

Assignment #4

Due: 6:00pm Friday March 5, 2020

Upload at: <https://www.gradescope.com/courses/214271/assignments/1044252>

Assignments in COS 302 should be done individually. See the [course syllabus](#) for the collaboration policy.

Remember to append your Colab PDF as explained in the first homework, with all outputs visible.
When you print to PDF it may be helpful to scale at 95% or so to get everything on the page.

Problem 1 (20pts) (A) Compute an orthonormal basis of the kernel of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(B) Write down an orthonormal basis for the image of A .

Problem 2 (23pts)

You've encountered power series before in other classes, but one thing you may not've realized is that you can construct *matrix functions* from *matrix power series*. That is, if you have a function $f(\cdot)$ that has a convergent power series representation:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$$

then you can generally write a similar matrix version for square symmetric matrices \mathbf{X} using the same a_i :

$$F(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \mathbf{X}^i$$

- (A) The matrix version F turns out to just apply the scalar f to each eigenvalue independently. Explain why. (Hint: How would a diagonalized version of \mathbf{X} interact with the power series?)
- (B) In power series there is a notion of **radius of convergence**. How would you expect this concept to generalize to square symmetric matrices?
- (C) One important example is where the function $f(x)$ is the exponential function. I can take any square symmetric matrix and if I compute its matrix exponential, I get a positive definite matrix. Explain why.
- (D) These kinds of matrix functions lead to some interesting computational tricks. For example: if I have a positive definite matrix A and I take the *trace* of the *matrix logarithm* (assuming it exists), what quantity have I computed?

Problem 3 (25pts)

In general, computing the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix scales as n^3 in computational cost. When the matrix is highly structured, however, it can sometimes be possible to take advantage of that structure to save computation for quantities such as the determinant. One example of such structure is in *tridiagonal* matrices, which look like this:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ c_1 & a_2 & b_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & a_3 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-2} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & c_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{n-1} & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Such matrices can come up when simulating a physical system with local structure, e.g., a spring-mass system.

- (A) We would like to compute the determinant of the matrix T above, which we are assuming is invertible. Let d_m denote the determinant of the upper left $m \times m$ submatrix. So, $d_1 = a_1$ and $d_n = |T|$ (computing the whole determinant). Use **expansion by minors** to compute d_n in terms of d_{n-1} , d_{n-2} , and any of the a_i , b_i , or c_i . If necessary you can take $d_0 = 1$ and $d_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Carefully show how you arrived at your answer.
- (B) Based on this recurrence relation, how would you expect the computational cost to scale for computing the determinant of a tridiagonal matrix?

Problem 4 (30pts)

One of the single most important algorithms in data analysis is **principal component analysis** or PCA. PCA tries to find a way to represent high-dimensional data in a low-dimensional way so that human brains can reason about it. It tries to identify the “important” directions in a data set and represent the data just in that basis. PCA does this by computing the empirical covariance matrix of the data (we’ll learn more about that in a couple of weeks), and then looking at the eigenvectors of it that correspond to the largest eigenvalues.

- (A) Load `mnist2000.pkl` into a Colab notebook. Take the $2000 \times 28 \times 28$ tensor of training data and reshape it so that it is a 2000×784 matrix, where the rows are “unrolled” image vectors. Typically in PCA, one first centers the data. Center the data by subtracting off the mean image; you did a very similar procedure in HW2.
- (B) Now compute the “scatter matrix” which is the 784×784 matrix you get from multiplying data matrix by its transpose, making sure that you get it so the data dimension is the one being summed over.
- (C) This scatter matrix is square and symmetric, so use the `eigh` function in the `numpy.linalg` package to compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Plot the eigenvalues in decreasing order.
- (D) Read the documentation for `eigh` and figure out how to get the “big” eigenvectors. For each of the top five eigenvectors, reshape them into 28×28 images and use `imshow` to render them.
- (E) Now, create a low-dimensional representation of the data. Take the 2000×784 matrix and multiply it by each of the top two eigenvectors. This takes all 2000 data, each of which are 784-dimensional, and gives them two-dimensional coordinates. Make a scatter plot of these two-dimensional coordinates.
- (F) That scatter plot doesn’t really give you much of a visualization. Here’s some starter code to build a more interesting figure. It takes the two-dimensional projection and builds a “scatter plot” where the images themselves are rendered instead of dots. Here I have the projections in a 2000×2 matrix called `proj`, which I modify so that all the values are in $[0, 1]$.

```
# Make the projections into [0,1]
proj = proj - np.min(proj, axis=0)
proj = proj / np.max(proj, axis=0)

# Create a 12" x 12" figure.
viz_fig = plt.figure(figsize=(12.,12.))

# Get the figure width and height in pixels.
width, height = viz_fig.get_size_inches()*viz_fig.dpi

plt.plot() # Colab seems to require this to render.

# Loop over images. Could do all 2000 but it's crowded.
for ii in range(400):
    # Render each image in a location on the figure.
    plt.figure(train_images[ii,:,:],
               xo=proj[ii,1]*width,
               yo=(proj[ii,0]*height-150), # hack to make visible
               origin='upper')
```

Modify this code to work with your projections and make a visualization of the MNIST digits. Do you see any interesting structure?

Problem 5 (2pts)

Approximately how many hours did this assignment take you to complete?

My notebook URL: <https://colab.research.google.com/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX>

Changelog

- 21 February 2021 – S21 first version