



# Switches and Bridges


COS 461: Computer Networks

Spring 2010 (MW 3:00-4:20pm in COS 105)

# Goals of Today's Lecture



- Devices that shuttle data at different layers
  - Repeaters and hubs
  - Bridges and switches
  - Routers
- Switch protocols and mechanisms
  - Dedicated access and full-duplex transfers
  - Cut-through switching
  - Self learning of the switch table
  - Spanning trees
- Virtual LANs (VLANs)

# Message, Segment, Packet, and Frame




# Shuttling Data at Different Layers

- Different devices switch different things
  - Network layer: packets (routers)
  - Link layer: frames (bridges and switches)
  - Physical layer: electrical signals (repeaters and hubs)




# Physical Layer: Repeaters

- Distance limitation in local-area networks
  - Electrical signal becomes weaker as it travels
  - Imposes a limit on the length of a LAN
- Repeaters join LANs together
  - Analog electronic device
  - Continuously monitors electrical signals on each LAN
  - Transmits an amplified copy



# Physical Layer: Hubs

- Joins multiple input lines electrically
  - Designed to hold multiple line cards
  - Do not necessarily amplify the signal
- Very similar to repeaters
  - Also operates at the physical layer




# Limitations of Repeaters and Hubs

- One large shared link
  - Each bit is sent everywhere
  - So, aggregate throughput is limited
  - E.g., three departments each get 10 Mbps independently
  - ... and then connect via a hub and must share 10 Mbps
- Cannot support multiple LAN technologies
  - Does not buffer or interpret frames
  - So, can't interconnect between different rates or formats
  - E.g., 10 Mbps Ethernet and 100 Mbps Ethernet
- Limitations on maximum nodes and distances
  - Shared medium imposes length limits
  - E.g., cannot go beyond 2500 meters on Ethernet

# Link Layer: Bridges


- Connects two or more LANs at the link layer
  - Extracts destination address from the frame
  - Looks up the destination in a table
  - Forwards the frame to the appropriate LAN segment
- Each segment can carry its own traffic





# Link Layer: Switches

- Typically connects individual computers
  - A switch is essentially the same as a bridge
  - ... though typically used to connect hosts, not LANs
- Like bridges, support concurrent communication
  - Host A can talk to C, while B talks to D




# Dedicated Access and Full Duplex

- Dedicated access
  - Host has direct connection to the switch
  - ... rather than a shared LAN connection
- Full duplex
  - Each connection can send in both directions
  - Host sending to switch, and host receiving from switch
  - E.g., in 10BaseT and 100Base T
- Completely supports concurrent transmissions
  - Each connection is a bidirectional point-to-point link

# Bridges/Switches: Traffic Isolation

- Switch breaks subnet into LAN segments
- Switch filters packets
  - Frame only forwarded to the necessary segments
  - Segments can support separate transmissions



# Advantages Over Hubs/Repeaters


- Only forwards frames as needed
  - Filters frames to avoid unnecessary load on segments
  - Sends frames only to segments that need to see them
- Extends the geographic span of the network
  - Separate segments allow longer distances
- Improves privacy by limiting scope of frames
  - Hosts can “snoop” the traffic traversing their segment
  - ... but not all the rest of the traffic
- Can join segments using different technologies

# Disadvantages Over Hubs/Repeaters

- Delay in forwarding frames
  - Bridge/switch must receive and parse the frame
  - ... and perform a look-up to decide where to forward
  - Storing and forwarding the packet introduces delay
  - Solution: cut-through switching
- Need to learn where to forward frames
  - Bridge/switch needs to construct a forwarding table
  - Ideally, without intervention from network administrators
  - Solution: self-learning
- Higher cost
  - More complicated devices that cost more money


# Motivation For Cut-Through Switching

- Buffering a frame takes time
  - Suppose  $L$  is the length of the frame
  - And  $R$  is the transmission rate of the links
  - Then, receiving the frame takes  $L/R$  time units
- Buffering delay can be a high fraction of total delay
  - Propagation delay is small over short distances
  - Making buffering delay a large fraction of total
  - Analogy: large group walking through NYC




