COS320: Compiling Techniques

Zak Kincaid

April 28, 2020

Compiling object-oriented languages

Objects

An object consists of Data (attributes) and Behaviour (methods).

```
class AstNode {
 location loc:
  public AstNode(location nodeloc)
 { loc = nodeloc; }
  public location getLocation()
  { return loc; }
abstract class Expr extends AstNode {
  public abstract int eval(Env);
  public Expr(location loc) { super(loc); }
public class AddExpr extends Expr {
                                                      class IntExpr extends Expr {
 Expr left, right:
                                                        int value:
  public AddExpr(int loc, Expr x, Expr y)
                                                        public IntExpr(int loc, int k)
    super(loc); left = x; right = y; }
                                                        { super(loc); value = k; }
  public int eval(Env env)
                                                        public int eval(int env)
    return left.eval(env) + right.eval(env); }
                                                        { return value; }
```

Compiling objects

- Compiling OO languages with single inheritance:
 - Each class is associated with a *dispatch vector* (aka virtual table, vtable)
 - dispatch vector = record of function pointers one for each method
 - Each object is associated with a record, with one field for the dispatch vector of its class, and one field for each attriute



Compiling methods

Each method is extended with an additional parameter for the current object

- Gives the method access to the attributes of the object
- Dispatch vector enables dynamic dispatch

Subtyping

• Recall the *Liskov substitution priciple*: if *s* is a subtype of *t*, then terms of type *t* can be replaced with terms of type *s* without breaking type safety.

Subtyping

- Recall the *Liskov substitution priciple*: if *s* is a subtype of *t*, then terms of type *t* can be replaced with terms of type *s* without breaking type safety.
- If class B extends class A, then B is a subtype of A

Subtyping

- Recall the *Liskov substitution priciple*: if *s* is a subtype of *t*, then terms of type *t* can be replaced with terms of type *s* without breaking type safety.
- If class *B* extends class *A*, then *B* is a subtype of *A*
- This works for the same reason that record width subtyping works:
 - If A has a method foo, it appears in the same position in A and B's dispatch vector
 - If A has an attribute x, then A objects and B objects place x in the same position in object records

RecordWidth

$$\overline{ \vdash \{\textit{lab}_1: s_1; ...; \textit{lab}_m: s_m\} <: \{\textit{lab}_1: s_1; ...; \textit{lab}_n: s_n\}} \ n < m$$

• Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts

- Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts
- To implement, we need a run-time representation class of the class hierarchy

- Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts
- To implement, we need a run-time representation class of the class hierarchy
- One solution:
 - The dispatch table serves as a type tag
 (i.e., typeOf(o) == AddExpr \iff o.dispatch = DispatchVector(AddExpr))

- Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts
- To implement, we need a run-time representation class of the class hierarchy
- One solution:
 - The dispatch table serves as a type tag
 (i.e., typeOf(o) == AddExpr \iff o.dispatch = DispatchVector(AddExpr))
 - The first member of each dispatch table is a pointer to parent type

- Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts
- To implement, we need a run-time representation class of the class hierarchy

• One solution:

• The dispatch table serves as a type tag

(i.e., typeOf(o) == AddExpr ⇐⇒ o.dispatch = DispatchVector(AddExpr))

- The first member of each dispatch table is a pointer to parent type
- To check o instanceOf C, walk up the class hierarchy
 - o.dispatch = DispatchVector(C), or
 - o.dispatch != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent = DispatchVector(C), or
 - o.dispatch != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent.parent = DispatchVector(C), or
 - ...

- Some OO languages support testing whether an object belongs to a given class, and performing (checked) downcasts
- To implement, we need a run-time representation class of the class hierarchy

• One solution:

• The dispatch table serves as a type tag

(i.e., typeOf(o) == AddExpr ⇐⇒ o.dispatch = DispatchVector(AddExpr))

- The first member of each dispatch table is a pointer to parent type
- To check o instanceOf C, walk up the class hierarchy
 - o.dispatch = DispatchVector(C), or
 - o.dispatch != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent = DispatchVector(C), or
 - o.dispatch != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent != DispatchVector(Object) and o.dispatch.parent.parent = DispatchVector(C), or

• ...

• Checked downcasting: if o instanceOf c then bitcast, otherwise throw run-time exception.

• Some languages (such as C++) support a class extending more than one base class

- Some languages (such as C++) support a class extending more than one base class
- Previous strategy does not work: base classes have conflicting ideas about where methods are stored in vtable

- Some languages (such as C++) support a class extending more than one base class
- Previous strategy does not work: base classes have conflicting ideas about where methods are stored in vtable
- Solution: Use hash tables instead of records

- Some languages (such as C++) support a class extending more than one base class
- Previous strategy does not work: base classes have conflicting ideas about where methods are stored in vtable
- Solution: Use hash tables instead of records
- Cost can be reduced with optimizing compiler
 - Perform a conservative analysis to determine the class of (some) objects. If known statically, can replace dynamic dispatch with static dispatch
 - JIT compilation
 - At compile time, we have more precise information about object classes
 - Replace dynamic dispatch with static dispatch, optimize & compile the result.

