Lecture 13: Garbage Collection

COS 320

Compiling Techniques

Princeton University Spring 2016

Lennart Beringer/Mikkel Kringelbach

- Every modern programming language allows programmers to allocate new storage dynamically
 - New records, arrays, tuples, objects, closures, etc.
- Every modern language needs facilities for reclaiming and recycling the storage used by programs
- It's usually the most complex aspect of the run-time system for any modern language (Java, ML, Lisp, Scheme, Modula, ...)



- What is garbage?
 - A value is garbage if it will not be used in any subsequent computation by the program
- Is it easy to determine which objects are garbage?

- What is garbage?
 - A value is garbage if it will not be used in any subsequent computation by the program
- Is it easy to determine which objects are garbage?
 - No. It's undecidable. Eg:

if long-and-tricky-computation then use v else don't use v Since determining which objects are garbage is tricky, people have come up with many different techniques

- It's the programmers problem:
 - Explicit allocation/deallocation
- Reference counting
- Tracing garbage collection
 - Mark-sweep, copying collection
 - Generational GC

User library manages memory; programmer decides when and where to allocate and deallocate

- void* malloc(long n)
- void free(void *addr)
- Library calls OS for more pages when necessary
- Advantage: people are smart
- Disadvantage: people are dumb and they really don't want to bother with such details if they can avoid it

- How does malloc/free work?
 - Blocks of unused memory stored on a freelist
 - malloc: search free list for usable memory block
 - free: put block onto the head of the freelist



Drawbacks

- malloc is not free: we might have to do a search to find a big enough block
- As program runs, the heap fragments leaving many small, unusable pieces



Solutions:

- Use multiple free lists, one for each block size
 - Malloc and free become O(1)
 - But can run out of size 4 blocks, even though there are many size 6 blocks or size 2 blocks!
- Blocks are powers of 2
 - Subdivide blocks to get the right size
 - Adjacent free blocks merged into the next biggest size
 - still possibly 30% wasted space
- Crucial point: there is no magic bullet. Memory management always has a cost. We want to minimize costs and, these days, maximize reliability.

Languages with explicit MM are harder to program

- Always worrying about dangling pointers, memory leaks: a huge software engineering burden
- Impossible to develop a secure system, impossible to use these languages in emerging applications involving mobile code
- New languages tend to have automatic MM
 - eg: Microsoft is pouring \$\$\$ into developing safe language technology, including a new research project on dependable operating system construction

Question: how do we decide which objects are garbage?

- Can't do it exactly
- Therefore, we conservatively approximate
- Normal solution: an object is garbage when it becomes unreachable from the roots
 - The roots = registers, stack, global static data
 - If there is no path from the roots to an object, it cannot be used later in the computation so we can safely recycle its memory



• How should we test reachability?



• How should we test reachability?

- Keep track of the number of pointers to each object (the reference count).
- When the reference count goes to 0, the object is unreachable garbage



• Reference counting can't detect cycles

In place of a single assignment x.f = p:

- Ouch, that hurts performance-wise!
- z = x.f z.count = z.count - 1If z.count = 0 call putOnFreeList(z) x.f = pp.count = p.count + 1
- Dataflow analysis can
 eliminate some increments
 and decrements, but many remain
- Reference counting used in some special cases but not usually as the primary GC mechanism in a language implementation

Copying Collection

- Basic idea: use 2 heaps
 - One used by program
 - The other unused until GC time
- GC:
 - Start at the roots & traverse the reachable data
 - Copy reachable data from the active heap (from-space) to the other heap (to-space)
 - Dead objects are left behind in from space
 - Heaps switch roles



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Cheney's algorithm for copying collection
 - Traverse data breadth first, copying objects from from-space to to-space



- Pros
 - Simple & collects cycles
 - Run-time proportional to # live objects
 - Automatic compaction eliminates fragmentation
 - Fast allocation: pointer increment by object size
- Cons
 - Precise type information required (pointer or not)
 - Tag bits take extra space; normally use header word
 - Twice as much memory used as program requires
 - Usually, we anticipate live data will only be a small fragment of store
 - Allocate until 70% full
 - From-space = 70% heap; to-space = 30%
 - Long GC pauses = bad for interactive, real-time apps

- GC pauses avoided by doing GC incrementally
- Program only holds pointers to to-space
- On field fetch, if pointer to from-space, copy object and fix pointer
 - Extra fetch code = 20% performance penalty
 - But no long pauses ==> better response time
- On swap, copy roots as before

- Empirical observation: if an object has been reachable for a long time, it is likely to remain so
- Empirical observation: in many languages (especially functional languages), most objects died young
- Conclusion: we save work by scanning the young objects frequently and the old objects infrequently

Generational GC

- Assign objects to different generations G0, G1,...
 - G0 contains young objects, most likely to be garbage
 - G0 scanned more often than G1
 - Common case is two generations (new, tenured)
 - Roots for GC of G0 include all objects in G1 in addition to stack, registers

How do we avoid scanning tenured objects?

- Observation: old objects rarely point to new objects
 - Normally, object is created and when it initialized it will point to older objects, not newer ones
 - Only happens if old object modified well after it is created
 - In functional languages that use mutation infrequently, pointers from old to new are very uncommon
- Compiler inserts extra code on object field pointer write to catch modifications to old objects
- Remembered set is used to keep track of objects that point into younger generation. Remembered set included in set of roots for scanning.

Other issues

- When do we promote objects from young generation to old generation
 - Usually after an object survives a collection, it will be promoted
- How big should the generations be?
 - Appel says each should be exponentially larger than the last
- When do we collect the old generation?
 - After several minor collections, we do a major collection

Other issues

- Sometimes different GC algorithms are used for the new and older generations.
 - Why? Because the have different characteristics
- Copying collection for the new
 - Less than 10% of the new data is usually live
 - Copying collection cost is proportional to the live data
- Mark-sweep for the old
 - Mark reachable
 - Sweep that not marked