# Cut-Through Switching

- Start transmitting as soon as possible
  - Inspect the frame header and do the look-up
  - If outgoing link is idle, start forwarding the frame
- Overlapping transmissions
  - Transmit the head of the packet via the outgoing link
  - ... while still receiving the tail via the incoming link



# Motivation For Self Learning

- Switches forward frames selectively
  - Forward frames only on segments that need them
- Switch table
  - Maps destination MAC address to outgoing interface
  - Goal: construct the switch table automatically






# Self Learning: Building the Table

- When a frame arrives
  - Inspect the *source* MAC address
  - Associate the address with the *incoming* interface
  - Store the mapping in the switch table
  - Use a time-to-live field to eventually forget the mapping


Switch learns  
how to reach A.



# Self Learning: Handling Misses

- When frame arrives with unfamiliar destination
  - Forward the frame out all of the interfaces
  - ... except for the one where the frame arrived
  - Hopefully, this case won't happen very often

When in  
doubt,  
shout!



# Switch Filtering/Forwarding

When switch receives a frame:

index switch table using MAC dest address

**if** ( entry found for destination ) **then**

**if** ( dest on segment from which frame arrived ) **then**

        drop the frame

**else**

        forward the frame on interface indicated

**else flood**



forward on all but the interface  
on which the frame arrived


# Flooding Can Lead to Loops

- Switches sometimes need to broadcast frames
  - Upon receiving a frame with an unfamiliar destination
  - Upon receiving a frame sent to the broadcast address
- Broadcasting is implemented by flooding
  - Transmitting frame out every interface
  - ... except the one where the frame arrived
- Flooding can lead to forwarding loops
  - E.g., if the network contains a cycle of switches
  - Either accidentally, or by design for higher reliability




# Solution: Spanning Trees

- Ensure the topology has no loops
  - Avoid using some of the links when flooding
  - ... to avoid forming a loop
- Spanning tree
  - Sub-graph that covers all vertices but contains no cycles
  - Links not in the spanning tree do not forward frames



# Constructing a Spanning Tree

- Need a distributed algorithm
  - Switches cooperate to build the spanning tree
  - ... and adapt automatically when failures occur
- Key ingredients of the algorithm
  - Switches need to elect a “root”
    - The switch with the smallest identifier
  - Each switch identifies if its interface is on the shortest path from the root
    - And it exclude from the tree if not
  - Messages (Y, d, X)
    - From node X
    - Claiming Y is the root
    - And the distance is d




# Steps in Spanning Tree Algorithm

- Initially, each switch thinks it is the root
  - Switch sends a message out every interface
  - ... identifying itself as the root with distance 0
  - Example: switch X announces (X, 0, X)
- Switches update their view of the root
  - Upon receiving a message, check the root id
  - If the new id is smaller, start viewing that switch as root
- Switches compute their distance from the root
  - Add 1 to the distance received from a neighbor
  - Identify interfaces not on a shortest path to the root
  - ... and exclude them from the spanning tree

# Example From Switch #4's Viewpoint


- Switch #4 thinks it is the root
  - Sends (4, 0, 4) message to 2 and 7
- Then, switch #4 hears from #2
  - Receives (2, 0, 2) message from 2
  - ... and thinks that #2 is the root
  - And realizes it is just one hop away
- Then, switch #4 hears from #7
  - Receives (2, 1, 7) from 7
  - And realizes this is a longer path
  - So, prefers its own one-hop path
  - And removes 4-7 link from the tree





# Example From Switch #4's Viewpoint

- Switch #2 hears about switch #1
  - Switch 2 hears (1, 1, 3) from 3
  - Switch 2 starts treating 1 as root
  - And sends (1, 2, 2) to neighbors
- Switch #4 hears from switch #2
  - Switch 4 starts treating 1 as root
  - And sends (1, 3, 4) to neighbors
- Switch #4 hears from switch #7
  - Switch 4 receives (1, 3, 7) from 7
  - And realizes this is a longer path
  - So, prefers its own three-hop path
  - And removes 4-7 link from the tree



