


## Network connectivity

## Basic abstractions

- set of objects
- union command: connect two objects
- find query: is there a path connecting one object to another?

- connectivity
- quick union
- quick find
- applications

Union-find applications involve manipulating objects of all types.

- Computers in a network
- Web pages on the Internet

Transistors in a computer chip.
Variable name aliases.

- Pixels in a digital photo

Metallic sites in a composite system.


When programming, convenient to name them 0 to $\mathrm{N}-1$.

- Details not relevant to union-find.

symbol to translate from object names


Simple model captures the essential nature of connectivity.

- applications


## Connected components

Connected component: set of mutually connected vertices

- Objects.$\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9\end{array}$
grid points
- Disjoint sets of objects.

```
0 1 {239}{56} 7 {4 8}
```

- Find query: are objects 2 and 9 in the same set?

are two grid points connected?
- Union command: merge sets containing 3 and 8.

$$
0 \quad 1 \quad\{234489\}
$$



Each union command reduces by 1 the number of components


| Union-find abstractions | connectivity <br> $:$ quick union <br> $:$ quick find <br> $:$ qfwpc <br> $\bullet$ applications |
| :--- | :--- |

- Objects.
- Disjoint sets of objects.
- Find queries: are two objects in the same set?
- Union commands: replace sets containing two items by their union

Goal. Design efficient data structure for union-find.

- Find queries and union commands may be intermixed.
- Number of operations $M$ can be huge.
- Number of objects $N$ can be huge.



## Quick-Find [eager approach]

- quick unio
- afwpc
- applications

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size n.
- Interpretation: $p$ and $q$ are connected if they have the same id.

| i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 5 and 6 are connected |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| id[i] | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $2,3,4$, and 9 are connected |

Find. Check if p and q have the same id.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { id[3]= 9; id[6]=6} \\
& 3 \text { and } 6 \text { not connected }
\end{aligned}
$$

Union. To merge components containing p and q , change all entries with id[p] to id[q]



## Quick-Union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size n.
- Interpretation: id[i] is parent of $i$.
- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]]].
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}i \\ i d[i] & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9\end{array}$
(0)

3's root is 9; 5 's root is 6


## Quick-Union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size n
- Interpretation: id[i] is parent of $i$.
- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]]].

```
i
```

Find. Check if $p$ and $q$ have the same root.

Union. Set the id of $q$ 's root to the id of $p$ 's root.

${ }^{3}$ 's root is 9: 5's root is 6 3 and 5 are not connected

- $10^{10}$ edges connecting $10^{9}$ nodes.
- Quick-find takes more than $10^{18}$ operations.
- 30+ years of computer time!

Paradoxically, quadratic algorithms get worse with newer equipment.

- New computer may be 10x as fast
- But, has $10 x$ as much memory so problem may be $10 x$ bigger.
- With quadratic algorithm, takes 10x as long!



## Quick union is also too slow

Quick-find defect.

- Union too expensive ( N steps).
- Trees are flat, but too expensive to keep them flat.

Quick-union defect.

- Trees can get tall.
- Find too expensive (could be $N$ steps)



## Weighted Quick-Union

Weighted quick-union.

- Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees.
- Keep track of size of each component.
- Balance by linking small tree below large one.

Ex. Union of 5 and 3.

- Quick union: link 9 to 6
- Weighted quick union: link 6 to 9 .




## Weighted quick-union analysis

Analysis.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$.
- Union: takes constant time, given roots.
- Fact: depth is at most $\lg N$. [needs proof]

| Data Structure | Union | Find |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quick-find | N | 1 |
| Quick-union | 1 | N |
| Weighted QU | $\lg N$ | $\lg N$ |

Stop at guaranteed acceptable performance? No, easy to improve further.

## Path Compression

Path compression. Just after computing the root of $i$,

- applications set the id of each examined node to root(i).


Weighted Quick-Union: Java Implementation
Java implementation.
- Almost identical to quick-union.
- Maintain extra array sz[] to count number of elements
in the tree rooted at $i$.
Find. Identical to quick-union.
Union. Modify quick-union to
- merge smaller tree into larger tree
- update the sz[] array.
- connectivity
- quick find
- quick fin
- applications

$\qquad$
Maintain extra array sz[] to count number of elements in the tree rooted at $i$.

Find. Identical to quick-union.

Union. Modify quick-union to
merge smaller tree into larger tree


Path compression.

- Standard implementation: add second loop to root () to set the id of each examined node to the root.
- Simpler one-pass variant: make every other node in path point to its grandparent.

```
```

public int root(int i)

```
```

public int root(int i)
while (i != id[i])
while (i != id[i])
{ id[i] = id[id[i]];
{ id[i] = id[id[i]];
i=id[i];
i=id[i];
}
}
}
}
}

```
```

}

```
```

only one extra line of code !

In practice. No reason not to! Keeps tree almost completely flat.

Theorem. Starting from an empty data structure, any sequence

- applications
of $M$ union and find operations on $N$ objects takes $O(N+M$ lg* $N)$ time.
- Proof is very difficult.
- But the algorithm is still simple!
$\uparrow$
number of times needed to take
the $\lg$ of a number until reaching 1


## Linear algorithm?

- Cost within constant factor of reading in the data.
- In theory, WQUPC is not quite linear.
- In practice, WQUPC is linear.

> because $\lg * N$ is a constant
> in this universe

| N | $\lg ^{\star} \mathrm{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 16 | 3 |
| 65536 | 4 |
| 265536 | 5 |

Amazing fact: No algorithm can do better!
$\checkmark$ Network connectivity.

- Percolation.
- Image processing.
- Least common ancestor.
- Equivalence of finite state automata.
- Hinley-Milner polymorphic type inference.
- Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm.
- Games (Go, Hex)
- Compiling equivalence statements in Fortran.


## UF solution for percolation

- connectivity - quick unio -a qwpc
- applications
- Initialize whole grid to be insulators
- Make top and bottom row conductors

Make random sites conductors until find(top, bottom)

- conductor percentage estimates $\mathrm{p}^{\star}$

bottom


[^0]Hex. [Piet Hein 1942, John Nash 1948, Parker Brothers 1962]

- applications
- Two players alternate in picking a cell in a hex grid
- Black: make a black path from upper left to lower right.
- White: make a white path from lower left to upper right.


Goal. Algorithm to detect when a player has won.

| Subtext of today's lecture (and this course) | - connectivity <br> - quick union |
| :---: | :---: |
| Steps to developing an usable algorithm. | - gfwpc <br> - applications |

- applications
- Define the problem.
- Find an algorithm to solve it.

Fast enough?
If not, figure out why.

- Find a way to address the problem.
- Iterate until satisfied.

The scientific method
Mathematical models and computational complexity


[^0]:    Why is UF solution better than solution in IntroProgramming 2.4?

