

Content Distribution Networks (CDNs)

Mike Freedman

COS 461: Computer Networks

http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spr14/cos461/

Second Half of the Course

- Application case studies
  - Content distribution, peer-to-peer systems and distributed hash tables (DHTs), and overlay networks
- Network case studies
  - Enterprise, wireless, cellular, datacenter, and backbone networks; software-defined networking
- Network security
  - Securing communication protocols
  - Interdomain routing security

Single Server, Poor Performance



- Single server
  - Single point of failure
  - Easily overloaded
  - Far from most clients
- Popular content
  - Popular site
  - "Flash crowd" (aka "Slashdot effect")
  - Denial of Service attack

Skewed Popularity of Web Traffic



Characteristics of WWW Client-based Traces
Carlos R. Cunha, Azer Bestavros, Mark E. Crovella, BU-CS-95-01

Web Caching

Proxy Caches



Forward Proxy

- Cache "close" to the client
  - Under administrative control of client-side AS
- Explicit proxy
  - Requires configuring browser
- Implicit proxy
  - Service provider deploys an "on path" proxy
  - … that intercepts and handles Web requests



Reverse Proxy

- Cache "close" to server
  - Either by proxy run by server or in third-party content distribution network (CDN)
- Directing clients to the proxy
  - Map the site name to the IP address of the proxy



Google Design



9

Proxy Caches

(A) Forward (B) Reverse (C) Both (D) Neither

- Reactively replicates popular content
- Reduces origin server costs
- Reduces client ISP costs
- Intelligent load balancing between origin servers
- Offload form submissions (POSTs) and user auth
- Content reassembly or transcoding on behalf of origin
- Smaller round-trip times to clients
- Maintain persistent connections to avoid TCP setup delay (handshake, slow start)

10

Proxy Caches

(A) Forward (B) Reverse (C) Both (D) Neither

- Reactively replicates popular content (C)
- Reduces origin server costs (C)
- Reduces client ISP costs (A)
- Intelligent load balancing between origin servers (B)
- Offload form submissions (POSTs) and user auth (D)
- Content reassembly, transcoding on behalf of origin (C)
- Smaller round-trip times to clients (C)
- Maintain persistent connections to avoid TCP setup delay (handshake, slow start) (C)

11

Limitations of Web Caching

- Much content is not cacheable
  - Dynamic data: stock prices, scores, web cams
  - CGI scripts: results depend on parameters
  - Cookies: results may depend on passed data
  - SSL: encrypted data is not cacheable
  - Analytics: owner wants to measure hits
- Stale data
  - Or, overhead of refreshing the cached data

12

Modern HTTP Video-on-Demand

- Download "content manifest" from origin server
- List of video segments belonging to video
  - Each segment 1-2 seconds in length
  - Client can know time offset associated with each
  - Standard naming for different video resolutions and formats: e.g., 320dpi, 720dpi, 1040dpi, …
- Client downloads video segment (at certain resolution) using standard HTTP request.
  - HTTP request can be satisfied by cache: it's a static object
- Client observes download time vs. segment duration, increases/decreases resolution if appropriate

Content Distribution Networks

Content Distribution Network

- Proactive content replication
  - Content provider (e.g., CNN) contracts with a CDN
- CDN replicates the content
  - On many servers spread throughout the Internet
- Updating the replicas
  - Updates pushed to replicas when the content changes



Server Selection Policy

- Live server
  - For availability
- Lowest load
  - To balance load across the servers
- Closest
  - Nearest geographically, or in round-trip time
- Best performance
  - Throughput, latency, …
- Cheapest bandwidth, electricity, …

Requires continuous monitoring of liveness, load, and performance

Server Selection Mechanism

- Application
  - HTTP redirection



- Advantages
  - Fine-grain control
  - Selection based on client IP address
- Disadvantages
  - Extra round-trips for TCP connection to server
  - Overhead on the server

17

Server Selection Mechanism

- Routing
  - Anycast routing



- Advantages
  - No extra round trips
  - Route to nearby server
- Disadvantages
  - Does not consider network or server load
  - Different packets may go to different servers
  - Used only for simple request-response apps

18

Server Selection Mechanism

- Naming
  - DNS-based server selection



- Advantages
  - Avoid TCP set-up delay
  - DNS caching reduces overhead
  - Relatively fine control
- Disadvantage
  - Based on IP address of local DNS server
  - "Hidden load" effect
  - DNS TTL limits adaptation

19

How Akamai Works

20

Akamai Statistics

- Distributed servers
  - Servers: ~100,000
  - Networks: ~1,000
  - Countries: ~70
- Many customers
  - Apple, BBC, FOX, GM IBM, MTV, NASA, NBC, NFL, NPR, Puma, Red Bull, Rutgers, SAP, …
- Client requests
  - 20+M per second
  - Half in the top 45 networks
  - 20% of all Web traffic worldwide

21

How Akamai Uses DNS



22

How Akamai Uses DNS



23

How Akamai Uses DNS



24

25

How Akamai Uses DNS



26

How Akamai Uses DNS



27

How Akamai Uses DNS



28

How Akamai Uses DNS



How Akamai Works: Cache Hit



Mapping System

- Equivalence classes of IP addresses
  - IP addresses experiencing similar performance
  - Quantify how well they connect to each other
- Collect and combine measurements
  - Ping, traceroute, BGP routes, server logs
    - E.g., over 100 TB of logs per days
  - Network latency, loss, and connectivity

Mapping System

- Map each IP class to a preferred server cluster
  - Based on performance, cluster health, etc.
  - Updated roughly every minute
- Map client request to a server in the cluster
  - Load balancer selects a specific server
  - E.g., to maximize the cache hit rate

Adapting to Failures

- Failing hard drive on a server
  - Suspends after finishing "in progress" requests
- Failed server
  - Another server takes over for the IP address
  - Low-level map updated quickly
- Failed cluster
  - High-level map updated quickly
- Failed path to customer's origin server
  - Route packets through an intermediate node

Akamai Transport Optimizations

- Bad Internet routes
  - Overlay routing through an intermediate server
- Packet loss
  - Sending redundant data over multiple paths
- TCP connection set-up/teardown
  - Pools of persistent connections
- TCP congestion window and round-trip time
  - Estimates based on network latency measurements

33

Akamai Application Optimizations

- Slow download of embedded objects
  - Prefetch when HTML page is requested
- Large objects
  - Content compression
- Slow applications
  - Moving applications to edge servers
  - E.g., content aggregation and transformation
  - E.g., static databases (e.g., product catalogs)

34

Conclusion

- Content distribution is hard
  - Many, diverse, changing objects
  - Clients distributed all over the world
  - Reducing latency is king
- Contribution distribution solutions
  - Reactive caching
  - Proactive content distribution networks

35