### **The Radio Channel**



#### COS 463: Wireless Networks Lecture 14 Kyle Jamieson

[Parts adapted from I. Darwazeh, A. Goldsmith, T. Rappaport, P. Steenkiste]

# **Motivation**

- The radio channel is what limits most radio systems the main challenge!
  - Understanding its properties is therefore key to understanding radio systems' design

- There is no single radio channel, but instead variation in many different properties
  - Carrier frequency, environment (*e.g.* indoors, outdoors, satellite, space)

Many different models covering many different scenarios

# **Channel and Propagation Models**

- A channel model describes what happens
  - Gives channel output power for a particular input power
  - "Black Box" no explanation of mechanism
  - Requires appropriate statistical parameters (*e.g.* loss, fading)

- A propagation model describes how it happens
  - How signal gets from transmitter to receiver
  - How energy is redistributed in time and frequency
  - Can inform channel model parameters

### Modeling (from a high-level perspective)



# Today

- 1. Large scale channel models
  - Free space model
  - Two-ray ground model
- 2. Small-scale channel models
- 3. Equalization: Coping with the channel

### The dBm unit

If we take one milliwatt as a reference then we have a unit of absolute power called *dBm*:

$$P_{dBm} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_1}{10^{-3}} \right)$$

• Where *P*<sub>1</sub> is the power we want to express in dBm, **in Watts** 

Power (linear)	Power (dBm)
10 W	40 dBm
1 W	30 dBm
100 mW	20 dBm
10 mW	10 dBm
1 mW	0 dBm
10 μW	-20 dBm
1 μW	-30 dBm
1 nW	-60 dBm
1 pW	-90 dBm

# **Goal: Power Budget**



 $P_{\text{RX}}$  (dBm) =  $P_{\text{TX}}$  (dBm) + Gains (dB) – Losses (dB)

- Receiver needs a certain SINR to be able to decode the signal
- Factors reducing power budget:
   Noise, attenuation (multiple sources), longer range, fading
- Factors improving power budget:
  - Antenna gain, transmit power

# LARGE-SCALE CHANNEL MODELS

**Goal:** Predict **average** received signal strength given a transmitter-receiver separation distance

# **Transmitting in Free Space**



- Deliver  $P_t$  Watts to an omnidirectional transmitting antenna
- So then **power density** (Watts per unit area) at **range** d is  $p = \frac{P_t}{4\pi d^2}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>
  - Independent of wavelength (frequency)

### **Idealized Receive Antenna**

Effective aperture A<sub>e</sub>: fraction of incident power density p captured and received

$$-A_e = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi}$$

• Larger antennas at greater  $\lambda$  capture more power

• So **power received**  $P_r$  is the product of the power density and effective aperture:

$$P_r = \frac{P_t \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 d^2}$$

# Antenna Gain

- Antennas don't radiate power equally in all directions
  - Specific to the antenna design
- Model these gains in the directions of interest between transmitter, receiver:
  - Transmit antenna gain G<sub>t</sub>
  - Receive antenna gain  $G_r$



### **Friis Free Space Channel Model**

• **Power received**  $P_r$  is the product of the power received by idealized antennas, times transmit and receive antenna gains:

$$P_r = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 d^2}$$

#### Ground Reflection (Two-Ray) Propagation Model



- Commonly occurs in mobile cellular environments
- Near transmitter: multipath oscillation due to constructive and destructive interference
- Far from transmitter ( $d \gg h_t$ ,  $h_r$ ), reflection always approximately out of phase with line of sight path: rapid attenuation

# Today

- 1. Large scale channel models
- 2. Small-scale channel models
- 3. Equalization: Coping with the channel

### Small-scale versus large-scale modeling



Small-scale models: Characterize the channel over at most a few wavelengths or a few seconds

# **Radio Propagation Mechanisms**



- <u>Reflection</u>
  - Propagation wave impinges on object large compared to  $\lambda$ 
    - e.g. the surface of the Earth, buildings, walls, etc.
- Diffraction
  - Path from transmitter to receiver obstructed by surface with sharp irregular edges
  - Waves bend around obstacle, even when LOS (line of sight) does not exist
- <u>Scattering</u>
  - Objects smaller than radio wavelength (i.e. foliage, street signs etc.)

