Performance Improvement

“Premature optimization is the root of all evil.”
– Donald Knuth

“Rules of Optimization:
Rule 1: Don't do it.
Rule 2 (for experts only): Don't do it yet.”
– Michael A. Jackson
“Programming in the Large”

Design & Implement
- Program & programming style (done)
- Common data structures and algorithms (done)
- Modularity (done)
- Building techniques & tools (done)

Debug
- Debugging techniques & tools (done)

Test
- Testing techniques (done)

Maintain
- Performance improvement techniques & tools ← we are here
Goals of this Lecture

Help you learn about:

• How to use profilers to identify code hot-spots
• How to make your programs run faster

Why?

• In a large program, typically a small fragment of the code consumes most of the CPU time
• Identifying that fragment is likely to identify the source of inadequate performance
• Part of “programming maturity” is being able to recognize common approaches for improving the performance of such code fragments
• Part of “programming maturity” is also being able to recognize what is worth your time to improve and what is already “good enough”
Agenda

Should you optimize?

What should you optimize?

Optimization techniques
Techniques described in this lecture can answer:

Similar techniques (not discussed) can address:

- How can I make my program use less memory?
Techniques described in this lecture can yield code that:

- Is less clear/maintainable
- Might confuse debuggers
- Might contain bugs
  - Requires regression testing

So...

https://www.linusakesson.net/programming/kernighans-lever/; https://plauger.com/
When to Improve Performance

“The first principle of optimization is *don’t*. 

Is the program good enough already? Knowing how a program will be used and the environment it runs in, is there any benefit to making it faster?”

– Kernighan & Pike
Timing a Program

Run a tool to time program execution

- E.g., Unix `time` command

```bash
$ time sort < bigfile.txt > output.txt
real    0m12.977s
user    0m12.860s
sys     0m0.010s
```

Output:

- **Real**: Wall-clock time between program invocation and termination
- **User**: CPU time spent executing the program
- **System**: CPU time spent within the OS on the program’s behalf
Enabling Compiler Optimization

Enable compiler speed optimization

gcc217 -Ox mysort.c -o mysort

- Compiler looks for ways to transform your code so that result is the same but it runs faster
- x controls how many transformations the compiler tries – see “man gcc” for details
  - -00: do not optimize (default if -O not specified)
  - -01: optimize (default if -O but no number is specified)
  - -02: optimize more (longer compile time)
  - -03: optimize yet more (including inlining)

Warning: Speed optimization can affect debugging
- e.g., Optimization eliminates variable ⇒ GDB cannot print value of variable
Now What?

So you’ve determined that your program is taking too long, even with compiler optimization enabled (and NDEBUG defined, etc.)

Is it time to completely rewrite the program?
Agenda

Should you optimize?

**What should you optimize?**

Optimization techniques
Identifying Hot Spots

Spend time optimizing only the parts of the program that will make a difference!

Gather statistics about your program’s execution

• **Coarse-grained**: how much time did execution of a particular function call take?
  • Time individual function calls or blocks of code

• **Fine-grained**: how many times was a particular function called?
  How much time was taken by all calls to that function?
  • Use an execution profiler such as gprof
Timing Parts of a Program

Call a function to compute **wall-clock time** consumed

- Unix `gettimeofday()` returns time in seconds + microseconds

```
#include <sys/time.h>

struct timeval startTime;
struct timeval endTime;
double wallClockSecondsConsumed;

gmtimeofday(&startTime, NULL);
<execute some code here>
gmtimeofday(&endTime, NULL);
wallClockSecondsConsumed =
    endTime.tv_sec - startTime.tv_sec +
    1.0E-6 * (endTime.tv_usec - startTime.tv_usec);
```

- Not defined by C90 standard
Timing Parts of a Program (cont.)

