natural loop of a back edge *u* → *v* is the set of nodes *n* such that *v* dominates *n* and there is a path from *n* to *u* not containing *v*.
 - The natural loop of a back edge can be computed with a DFS on the *reversal* of the CFG, starting from *u*



- A back edge is an edge $u \rightarrow v$ such that v dominates u
- The natural loop of a back edge *u* → *v* is the set of nodes *n* such that *v* dominates *n* and there is a path from *n* to *u* not containing *v*.
 - The natural loop of a back edge can be computed with a DFS on the *reversal* of the CFG, starting from *u*



- Strongly connected
 - By DFS construction every node has a path to *u* (that doesn't pass through *v*)
 - Every node has a path from v (path from entry to node to u must include v)

- Strongly connected
 - By DFS construction every node has a path to *u* (that doesn't pass through *v*)
 - Every node has a path from v (path from entry to node to u must include v)
- 2 Single entry v

- Strongly connected
 - By DFS construction every node has a path to *u* (that doesn't pass through *v*)
 - Every node has a path from v (path from entry to node to u must include v)
- 2 Single entry v
 - By DFS construction, all predecessors of any node except v belong to the loop

- Strongly connected
 - By DFS construction every node has a path to u (that doesn't pass through v)
 - Every node has a path from v (path from entry to node to u must include v)
- **2** Single entry v
 - By DFS construction, all predecessors of any node except v belong to the loop

But not every loop is natural:



Nested loops

- Say that a loop *B* is *nested* within *A* if $B \subseteq A$
- A node can be the header of more than one natural loop.
 - Neither is nested inside the other

Nested loops

- Say that a loop B is *nested* within A if $B \subseteq A$
- A node can be the header of more than one natural loop.
 - Neither is nested inside the other
- Commonly, we resolve this issue by merging natural loops with the same header
 - Loops obtained by merging natural loops with the same header are either disjoint or nested
 - Loops can be organized into a forest

Nested loops

- Say that a loop B is *nested* within A if $B \subseteq A$
- A node can be the header of more than one natural loop.
 - Neither is nested inside the other
- Commonly, we resolve this issue by merging natural loops with the same header
 - Loops obtained by merging natural loops with the same header are either disjoint or nested
 - Loops can be organized into a forest
- We typically apply loop transformations "bottom-up", starting with innermost loops

Loop preheaders

- Some optimizations (e.g., loop-invariant code motion) require inserting statements immediately before a loop executes
- A *loop preheader* is a basic block that is inserted immediately before the loop header, to serve as a place to store these statements



- Loop invariant code motion saves the cost of re-computing expressions that are left invariant (i.e., do not change) in the loop.
 - Such computations can be moved the loop's preheader, as long as they are not side-effecting

- Loop invariant code motion saves the cost of re-computing expressions that are left invariant (i.e., do not change) in the loop.
 - Such computations can be moved the loop's preheader, as long as they are not side-effecting
- SSA based LICM:
 - An operand is *invariant* in a loop L if
 - It is a constant, or
 - It is a gid, or
 - 3 It is a uid whose definition does not belong to L

- Loop invariant code motion saves the cost of re-computing expressions that are left invariant (i.e., do not change) in the loop.
 - Such computations can be moved the loop's preheader, as long as they are not side-effecting
- SSA based LICM:
 - An operand is *invariant* in a loop L if
 - It is a constant, or
 - It is a gid, or
 - 3 It is a uid whose definition does not belong to L
 - For each computation $\%x = opn_1 op opn_2$, if opn_1 and opn_2 are both invariant, move $\%x = opn_1 op opn_2$ to pre-header

- Loop invariant code motion saves the cost of re-computing expressions that are left invariant (i.e., do not change) in the loop.
 - Such computations can be moved the loop's preheader, as long as they are not side-effecting
- SSA based LICM:
 - An operand is *invariant* in a loop L if
 - It is a constant, or
 - It is a gid, or
 - 3 It is a uid whose definition does not belong to L
 - For each computation $\%x = opn_1 op opn_2$, if opn_1 and opn_2 are both invariant, move $\%x = opn_1 op opn_2$ to pre-header
 - This moves definition of % x outside of the loop, so % x is now invariant