# Robust Spanning Tree Algorithm

- Algorithm must react to failures
  - Failure of the root node
    - Need to elect a new root, with the next lowest identifier
  - Failure of other switches and links
    - Need to recompute the spanning tree
- Root switch continues sending messages
  - Periodically reannouncing itself as the root (1, 0, 1)
  - Other switches continue forwarding messages
- Detecting failures through timeout (soft state!)
  - Switch waits to hear from others
  - Eventually times out and claims to be the root

**See Section 3.2.2 in the textbook for details and another example**

# Evolution Toward Virtual LANs

- In the olden days...
  - Thick cables snaked through cable ducts in buildings
  - Every computer they passed was plugged in
  - All people in adjacent offices were put on the same LAN
  - Independent of whether they belonged together or not
- More recently...
  - Hubs and switches changed all that
  - Every office connected to central wiring closets
  - Often multiple LANs ( $k$  hubs) connected by switches
  - Flexibility in mapping offices to different LANs

**Group users based on organizational structure,  
rather than the physical layout of the building.**

# Why Group by Organizational Structure?

- Security

- Ethernet is a shared media
- Any interface card can be put into “promiscuous” mode
- ... and get a copy of all of the traffic (e.g., midterm exam)
- So, isolating traffic on separate LANs improves security


- Load

- Some LAN segments are more heavily used than others
- E.g., researchers running experiments get out of hand
- ... can saturate their own segment and not the others
- Plus, there may be natural locality of communication
- E.g., traffic between people in the same research group

# People Move, and Roles Change


- Organizational changes are frequent
  - E.g., faculty office becomes a grad-student office
  - E.g., graduate student becomes a faculty member
- Physical rewiring is a major pain
  - Requires unplugging the cable from one port
  - ... and plugging it into another
  - ... and hoping the cable is long enough to reach
  - ... and hoping you don't make a mistake
- Would like to “rewire” the building in software
  - The resulting concept is a Virtual LAN (VLAN)

# Example: Two Virtual LANs



**Red VLAN and Orange VLAN**  
**Bridges forward traffic as needed**

# Example: Two Virtual LANs



**Red VLAN and Orange VLAN**  
**Switches forward traffic as needed**

# Making VLANs Work

- Bridges/switches need configuration tables
  - Saying which VLANs are accessible via which interfaces
- Approaches to mapping to VLANs
  - Each interface has a VLAN color
    - Only works if all hosts on same segment belong to same VLAN
  - Each MAC address has a VLAN color
    - Useful when hosts on same segment belong to different VLANs
    - Useful when hosts move from one physical location to another
- Changing the Ethernet header
  - Adding a field for a VLAN tag
  - Implemented on the bridges/switches
  - ... but can still interoperate with old Ethernet cards



# Moving From Switches to Routers

- Advantages of switches over routers
  - Plug-and-play
  - Fast filtering and forwarding of frames
  - No pronunciation ambiguity (e.g., “router” vs. “rowter”)
- Disadvantages of switches over routers
  - Topology is restricted to a spanning tree
  - Large networks require large ARP tables
  - Broadcast storms can cause the network to collapse

# Comparing Hubs, Switches, Routers

	Hub/ Repeater	Bridge/ Switch	Router
Traffic isolation	no	yes	yes
Plug and Play	yes	yes	no
Efficient routing	no	no	yes
Cut through	yes	yes	no

# Conclusion

- Shuttling data from one link to another
  - Bits, frames, packets, ...
  - Repeaters/hubs, bridges/switches, routers, ...
- Key ideas in switches
  - Cut-through switching
  - Self learning of the switch table
  - Spanning trees
  - Virtual LANs (VLANs)