Call a function to compute **CPU time** consumed

- `clock()` returns CPU times in `CLOCKS_PER_SEC` units

```c
#include <time.h>

clock_t startClock;
clock_t endClock;
double cpuSecondsConsumed;

startClock = clock();
<execute some code here>
endClock = clock();
cpuSecondsConsumed = ((double)(endClock - startClock)) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;
```

- Defined by C90 standard
Identifying Hot Spots

Spend time optimizing only the parts of the program that will make a difference!

Gather statistics about your program’s execution

• **Coarse-grained:** how much time did execution of a particular function call take?
  - Time individual function calls or blocks of code

• **Fine-grained:** how many times was a particular function called?
  How much time was taken by all calls to that function?
  - Use an `execution profiler` such as `gprof`
GPROF Example Program

Example program for GPROF analysis

- Sort an array of 10 million random integers
- Artificial: consumes lots of CPU time, generates no output

```c
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

enum {MAX_SIZE = 10000000};
int a[MAX_SIZE];

void fillArray(int a[], int size)
{  int i;
    for (i = 0; i < size; i++)
        a[i] = rand();
}

void swap(int a[], int i, int j)
{  int temp = a[i];
    a[i] = a[j];
    a[j] = temp;
}

... int part(int a[], int left, int right)
{  int first = left-1;
    int last = right;
    for (;;)
    {  while (a[++first] < a[right]) ;
        while (a[right] < a[--last])
            if (last == left)
                break;
        if (first >= last)
            break;
        swap(a, first, last);
    }
    swap(a, first, right);
    return first;
} ...
```
GPROF Example Program (cont.)

Example program for GPROF analysis

• Sort an array of 10 million random integers
• Artificial: consumes lots of CPU time, generates no output

```c
... void quicksort(int a[], int left, int right)
{   if (right > left)
    {   int mid = part(a, left, right);
       quicksort(a, left, mid - 1);
       quicksort(a, mid + 1, right);
    }
}

int main(void)
{   fillArray(a, MAX_SIZE);
    quicksort(a, 0, MAX_SIZE - 1);
    return 0;
}
```
Using GPROF

Step 1: Instrument the program

```bash
gcc217 -pg mysort.c -o mysort
```
- Adds profiling code to `mysort`, that is...
- “Instruments” `mysort`

Step 2: Run the program

```bash
./mysort
```
- Creates file `gmon.out` containing statistics

Step 3: Create a report

```bash
gprof mysort > myreport
```
- Uses `mysort` and `gmon.out` to create textual report

Step 4: Examine the report

```bash
cat myreport
```
The GPROF Report

- Each line describes one function
  - **name**: name of the function
  - **%time**: percentage of time spent executing this function
  - **cumulative seconds**: [skipping, as this isn’t all that useful]
  - **self seconds**: time spent executing this function
  - **calls**: number of times function was called (excluding recursive)
  - **self s/call**: average time per execution (excluding descendants)
  - **total s/call**: average time per execution (including descendants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%time</th>
<th>cumulative seconds</th>
<th>self seconds</th>
<th>calls</th>
<th>self s/call</th>
<th>total s/call</th>
<th>name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>6665307</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>54328749</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>swap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>quicksort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>fillArray</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The GPROF Report (cont.)

Call graph profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>index</th>
<th>% time</th>
<th>self</th>
<th>children</th>
<th>called</th>
<th>name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;spontaneous&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>quicksort [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>fillArray [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>main [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6665307/6665307</td>
<td>quicksort [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13330614</td>
<td>part [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6665307/6665307</td>
<td>quicksort [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6665307</td>
<td>part [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>54328749/54328749</td>
<td>swap [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>54328749/54328749</td>
<td>part [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>main [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### The GPROF Report

- Each line describes one function
  - **name**: name of the function
  - **%time**: percentage of time spent executing this function
  - **cumulative seconds**: [skipping, as this isn’t all that useful]
  - **self seconds**: time spent executing this function
  - **calls**: number of times function was called (excluding recursive)
  - **self s/call**: average time per execution (excluding descendants)
  - **total s/call**: average time per execution (including descendants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%time</th>
<th>cumulative seconds</th>
<th>self seconds</th>
<th>calls</th>
<th>s/call</th>
<th>total s/call</th>
<th>name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>6665307</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>54328749</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>swap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>quicksort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>fillArray</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agenda

Should you optimize?
What should you optimize?