- An *induction variable* is a variable % x such that the difference between successive values of % x in a loop is constant.
 - Common example: the loop counter in a for loop

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

• Using % x(k) to denote the value of % x in the kth iteration of a loop, there is some constant $\Delta(\% x)$ such that

 $\% x(k+1) = \% x(k) + \Delta(\% x)$

- An *induction variable* is a variable % x such that the difference between successive values of % x in a loop is constant.
 - Common example: the loop counter in a for loop

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

• Using % x(k) to denote the value of % x in the kth iteration of a loop, there is some constant $\Delta(\% x)$ such that

$$\% x(k+1) = \% x(k) + \Delta(\% x)$$

- Useful for several optimizations
 - Strength reduction, loop unrolling, induction variable elimination, parallelization, array bound-check elision

- An *induction variable* is a variable % x such that the difference between successive values of % x in a loop is constant.
 - Common example: the loop counter in a for loop

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

• Using % x(k) to denote the value of % x in the kth iteration of a loop, there is some constant $\Delta(\% x)$ such that

$$\% x(k+1) = \% x(k) + \Delta(\% x)$$

- Useful for several optimizations
 - Strength reduction, loop unrolling, induction variable elimination, parallelization, array bound-check elision
- A variable %x is an *basic induction variable* for a loop *L* if it is increased / decreased by a fixed loop invariant quantity in any iteration of the loop.

•
$$\%x(i+1) = \%x(i) + c \Rightarrow \Delta(\%x) = c$$

- An *induction variable* is a variable % x such that the difference between successive values of % x in a loop is constant.
 - Common example: the loop counter in a for loop

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)

• Using % x(k) to denote the value of % x in the kth iteration of a loop, there is some constant $\Delta(\% x)$ such that

$$\% x(k+1) = \% x(k) + \Delta(\% x)$$

- Useful for several optimizations
 - Strength reduction, loop unrolling, induction variable elimination, parallelization, array bound-check elision
- A variable %x is an *basic induction variable* for a loop *L* if it is increased / decreased by a fixed loop invariant quantity in any iteration of the loop.

• $\%x(i+1) = \%x(i) + c \Rightarrow \Delta(\%x) = c$

• A variable % y is an *derived induction variable* for a loop L if it is an affine function of a basic induction variable

•
$$\% y(i) = a \cdot \% x(i) + b \Rightarrow \Delta(\% y) = a \cdot c$$

Finding induction variables

- Basic induction variable detection:
 - Look for ϕ statements $\% x = \phi(\% x_1, ..., \% x_n)$ in header
 - Each position $\%x_i$ corresponding to a back edge of the loop must be the same uid, say $\%x_k$
 - Find chain of assignments for %*x*_k leading back to %*x*, such that each either adds or subtracts an invariant quantity. Success ⇒ %*x* is an basic induction var.

Finding induction variables

- Basic induction variable detection:
 - Look for ϕ statements $\% x = \phi(\% x_1, ..., \% x_n)$ in header
 - Each position $\%x_i$ corresponding to a back edge of the loop must be the same uid, say $\%x_k$
 - Find chain of assignments for %*x*_k leading back to %*x*, such that each either adds or subtracts an invariant quantity. Success ⇒ %*x* is an basic induction var.
- To detect derived induction variables:
 - Choose a basic induction variable % x
 - Find assignments of the form $\% y = opn_1 op opn_2$ where
 - op is + or and opn₁ and opn₂ are either % x, derived induction variables of % x, or loop invariant quantities
 - op is * and opn₁ and opn₂ are as above, and at least one is a loop invariant quantity

