

## COS 511: Theoretical Machine Learning

Homework #3  
Learning models & Chernoff

Due:  
March 7, 2018

---

### Problem 1

In the *batch version* of the PAC model, which is the one that we have been studying in class, the learner must specify how many examples it requires *before* seeing any data. Thus, before learning begins, the learning algorithm specifies the number of examples needed, and cannot later ask for more examples.

In contrast, in the *oracle version* of the PAC model, the learner is not provided with a fixed batch of examples, but is instead provided with an example “oracle” EX. The learner requests one example at a time from EX. Each call provides the learner with a single example. As usual, each example  $x$  is selected at random according to the distribution  $D$ , and both  $x$  and its label  $c(x)$  are provided to the learner. Thus, in this model, the learner is provided with  $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta$  and the oracle EX, and can request as many examples as it wishes from EX. As usual, the hypothesis that the learner outputs must have error at most  $\epsilon$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . Moreover, the total number of examples requested must always be bounded by a polynomial.

So, the difference between these two models is that in the batch version, the learner must decide ahead of time how many examples it needs (with knowledge of  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ ), while in the oracle version, it can dynamically decide how many examples it needs based on the data received so far. This problem explores this difference for a simple example studied on previous problem sets.

As on homework #1 (problem 1), let the domain be  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}_s$  be the class of concepts defined by unions of  $s$  intervals. That is, each concept  $c$  is defined by real numbers  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s$  where  $c(x) = 1$  if and only if  $x \in [a_1, b_1] \cup \dots \cup [a_s, b_s]$ . For the purposes of this problem, “efficient” means that the time and sample requirements are polynomial in  $1/\epsilon$ ,  $1/\delta$  and  $s$ .

On that previous problem, you were asked to show that, in the batch version, there exists an efficient algorithm that learns the class  $\mathcal{C}_s$  for every  $s$  when  $s$  is *known* ahead of time to the learner.

- a. [10] Still in the batch version, assume now that the learner does *not* know  $s$  ahead of time, so that the number of examples needed is only a function of  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ . In this case, prove that there is no algorithm (whether efficient or not, and regardless of the hypothesis space used) that can PAC-learn the class  $\mathcal{C}_s$  for more than finitely many values of  $s$ . In other words, for any algorithm  $A$  (taking as input  $m(\epsilon, \delta)$  random examples and outputting a hypothesis from any space), prove that there exists some number  $s_0$  such that for all  $s \geq s_0$ ,  $A$  does *not* PAC-learn  $\mathcal{C}_s$ .
- b. [15] Turning now to the oracle version, let us continue to assume that the learner does not know  $s$  ahead of time. Describe a single, efficient algorithm that learns the class  $\mathcal{C}_s$  for every  $s$  even though  $s$  is not known by the learner. Then show that your algorithm has all of the following, desired properties:

- (i) Although  $s$  is not known at the beginning of the learning process, argue that your algorithm always halts, and that when it does halt, the total number of examples requested does not exceed a polynomial in  $1/\epsilon$ ,  $1/\delta$  and  $s$ . Give a “big-Oh” expression for the number of examples needed.
- (ii) Prove that your algorithm is PAC, being especially careful to show that the total probability of your algorithm failing to find a hypothesis with error at most  $\epsilon$  cannot exceed  $\delta$ .
- (iii) Argue that your algorithm halts in time polynomial in  $1/\epsilon$ ,  $1/\delta$  and  $s$ .

(For this problem, do not make any extraneous assumptions about the distribution  $D$ , for instance, about the probability mass of the individual intervals.)

## Problem 2

Let  $D$  be a distribution over  $X \times \{0, 1\}$ , and let  $S = \langle (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m) \rangle$  be a random sample from  $D$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(h) &= \Pr_{(x,y) \sim D} [h(x) \neq y] \\ \widehat{\text{err}}(h) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{1}\{h(x_i) \neq y_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we will assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite, although the results of this problem can be carried over to the infinite case. Note that none of the results depend on  $|\mathcal{H}|$ .

Let  $\hat{h}$  and  $h^*$  be the hypotheses in  $\mathcal{H}$  with minimum training error and generalization error, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h} &= \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \widehat{\text{err}}(h) \\ h^* &= \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \text{err}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Be sure to keep in mind that, unlike  $h^*$ ,  $\hat{h}$  is a *random variable* that depends on the random sample  $S$ .

- a. [10] Prove that

$$\mathbb{E} [\widehat{\text{err}}(\hat{h})] \leq \text{err}(h^*) \leq \mathbb{E} [\text{err}(\hat{h})].$$

- b. [10] Prove that, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$\left| \widehat{\text{err}}(\hat{h}) - \mathbb{E} [\widehat{\text{err}}(\hat{h})] \right| \leq O \left( \sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{m}} \right).$$

Give explicit constants, and be sure to end up with a result that does not depend on  $|\mathcal{H}|$ .

- c. [5] Explain in words the meaning of what you proved in both of the preceding parts, and how we would expect training error to compare to test error when using a machine learning algorithm on actual data.

### Problem 3

[15] Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be  $m$  random variables that are independent, and which each take values in  $[0, 1]$ , but which are *not* necessarily identically distributed. Let  $p_i = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ , and let us also define

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$$
$$p = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i.$$

For any  $q > p$ , prove that

$$\Pr[\hat{p} \geq q] \leq \exp(-\text{RE}(q \parallel p) m).$$