

Refining searches

1

Refine initially: query

- Commonly, query expansion
 - add synonyms
 - Improve recall
 - Hurt precision?
 - Sometimes done automatically
 - Modify based on **prior searches**
 - Not automatic
 - All prior searches
 - vs
 - *your* prior searches
 - Example: Yahoo
 - Google does too

2

Refining after search

- Use **user feedback**
or
- **Approximate feedback** with first results
 - Pseudo-feedback
 - Example: “Yahoo assist”
- change ranking of current results
or
- search again with modified query

3

Explicit user feedback

- User must participate
- User marks (some) relevant results
or
- User changes order of results
 - Pros and cons?

4

Explicit user feedback

- User must participate
- User marks (some) relevant results
or
- User changes order of results
 - Can be more nuanced than relevant or not
 - Can be less accurate than relevant or not
 - Example: User moves 10th item to first
 - says 10th better than first 9
 - Does not say which, if any, of first 9 relevant

5

User feedback in classic vector model

- User marks top p documents for relevance
 - $p = 10$ to 20 “typical”
- Construct new weights for terms in query vector
 - Modifies query
 - Could use just on initial results to re-rank

6

Deriving new query for vector model

For collection C of n doc.s

- Let C_r denote set all relevant docs in collection,

Perfect knowledge Goal:

Vector $\mathbf{q}_{opt} =$

$1/|C_r| * (\text{sum of all vectors } d_j \text{ in } C_r) -$

$1/(n - |C_r|) * (\text{sum of all vectors } d_k \text{ not in } C_r)$

centroids

7

Continuing: Deriving new query for vector model

Give query \mathbf{q} and relevance judgments for a
subset of retrieved docs

- Let D_r denote set of docs judged relevant
- Let D_{nr} denote set of docs judged not relevant

Modified query:

Vector $\mathbf{q}_{new} = \alpha \mathbf{q} +$

$\beta/|D_r| * (\text{sum of all vectors } d_j \text{ in } D_r) -$

$\gamma/(|D_{nr}|) * (\text{sum of all vectors } d_k \text{ in } D_{nr})$

For tunable weights α, β, γ

8

Remarks on new query

- α : importance original query
- β : importance effect of terms in relevant docs
- γ : importance effect of terms in docs not relevant

- Usually terms of docs not relevant are least important
 - Reasonable values $\alpha=1, \beta=.75, \gamma=.15$
- Reweighting terms leads to long queries
 - Many more non-zero elements in query vector \mathbf{q}_{new}
 - Can reweight only most important (frequent?) terms
- Most useful to improve recall
- Users don't like: work + wait for new results

9

Simple example user feedback in vector model

- $\mathbf{q} = (1,1,0,0)$
- Relevant: $\mathbf{d1} = (1,0,1,1)$
 $\mathbf{d2} = (1,1,1,1)$
- Not relevant: $\mathbf{d3}=(0,1,1,0)$
- $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1$
- $\mathbf{q}_{\text{new}} = (1,1,0,0) + (1, 1/2, 1, 1) - (0,1,1,0)$
 $= (2, 1/2, 0, 1)$

Term weights change New term

Observe: Can get negative weights

10

Re-ranking

- Examples - status?
 - Google experiment
 - Wikia search
- Algorithms usually based on machine learning
 - Learn ranking function that best matches partial ranking given

11

Comparing rankings

- Kendall Tau measure compares two orderings, rk_1 and rk_2 , of the same set of m objects:
 $\tau(rk_1, rk_2)$
 - Let A = # pairs whose orders agree in rk_1 and rk_2
 - Let I = # pairs whose orders disagree in rk_1 and rk_2 :
inversions $A + I = (m \text{ choose } 2)$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(rk_1, rk_2) &= (A - I) / (A + I) && \text{Ordinal correlation} \\ &= 1 - (2 I / (m \text{ choose } 2))\end{aligned}$$

12

Implicit user feedback

- Click-throughs
 - Use as relevance judgment
 - Use as reranking:
 - When click result, moves it ahead of all results didn't click that come before it
 - Problems?
- Better?

13

Single user feedback vs group

- Compare Recommender Systems
 - Items
 - Users
 - Recommend Items to Users
 - Consider documents matching search as items?
- Items have **characteristics**
- Recommend new items based on similarity to items that:
 - User liked in past: **Content-based**
 - Liked by other users similar to this user:
Collaborative Filtering
 - Similarity- characteristics of shared liked items

14

Recommender System attributes

- Need explicit or implicit ratings by user
 - Purchase is 0/1 rating
 - Movie tickets
 - Books
- Have focused category
- User can give explicit preferences for certain characteristics
- Content-based: much like vector-based IR
- Collaborative filtering: need items already rated by user *and other users*

15

Limitations of Content-based

- Can only recommend items similar to those user rated highly
- New users
 - Insufficient number of rated items
- Only consider features explicitly associated with items
 - Do not include attributes of user

16