# **Multipath Radio Propagation**

- Receiver gets **multiple copies** of signal
  - Each copy follows different path, with different path length
  - Copies can either strengthen or weaken each other
    - Depends on whether they are in or out of phase
- Enables communication even when transmitter and receiver are not in "line of sight"
  - Allows radio waves effectively to propagate around obstacles, thereby increasing the radio coverage area
- Transmitter, receiver, or environment object **movement** on the order of  $\lambda$  significantly affects the outcome
  - *e.g.* 2.4 GHz →  $\lambda$  = 12 cm, 900 MHz →  $\approx$  1 ft

# Sinusoidal carrier, line of sight only

- Baseband transmitted signal: x(t) = 1 + 0j
  - Transmitted signal:  $\cos(2\pi f_c t)$



- Represent path *attenuation a*, **length** *d* with a complex number:
  - Complex channel  $h = ae^{j2\pi d/\lambda}$

$$a$$
  
 $2\pi(d \mod \lambda)$ 

• **Received signal:**  $y(t) = h \cdot x(t)$  (no noise)

# Adding a reflecting path



• Channel is now  $h = h_1 + h_2 = a_1 e^{j2\pi d_1/\lambda} + a_2 e^{j2\pi d_2/\lambda}$ 



• **Conclusion:** At **different**  $\lambda$ , fading is **different** in frequency

### Reflections cause frequency selectivity

 Interference between reflected and line-of-sight radio waves results in frequency dependent fading



Coherence bandwidth B<sub>c</sub>: Frequency range over which the channel is roughly the same ("flat")

# How does frequency selectivity arise? (Another look)



# How does frequency selectivity arise? (Another look) the frequency selectivity come from??



### Stationary transmitter, moving receiver



- Suppose reflecting wall, fixed transmit antenna, no other objects
  Receive antenna moving rightwards at velocity v
- Two arriving signals at receiver antenna with path length difference 2(d - r(t))

### How does fading in time arise?



### **Channel Coherence Time**

- Radio carrier frequency  $f = c/\lambda$ 
  - Speed of light: c; Wavelength of the signal:  $\lambda$
- Change in path length difference of  $\lambda/2$  moves from constructive to destructive interference
  - Receiver movement of λ/4: coherence distance
  - Time transmitter, receiver, or objects in environment take to move a coherence distance: channel coherence time T<sub>c</sub>
    - Walking speed (2 mph) @ 2.4 GHz: ≈ 15 milliseconds
    - Driving speed (20 mph) @ 1.9 GHz: ≈ 2.5 milliseconds
    - Train/freeway speed (75 mph) @ 1.9 GHz: < 1 millisecond

### **Another perspective: Doppler Effect**

 Movement by the transmitter, receiver, or objects in the environment creates a *Doppler Shift*



#### Stationary transmitter, moving receiver: **From a Doppler Perspective**



- **Doppler Shift of a path**  $\Delta f = \frac{f_c \cdot v_{radial}}{r}$ 
  - V<sub>radial</sub> is the radial component of the receiver's velocity vector along the path
    - **Positive**  $\Delta f$  with decreasing path length, negative  $\Delta f$  with increasing path length
- Suppose v = 60 km/h,  $f_c = 900$  MHz
  - Direct path  $\Delta f = -50 Hz$ , reflection path  $\Delta f = +50 Hz$

#### Stationary transmitter, moving receiver: From a Doppler Perspective

- Channel Doppler Spread D<sub>s</sub>: maximum path Doppler shift, minus minimum path Doppler shift
- Suppose v = 60 km/h,  $f_c = 900$  MHz
  - Direct path  $\Delta f = -50 Hz$ , reflection path  $\Delta f = +50 Hz$
  - Doppler Spread: 100 Hz
- Results in sinusoidal "envelope" at frequency  $D_s$  / 2:



#### **Channel Coherence Time: From a Doppler Perspective**

• Sinusoidal "envelope" at frequency  $\frac{D_s}{2}$ :



