Little languages

- also called specialized, application-specific, domain-specific, ...
- focused on a single area, not trying to be general purpose
- often declarative (though not always)
 - some are Turing complete, many are not
- examples
 - regular expressions, shell, AWK, XML, AMPL, ...
- \cdot definition is fuzzy

Anatomy of a compiler



YACC and LEX

- languages/tools for building [parts of] compilers and interpreters
- YACC: "yet another compiler compiler" (Steve Johnson, ~1972)
 - converts a grammar and semantic actions into a parser for that grammar
- LEX: lexical analyzer generator (Mike Lesk, ~1974)
 - converts regular expressions for tokens into a lexical analyzer that recognizes those tokens
- parser calls lexer each time it needs another input token
- lexer returns a token and its lexical type
- \cdot when to think of using them:
 - real grammatical structures (e.g., recursively defined)
 - complicated lexical structures
 - rapid development time is important
 - language design might change

YACC overview

• YACC converts grammar rules & semantic actions into parsing fcn yyparse()

- yyparse parses programs written in that grammar, performs semantic actions as grammatical constructs are recognized
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ semantic actions usually build a parse tree
 - each node represents a particular syntactic type, children are components

$\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ actions could anything

- run the program directly
- interpret directly from the tree at each node, interpret children (recursion), do operation of node itself, return result
- generate byte code output to run elsewhere
- generate internal byte code
- generate some other language to be processed later

Grammar specified in YACC

• grammar rules give syntax

• action part of a rule gives semantics, usually used to build a parse tree

```
statement :
    IF ( expression ) statement
        create node(IF, expr, stmt, 0)
    IF ( expression ) statement ELSE statement
        create node(IF, expr, stmt1, stmt2)
    WHILE (expression ) statement
        create node(WHILE, expr, stmt)
    variable = expression
        create node(ASSIGN, var, expr)
```

expression:

expression + expression expression - expression

• YACC creates a parser from this

- \cdot when the parser runs, it creates a parse tree
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ a compiler walks the tree to generate code
- \cdot an interpreter walks the tree to execute it
- · can even execute or generate code on the fly

Excerpts from a real grammar

term:

term '+' term	$\{ \$\$ = op2(ADD, \$1, \$3); \}$
term '-' term	$\{ \$\$ = op2(MINUS, \$1, \$3); \}$
term '*' term	$\{ \$\$ = op2(MULT, \$1, \$3); \}$
term '/' term	$\{ \$\$ = op2(DIVIDE, \$1, \$3); \}$
term '%' term	$\{ \$\$ = op2(MOD, \$1, \$3); \}$
'-' term %prec UMINUS	$\{ \$\$ = op1(UMINUS, \$2); \}$
INCR var	{ \$\$ = op1(PREINCR, \$2); }
var INCR	{ \$\$ = op1(POSTINCR, \$1); }

stmt:

```
| while {inloop++;} stmt {--inloop; $$ = stat2(WHILE,$1,$3);}
| if stmt else stmt { $$ = stat3(IF, $1, $2, $4); }
| if stmt { $$ = stat3(IF, $1, $2, NIL); }
| lbrace stmtlist rbrace { $$ = $2; }
```

while:

```
WHILE '(' pattern rparen { $$ = notnull($3); }
```

Excerpt from a real grammar

- precedence and associativity specified separate from grammar
 - %right ASGNOP
 - %left OR
 - %left AND

%nonassoc APPEND EQ GE GT LE LT NE MATCHOP IN

- %left CAT
- %left '+' '-'
- %left '*' '/' '%'
- %left NOT UMINUS
- %right POWER
- %right DECR INCR

Excerpts from a LEX analyzer

```
"++" { yylval.i = INCR; RET(INCR); }
"--" { yylval.i = DECP: PET(DECP): }
```

```
"--" { yylval.i = DECR; RET(DECR); }
```

```
RET (NUMBER) ; }
```

The whole process



Example: Document preparation languages

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ illustrates topics of 333 in a different setting
 - tools
 - language design (good and bad); notation
 - evolution of software systems; maintenance
 - personal interest, research area for 10-20 years, heavy use in books

• examples:

- roff and related early formatters
- nroff (Unix man command still uses it)
- troff
- TEX
- HTML, etc.
- all of these are "batch" commandline programs, not WYSIWYG

The roff family

commands on separate lines

```
.sp 2
.in 5
This is a paragraph ...
```

- \cdot originally just for output on line printers (ASCII)
- layout originally fixed
 - e.g., only one-column output
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ nroff added macros for notational convenience
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ and a trap mechanism for specifying page layout
 - awkward and tricky event-based programming model
 - Turing complete!
- how much should be built in and how much programmable?
 - features versus extensibility

Troff: formatting for a (photo)typesetter

- photypesetter produces output on photorgraphic paper or film
- first high-quality output device at a reasonable price (~\$15K)
 - predates laser printers by 5-10 years
 - predates Postscript (1982) by 10 years, PDF (1993) by 21 years
 - very klunky, slow, messy, expensive
- troff: version of nroff for typesetters
 - adds features for size, font, precise positioning, bigger character sets
 - originally by Joe Ossanna (~1972); inherited by BWK ~1977
- very complex program, very complex language
 - language reflects many of the weirdnesses of first typesetter
- troff + phototypesetter produces book-quality output
 - Elements of Programming Style, Software Tools, ...