• Many modern languages feature *garbage collectors*, which automatically reclaim memory that was allocated by a program but no longer used

- Many modern languages feature *garbage collectors*, which automatically reclaim memory that was allocated by a program but no longer used
- A memory location is *garbage* if it will not be used in the remainder of the program

- Many modern languages feature *garbage collectors*, which automatically reclaim memory that was allocated by a program but no longer used
- A memory location is *garbage* if it will not be used in the remainder of the program
- Determining whether it will not be used is undecidable
 - *But*, we are happy with a conservative approximation: free memory if it *cannot possibly be used* in the remainder of the program

- Many modern languages feature *garbage collectors*, which automatically reclaim memory that was allocated by a program but no longer used
- A memory location is *garbage* if it will not be used in the remainder of the program
- Determining whether it will not be used is undecidable
 - *But*, we are happy with a conservative approximation: free memory if it *cannot possibly be used* in the remainder of the program
- Usually not a static analysis, but rather a dynamic analysis
 - *static analyses* collect information about a program without running it
 - dynamic analyses collect information about a program while running it

- Each memory location gets an extra int field to hold the number of active references to that memory
- Collect when count is zero
- Example: compiling a store x->f = y



- Each memory location gets an extra int field to hold the number of active references to that memory
- Collect when count is zero
- Example: compiling a store x->f = y

y->count ++



- Each memory location gets an extra int field to hold the number of active references to that memory
- Collect when count is zero
- Example: compiling a store x->f = y

```
y->count ++
tmp = x->f
```



- Each memory location gets an extra int field to hold the number of active references to that memory
- Collect when count is zero
- Example: compiling a store x->f = y

```
y->count ++
tmp = x->f
tmp->count --
if (tmp->count == 0) free(tmp):
```



- Each memory location gets an extra int field to hold the number of active references to that memory
- Collect when count is zero
- Example: compiling a store x->f = y

```
y->count ++
tmp = x->f
tmp->count --
if (tmp->count == 0) free(tmp);
x->f = y
```











Tracing-based GC

- *Tracing garbage collection*: a memory location is garbage if it is unreachable from the program's *roots*
 - roots = registers, stack, global static data

Tracing-based GC

- *Tracing garbage collection*: a memory location is garbage if it is unreachable from the program's *roots*
 - *roots* = registers, stack, global static data
- Mark-and-sweep
 - Each memory location gets an extra bit to hold a "mark"
 - *Mark*: When there is no remaining free memory, run a DFS search from the roots, marking all memory locations
 - Sweep: Traverse the entire heap; unmarked nodes are collected; marked nodes are unmarked









Finding roots

Stack is a sequence of 64-bit values 0x00000000 • Values (pointers in the heap); i.e., roots Code & Data Saved frame pointers (pointers in the stack) ۲ Saved return addresses (pointers in code) Heap rsp Grows up Stack (lower addresses) 0xfffffff

Tagged pointers

• Boxing has high overhead



type point = { x : int; y : int }

Tagged pointers

• Boxing has high overhead



type point = { x : int; y : int }

• Pointers are quadword aligned \Rightarrow last four (low-order) bits are O

Tagged pointers

• Boxing has high overhead



type point = { x : int; y : int }

- Pointers are *quadword aligned* \Rightarrow last four (low-order) bits are O
- If a values for a type fit into 63 bits, can used *unboxed* value, marked with a last (low-order) bit so GC does not scan
 - Integers are 63 bit: x is represented as x «1 | 1



• Mark-and-sweep can lead to memory fragmentation



- Mark-and-sweep can lead to memory fragmentation
- Since GC traverses the heap anyway, might as well compact as it goes

Copying GC

- Mark-and-sweep can lead to memory fragmentation
- Since GC traverses the heap anyway, might as well compact as it goes
- Copying (or Moving) GC
 - Maintain two heaps (roughly equal size), old and new
 - GC sequentially copies reachable blocks from old heap to new heap

Generational GC

- Generational hypothesis:
 - Most memory becomes garbage quickly after allocation
 - Memory that does not quickly become garbage is likely to not be garbage for a very long time

Generational GC

- Generational hypothesis:
 - Most memory becomes garbage quickly after allocation
 - Memory that does not quickly become garbage is likely to not be garbage for a very long time
- Generational GC: maintain several heaps ("generations") $G_0, G_1, ...$
 - Allocate in G₀, and scan frequently
 - Scan G_1 less frequently, G_2 less frequently than that, ...
 - After collecting garbage in G_i , non-garbage is promoted to G_{i+1}

Generational GC

- Generational hypothesis:
 - Most memory becomes garbage quickly after allocation
 - Memory that does not quickly become garbage is likely to not be garbage for a very long time
- Generational GC: maintain several heaps ("generations") $G_0, G_1, ...$
 - Allocate in G₀, and scan frequently
 - Scan G_1 less frequently, G_2 less frequently than that, ...
 - After collecting garbage in G_i , non-garbage is promoted to G_{i+1}
- Complication: intergenerational pointers (from older to newer generation) are new roots that must be managed

- Reference counting
 - No long pauses (as for tracing GC)
 - Performance penalty for maintaining refcounts, cycles cause leaks

- Reference counting
 - No long pauses (as for tracing GC)
 - Performance penalty for maintaining refcounts, cycles cause leaks
- Mark-and-sweep GC
 - Low memory requirements
 - Memory fragmentation, long pauses

- Reference counting
 - No long pauses (as for tracing GC)
 - Performance penalty for maintaining refcounts, cycles cause leaks
- Mark-and-sweep GC
 - Low memory requirements
 - Memory fragmentation, long pauses
- Copying GC
 - Simple (no free list), Less memory fragmentation
 - Cuts available memory in half, long pauses

- Reference counting
 - No long pauses (as for tracing GC)
 - Performance penalty for maintaining refcounts, cycles cause leaks
- Mark-and-sweep GC
 - Low memory requirements
 - Memory fragmentation, long pauses
- Copying GC
 - Simple (no free list), Less memory fragmentation
 - Cuts available memory in half, long pauses
- Generational GC
 - Shortens average GC pauses; can combine mark-and-sweep & copying GC
 - Relatively complicated, performance penalty for managing intergenerational pointers