- Transition from 0 to peak in  $\frac{1}{2D_s}$ 
  - So qualitatively significant change in time  $T_c = \frac{1}{4D_c}$ 
    - Alternate definition of channel coherence time

### What does the channel look like in time?



# Power delay profile (PDP)

• **Power** received via the path with excess time delay  $\tau_i$  is the value (height) of the discrete PDP component at  $\tau_i$ 



## Characterizing a power delay profile

- Given a PDP  $P(\tau_k)$  sampled at time steps  $\tau_k$ :
- **Mean excess delay**  $\overline{\tau}$ : Expected value of  $P(\tau_k)$ :

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\sum_k P(\tau_k) \, \tau_k}{\sum_k P(\tau_k)}$$

- **Root mean squared (RMS) delay spread**  $\sigma_{\tau}$  measures the spread of the power's arrival in time
  - RMS delay spread is the variance of  $P(\tau_k)$ :

$$\sigma_{\tau} = \sqrt{\overline{\tau^2} - (\overline{\tau})^2}$$
, where  $\overline{\tau^2} = \frac{\sum_k P(\tau_k) \tau_k^2}{\sum_k P(\tau_k)}$ 

 Maximum excess delay < X dB is greater than X dB below the strongest arrival in the PDP

# **Example Indoor PDP Estimation**

#### **Typical RMS delay spreads**



Environment	RMS delay spread
Indoor cell	10 – 50 ns
Satellite mobile	40 – 50 ns
Open area (rural)	< 0.2 μs
Suburban macrocell	< 1 µs
Urban macrocell	1 – 3 µs
Hilly macrocell	3 – 10 μs

### Indoor power delay profile



# Flat Fading



- Slow down → sending data over a narrow bandwidth channel
  - Channel is **constant** over its bandwidth \_\_\_\_
  - Multipath is still present, so channel strength fluctuates over time
    - How to model this fluctuation?

Not

above!

# Rayleigh fading model



Transmitt

т0

# **Rayleigh fading example**



Figure 5.15 A typical Rayleigh fading envelope at 900 MHz [from [Fun93] © IEEE].

### Putting it all Together: Ray Tracing

- Approximate solutions to Maxwell's electromagnetic equations by instead representing wavefronts as particles, traveling along rays
   Apply geometric reflection, diffraction, scattering rules
  - - Compute angle of reflection, angle of diffraction
- Error is smallest when receiver is many  $\lambda$  from nearest scatterer, and all scatterers are large relative to  $\lambda$
- Good match to empirical data in rural areas, along city streets (radios close to ground), and indoors
- **Completely site-specific** 
  - Changes to site may invalidate model



# Today

- 1. Large scale channel models
- 2. Small-scale channel models
- 3. Equalization: Coping with the channel

### **Problem: Inter-symbol interference (ISI)**



- Transmitted signal
- Received signal with ISI

### **Problem: Inter-symbol interference (ISI)**



- Transmitted signal
- Received signal with ISI
- ISI at one symbol depends on the value of other symbols

### **One Solution: Slow down**



- Transmitted signal
- Received signal

# **Channel Model**



$$f(t) = (p * h)(t) * h^*(-t)$$

• **Composite channel** (made up of pulse shape, radio channel, and matched filter)

### Another Solution I: Zero-forcing Equalizer

#### Receiver



### Preamble



Sequence of symbols known to both transmitter & receiver

# **Another Solution II: MSE Equalizer**

 Goal: Minimizing mean-squared error (MSE) between received symbols & transmitted symbols

$$MSE = \sum_{k=0}^{K} |d_k - \widehat{d_k}|^2$$

Assumes Receiver has a preamble



### Another Solution III: Decisionfeedback Equalizer

• Idea: Subtract the interference caused by already detected data (symbols)



### Another Solution III: Decisionfeedback Equalizer

The forward filter w(t) here uses a linear equalizer
 – e.g., zero-forcing, MSE



The DFE has access to the symbol decisions

#### Thursday Topic: OFDM

Friday Precept: Lab 4: BPSK Radio