

Names

- Pick names that capture the use of the variable or function, e.g. `addElement` nouns for variables
verbs for functions
adjectives for booleans, conditions, and some enumeration constants
- Use *descriptive* names for global variables and functions, e.g. `elementCount`
- Use *concise* names for local variables that reflect *standard notation*
prefer

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
  a[i] = 0;
```

to
- Use *case* judiciously
use all capitals for constants
don't rely on only case to distinguish names
- Use a consistent style for *compound* names

```
printWord      PrintWord      print_word
```
- Module-level prefixes help distinguish names, e.g. `strset_T`, `strset_add`
- Don't use nerdy abbreviations and acronyms

Programming Style

- Writing good programs is like writing good prose; the object is to *communicate* concise, straightforward, no unnecessary parts
 - Principles of good programming style are *language independent*
some languages have features that *encourage* good style, e.g. structured loops
some have features that *discourage* good style, e.g. gotos, anemic data types
modern block-structured languages are better than older unstructured languages
but *bad* programs can be written in *any* language
 - Benefits of good style
code that is easy to *understand*
code that is more likely to *work*
code that is easy to *maintain* and change
 - Method to develop good programming style
read code written by good programmers
- Ask: Will I understand this program in two years?

Clear Expression

- Compare:

```
for (i=1; i<=n; i++)
  for (j=1; j<=n; i++)
    v[i-1][j-1] = (i/j) * (j/i);
```

vs.

```
/* make v the identity matrix */
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
    v[i][j] = 0.0;
  v[i][i] = 1.0;
}
```
- Rules:
be clever, but don't be *too clever*
say what you mean, simply and directly
use parentheses to emphasize precedence and braces to display structure
use white space and indentation to clarify structure
don't sacrifice clarity for "efficiency"

Layout and Indentation

- Use *white space* judiciously
separate code into "paragraphs"
make expressions more readable
- Use *indentation* to emphasize *structure*
use editor "autoindent" facilities and a consistent amount of space
watch for extreme indentation — signals *excessive* nesting
- Line up parallel structures

```
alpha = angle(p1, p2, p3);
beta  = angle(p2, p3, p1);
gamma = angle(p3, p1, p2);
```
- One statement per line
- Be *consistent*, but use *variation* for emphasis
- Break long lines at logical places, e.g. by precedence; indent continuations
- Use tabular input and output formats

Clear Expression, cont'd

- Compare:

```
if (a > b)
  if (b > c)
    z = c;
```

vs.

```
else
  z = b;
else
  if (a > c)
    z = c;
  else
    z = a;
```

better yet:

```
z = min(a, min(b, c));
```

- Rules:

lay out expressions according to standard conventions
rearrange logic so it is easy to understand
follow each decision with a matching action

Clear Expression, cont'd

- Compare:


```
if (i > 10 || 0 > i) ...
```
- vs.


```
if (0 <= i && i <= 10) ...
```

- Compare:

```
for (neg = 0; *s1 == *s2++; )
  if (*s1++ == '\0')
    break;
neg = *s1 - (*--s2);
if (!neg) ...
vs.
while (*s1 == *s2 && *s1 != '\0') { s1++; s2++; }
if (*s1 == *s2) ...
```

vs.

```
if (strcmp(s1, s2) == 0) ...
```

- Rules:

avoid double negation
avoid temporary variables
use library functions
let the compiler do the dirty work

Control Structure, cont'd

- “Comb” structures

compare:

```
if (x < v[mid])
  high = mid - 1;
else if (x > v[mid])
  low = mid + 1;
else
  return mid;
```

vs:

- Ditto for `switch`

- Rules:

implement multiway branches with `if ... else if ... else`
emphasize that only one of the actions is performed
avoid empty `then` and `else` actions
handle default action, even if it “can’t happen,” use `assert(0)`
avoid nesting

Control Structure

- Flow of control should be written for human understanding

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  if (strcmp(table[i].word, word)
      continue;
  table[i].count++;
}
better:
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
  if (strcmp(table[i].word, word) == 0)
    table[i].count++;
```

- Avoid `continue`, `break` is OK, but use it sparingly;
“breaking” out of functions is OK, if used with care

- Rules:

use structured control constructs
don’t make the reader jump around or decrypt convoluted flow of control
avoid long blocks
avoid complicated, nested blocks
minimize the use of `return` and `break`

Documentation

- Best program documentation includes
 - clean structure
 - consistent programming
 - good mnemonic identifiers
 - smattering of enlightening comments

- Comments should add new information

```
i = i + 1; /* add one to i */
```

- Comments and code must **agree**; if they disagree, odds are they are both wrong
- Don't comment bad code — rewrite it
- Comment algorithms, not coding idiosyncracies
- Comment procedural interfaces and data structures liberally
- Master the language and its *idioms*; let the code speak for itself

Program Structure

- Rules:
 - modularize: use interfaces
 - every function/interface should do **one** thing well
 - every function/interface should **hide** something
 - replace repetitious code with calls to functions
 - let the data structure the program
 - make sure your code "does nothing" gracefully
 - don't patch bad code — rewrite it
 - don't strain to reuse code — reorganize it
 - watch for "off-by-one" errors

Program Organization, cont'd

- Divide medium-size programs (~ few **thousand** lines, maximum) into modules
- Use established interfaces and implementations
- Implementations
 - organized around data or function
 - organize each implementation as a "small" program
- Interfaces
 - use separate headers for separate interfaces, but don't **over-modularize**
 - permit multiple inclusion
 - do **not** define variables
- Global variables and functions
 - declared** in interfaces, so all clients see the same declaration
 - defined** and **initialized** in an implementation
- What about **large** programs, say, more than 50,000 lines? Another course...

Program Organization

- Good, consistent organization makes programs easier to read and modify
- Pick a consistent program layout style for
 - functions
 - statements
 - expressions
 - comments
- **Small** programs (~ few **hundred** lines, maximum) can fit into one file
 - opening explanatory comments
 - purpose
 - author and history (handled better by tools like RCS)
 - #includes** (i.e. imports)
 - #defines** (i.e. constants)
 - type definitions (e.g. **typedef**, **struct**, etc.)
 - global variables
 - main**
 - functions in alphabetical or logical order
- Maximize "data ink"

Efficiency and Style

- If a program doesn't *work*, it doesn't matter how fast it is!
- Rules:
 - make it clear before you make it faster
 - make it correct before you make it faster
 - see if it's fast enough before you make it faster
 - keep it correct while you make it faster
 - ill-conceived attempts to increase efficiency usually lead to bad code; gains are usually small or non-existent
- Make performance improvements *only*
 - if they are really needed, and
 - if there are objective *measurements* that identify the sources of inefficiency
 - intuitions are notoriously bad; they aren't "objective measurements"
- Rules:
 - keep it simple to make it faster
 - let the compiler do the simple optimizations
 - don't diddle code to make it faster — find a better algorithm