EXERCISE 1: Comparables & Comparators (live-coding)

Download **precept3.zip** from the precepts page, unzip the project and open it using IntelliJ. Follow along with your preceptor (using the annotated code in the next page) to do the following:

(a) Define a *natural (default)* comparison behavior for the **Point2D** class and use it in a simple test client. Proceed according to the following steps:

- Modify the class declaration of **Point2D** to make it implement the **Comparable** interface.
- Implement the **compareTo** method. This method allows the point to be compared to another given point (passed as an argument to the method).
 - Use the *y*-coordinate for comparison and break ties using the *x*-coordinate.
 - Return **1** if the point is greater than the method argument, **-1** if it is less and **0** otherwise.
- Complete the given test program to sort the array according to the natural order defined in the **compareTo** method.

(b) Define an *alternate* comparison behavior for 2D points and use it in a simple test client. Proceed according to the following steps:

- Uncomment the code marked as /* *** PART (B) *** */.
- Complete the implementation of class **DistanceToOrder** such that it allows comparing between two given points based on their distance to a given reference point.
 - Make the class implement the **Comparator** interface.
 - Implement the constructor to receive and store the reference point.
 - Implement the compare method. This method compares the two given argument points: Returns 1 if the first argument is farther from the reference point than the second argument, -1 if it is closer and 0 otherwise.
- Complete the given test program to sort the array according to the distance of the points from the origin (0, 0).



EXERCISE 2: Three-Way Merge Sort

3-way Merge sort is a variant of the Merge sort algorithm that considers 3 "equal" subarrays instead of 2 subarrays.

(a) Given 3 sorted subarrays of size $\frac{n}{3}$, how many comparisons are needed (in the worst case) to *merge* them to a sorted array of size *n*? Provide your answer in tilde notation.

(b) What is the *order of growth* of the number of compares in 3-way Merge Sort as a function of the array size *n*?

(c) Given a choice, would you choose 3-way or 2-way merge sort? Justify your answer.

EXERCISE 3: Algorithm Design

Let $a = a_0, a_1, ..., a_{n-1}$ be an array of length n. An array b is a circular shift of a if it consists of the subarray $a_k, a_{k+1}, ..., a_{n-1}$ followed by the subarray $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$ for some integer k. In the example below, b is a circular shift of a (with k = 7 and n = 10).

sorted array a[]

circular shift b[]

1 2 3 5 6 8 9 34 55 89 34 55 89 1 2 3 5 6		_	_	_	-	_	_			~ ~	1					_	_	_	_	_	
	1	2	3	5	6	8	9	34	55	89		34	55	89	1	2	3	5	6	8	9

Suppose that you are given an array b that is a circular shift of some sorted array (but you have access to neither k nor the sorted array). Assume that the array b consists of n comparable keys, no two of which are equal. Design an efficient algorithm to determine whether a given key appears in the array b. Your algorithm should run in $O(\log n)$.

ASSIGNMENT TIPS: Autocomplete

- (1) Given an array of elements with duplicates, can we use the book implementation of Binary Search to find the *first occurrence* of an element?
 - The standard implementation of Binary Search finds *an* occurrence, which is not necessarily the *first* occurence.
 - Finding *an* occurrence and then scanning left to find the first occurence yields a linear running time (in the worst case), which is not good!
 - In this assignment, you will have to modify Binary Search to find the first (and last) occurence of an element in a sorted array in logarithmic time (in the worst case).
 - For full credit, your algorithm has to make at most $1 + \lceil log_2 n \rceil$ compares. However, if your algorithm in $O(\log n)$ but makes more than $1 + \lceil log_2 n \rceil$ compares, you will lose *only* 1 point.
- (2) What is the order of growth of the **substring** method?
 - Creating a substring of length *r* takes time proportional to *r*.
 - Note that the string comparison functions in the assignment should take time proportional to the number of characters needed to resolve the comparison.

Example: The comparison between X="AAAAAAA" and Y="AABBB" can be resolved when the first "B" in Y is reached. The comparison function should not take time proportional to the size of X or the size of Y. It should take time proportional to the number of characters needed to resolve the comparison!

• Most uses of the **substring** method in the **compare** functions do not meet the above time constraint. So, be careful!

(3) Are there other things I should note about the assignment?

Definitely! This is why we have a <u>Checklist</u> for the assignment. You might want to check the answers for the following questions in the Checklist:

- What is meant by an *immutable* data type?
- What is the meaning of the type parameter Key in the following function declaration? public static <Key> int firstIndexOf(Key[] a, Key key, Comparator<Key> comparator)
- What's a good way to get a Comparator object to use for *testing*?

(4) A <u>video</u> that provides some tips for the assignment is available on the assignment Checklist page. The video was made in 2014, so a few things are outdated, but most of it is still useful (for example, the API has changed).