More complicated and difficult material

- mathematics
 - called "penalty copy" in the printing industry
- tables
- drawings
- graphs
- references
- indexes
- \cdot at the time, done by hand composition
 - not much better than medieval technology
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Bell Labs authors writing papers and books with all of these
- being done by manual typewriters
 - XXX can I find the paper with handwritten Greek letters?
- how to handle them?

EQN: a language for typesetting mathematics

- with Lorinda Cherry ~1974
- idea: a language that matches the way mathematics is spoken aloud
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ translate that into troff commands
 - since the language is so orthogonal, it wouldn't fit directly
 - and there isn't room anyway, since program has to be less than 65KB
 - troff is powerful enough
- use a pipeline eqn | troff
- like TEX, but simpler, easier (though not as systematic or powerful)
 - math mode in TEX comes from EQN

EQN examples

x sup 2 + y sup 2 = z sup 2 $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ f(t) = 2 pi int sin (omega t) dt $f(t) = 2\pi \int \sin(\omega t) dt$

lim from {x -> pi / 2} (tan x) = inf

$$\lim_{x \to \pi/2} (\tan x) = \infty$$

 $x = \{-b + - sqrt \{b sup 2 - 4ac\} over 2a \}$

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

EQN implementation

- based on a YACC grammar
 - first use of YACC outside mainstream compilers
- grammar is simple
 - box model
 - just combine boxes in various ways: concatenate, above/below, sub and superscript, sqrt, ...

```
eqn: box | eqn box
box: text | { eqn } | box over box | sqrt box
| box sub box | box sup box | box from box to box | ...
```

• YACC makes experimental language design easy

Pic: a language for pictures (line drawings)

- new typesetter has more capabilities (costs more too: \$50K in 1977)
- can we use troff to do line drawings?
- answer: invent another language, again a preprocessor
 - add simple line-drawing primitives to troff: line, arc, spline
- advantages of text descriptions of pictures
 - systematic changes easy, always correct dimensions,
 - Pic has loops, conditionals, etc., for repetitive structures Turing complete!
- implemented with YACC and LEX
 - makes it easy to experiment with syntax
 - human engineering:
 - free-form English-like syntax
 - implicit positioning: little need for arithmetic on coordinates

Pic examples

.PS arrow "input" above box "process" arrow "output" above .PE



Pic examples

```
.PS
line from (-.2,0) to (1,0) \rightarrow
        " $x$" ljust at last line.end
line from (0, -.2) to (0, .7) \rightarrow
        "$y$" at last line.end above
line from .1,.2 to .8,.2 to .8,.6 to .1,.6 to .1,.2
bullet at .1,.2
"\f(CWpt1\fP" ljust at (.2,.1)
                                       v
bullet at .8,.6
" \f(CWpt2\fP" ljust at .8,.6
                                                           pt2
.PE
```



Pic examples

```
.PS
define L { line from $1<B.nw,B.ne> to $1<B.sw,B.se> }
A: "\f(CWa\fP:" wid .5
B: box wid 3 ht .2 with .w at A.e; # "..." at .6<B.w,B.e>
L(.1); L(.2); L(.3); L(.4); L(.5)
L(.6); L(.7); L(.8); L(.9)
"\f(CWa[0]\fP" ht .18 wid .3 with .nw at B.sw
PA: box ht .2 wid .3 bullet at A + (0,.4)
"\f(CWpa\fP:" wid .1 ht .15 with .s at PA.nw
spline -> from PA right .2 then to B.nw +(.05,0.02)
.PE
```



Grap: a language for drawing graphs

- line drawings, not "charts" in the Excel sense
- with Jon Bentley, ~1984
- a Pic preprocessor: grap | pic | troff



Notation matters

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ each of these languages has its own fairly natural notation
 - doesn't work as well when force everything into one notation
 - but also can be hard to mix, e.g., equations in diagrams in tables

• TEX/LATEX:

- "math mode" is a different language
- tables are mostly the same as underlying language
- there are no drawings (?)

\cdot XML vocabularies put everything into a single notation

- except for the specific tags and attributes
- bulky, inconvenient, but uniform

HTML / XHTML / XML

- HTML is a batch-mode markup language
- similar to TEX except very simple
- layout control is tricky
 - as in troff and TEX
- tables, but no math, no drawings
- MathML: XML vocabulary for mathematical expressions
- SVG (Scalable Vector Graphics): XML vocabulary for drawings (and more)
- two problems at least
 - MathML and SVG are unusable by humans
 - MathML doesn't work consistently (if at all) in current browsers