Optimization techniques
Using Better Algs and DSs

Use a better algorithm or data structure
• e.g., would a different sorting algorithm work better?

See COS 226 ...
• But only where it would really help!
  Not worth using asymptotically efficient algorithms and
data structures that are {complex, hard-to-understand,
hard-to-maintain, ...} if they will not make any difference anyway!
Q: Could a good compiler do this optimization for you?

Before:
```c
int g(int x)
{
    return f(x) + f(x) + f(x) + f(x);
}
```

After:
```c
int g(int x)
{
    return 4 * f(x);
}
```

A. Yes
B. Only sometimes
C. No
Aside: Side Effects as Blockers

Suppose \( f() \) has side effects?

```c
int g(int x)
{  return f(x) + f(x) + f(x) + f(x);
}
```

```c
int g(int x)
{  return 4 * f(x);
}
```

```c
int counter = 0;
...
int f(int x)
{  return counter++;  
}
```

And \( f() \) might be defined in another file known only at link time!
Q: Could a good compiler do this optimization for you?

Before:

```c
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[n*i + j] = b[j];
```

After:

```c
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
{
    ni = n * i;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[ni + j] = b[j];
}
```

A. Yes
B. Only sometimes
C. No
Avoiding Repeated Computation

Before:

```c
for (i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++)
{    /* Do something with s[i] */
}
```

After:

```c
length = strlen(s);
for (i = 0; i < length; i++)
{    /* Do something with s[i] */
}
```

Could a good compiler do that for you?
Q: Could a good compiler do this optimization for you?

Before:
```c
void twiddle(int *p1, int *p2) {
    *p1 += *p2;
    *p1 += *p2;
}
```

After:
```c
void twiddle(int *p1, int *p2) {
    *p1 += *p2 * 2;
}
```

A. Yes
B. Only sometimes
C. No
Aside: **Aliases as Blockers**

What if p1 and p2 are aliases?
- What if p1 and p2 point to the same integer?
- First version: result is 4 times *p1
- Second version: result is 3 times *p1

C99 supports the `restrict` keyword
- e.g., `int * restrict p1`
Inlining Function Calls

Before:
```c
void g(void)
{ /* Some code */
}
void f(void)
{ ...
    g();
    ...
}
```

After:
```c
void f(void)
{ ...
    /* Some code */
    ...
}
```

Beware: Can introduce redundant/cloned code
Some compilers support inline keyword

Could a good compiler do that for you?
Unrolling Loops

Original:

```
for (i = 0; i < 6; i++)
    a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
```

Maybe faster:

```
for (i = 0; i < 6; i += 2)
    {  a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
        a[i+1] = b[i+1] + c[i+1];
    }
```

Maybe even faster:

```
a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
a[i+1] = b[i+1] + c[i+1];
a[i+2] = b[i+2] + c[i+2];
a[i+3] = b[i+3] + c[i+3];
a[i+4] = b[i+4] + c[i+4];
a[i+5] = b[i+5] + c[i+5];
```

Could a good compiler do that for you?

Some compilers provide option, e.g. –funroll-loops
Using a Lower-Level Language

Rewrite code in a lower-level language
   • As described in this module of the course ...
   • Compose key functions in **assembly language** instead of C
     • Use registers instead of memory
     • Use instructions (e.g. `adc`) that compiler doesn’t know

Beware!
Modern optimizing compilers generate fast code
   • Hand-written assembly language code could be slower!
Steps to improve execution (time) efficiency:

- Don't do it.
- Don't do it yet.
- Time the code to make sure it's necessary
- Enable compiler optimizations
- Identify hot spots using profiling
- Use a better algorithm or data structure
- Identify common inefficiencies and bad idioms
- Fine-tune the code