Strength reduction

Idea: replace expensive operation with cheaper one (e.g., replace multiplication w/ addition).

```
long trace (long *m, long n) {
    long i;
    long result = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        result += *(m + i*n + i);
    }
    return result;
}</pre>
```

```
long trace (long *m, long n) {
    long i;
    long result = 0;
    long *next = m;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        result += *next;
        next += i + 1;
     }
    return result;
}</pre>
```

```
\%i_1 = \phi(\%i_0, \%i_2)
%result<sub>1</sub> = \phi(%result<sub>0</sub>, %result<sub>2</sub>)
%t1 = \%i_1 - \%n
blz %t1, body, exit
%t2 = \%i_1 * \%n
%t3 = \%m + \%t2
\%t4 = \%t3 + \%i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
\%i_2 = \%i_1 + 1
b loop
```

```
\%i_1 = \phi(\%i_0, \%i_2)
%result<sub>1</sub> = \phi(%result<sub>0</sub>, %result<sub>2</sub>)
%t1 = \%i_1 - \%n
blz %t1, body, exit
%t2 = \%i_1 * \%n
%t3 = \%m + \%t2
\%t4 = \%t3 + \%i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
\%i_2 = \%i_1 + 1
b loop
```

```
\%i_1 = \phi(\%i_0, \%i_2)
%result<sub>1</sub> = \phi(%result<sub>0</sub>, %result<sub>2</sub>)
%t1 = \%i_1 - \%n
blz %t1, body, exit
%t2 = \%i_1 * \%n
%t3 = \%m + \%t2
\%t4 = \%t3 + \%i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
\%i_2 = \%i_1 + 1
b loop
```

i := i + 1

```
\%i_1 = \phi(\%i_0, \%i_2)
%result<sub>1</sub> = \phi(%result<sub>0</sub>, %result<sub>2</sub>)
%t1 = \%i_1 - \%n
blz %t1, body, exit
%t2 = \%i_1 * \%n
%t3 = \%m + \%t2
\%t4 = \%t3 + \%i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
\%i_2 = \%i_1 + 1
b loop
```

i := i + 1

t2 := n*i

```
%t2 = %i<sub>1</sub> * %n
%t3 = %m + %t2
%t4 = %t3 + %i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
%i<sub>2</sub> = %i<sub>1</sub> + 1
b loop
```

```
i := i + 1
\%i_1 = \phi(\%i_0, \%i_2)
%result<sub>1</sub> = \phi(%result<sub>0</sub>, %result<sub>2</sub>)
                                                               t1 := i + n
%t1 = \%i_1 - \%n
blz %t1, body, exit
```

```
+2 .- - *:
%t2 = \%i_1 * \%n
\%t3 = \%m + \%t2
\%t4 = \%t3 + \%i<sub>1</sub>
%t5 = load %t4
%result<sub>2</sub> = %result<sub>1</sub> + %t5
\%i_2 = \%i_1 + 1
b loop
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & \text{``i}_1 = \phi(\text{``i}_0, \text{``i}_2) & \text{``i}:=i+1 \\ & \text{``result}_1 = \phi(\text{``result}_0, \text{``result}_2) \\ & \text{``t}_1 = \text{``i}_1 - \text{``n} & \text{``t}_1:=i+n \\ & \text{blz ``t}_1, & \text{body, exit} \end{aligned}
```

 $\%t2_0 = 0$ $\%t3_0 = \%m$ $\%t4_0 = \%m$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{``i}_1 = \phi(\text{``i}_0, \text{``i}_2) & \text{``i}_{!=i+1} \\ & \text{``t}_{2_1} = \phi(\text{``t}_{2_0}, \text{``t}_{2_2}) \\ & \text{``t}_{3_1} = \phi(\text{``t}_{3_0}, \text{``t}_{3_2}) \\ & \text{``t}_{4_1} = \phi(\text{``t}_{4_0}, \text{``t}_{4_2}) \\ & \text{``result}_1 = \phi(\text{``t}_{4_0}, \text{``t}_{4_2}) \\ & \text{``result}_1 = \phi(\text{``tesult}_0, \text{``result}_2) \\ & \text{``t}_{1} = \text{``t}_1 - \text{``n} \\ & \text{blz ``t1, body, exit} \end{aligned}$



- Some loops are so small that a significant portion of the running time is due to testing the loop exit condition
- We can avoid branching by executing several iterations of the loop at once
- This optimization trades (potential) run-time performance with code size.











Redirect back-edges to next loop copy



Optimization wrap-up

- Optimizer operates as a series of IR-to-IR transformations
- Transformations are typically supported by some analysis that proves the transformation is safe
- Each transformation is simple
- Transformations are mutually beneficial
 - Series of transformations can make drastic changes!