## COS 217: Introduction to Programming Systems

Assignment 4: Directory and File Trees





- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-15)
  - Beyond the simplest linked lists: trees
  - Introduce the Abstract Object (AO) model
    - Similar to Abstract Data Type (ADT), but there's only one of them
    - Don't pass an "object" to functions they implicitly use the appropriate static variables

```
Abstract Data Type
struct myADT {
   int var1, var2;
};
typedef struct myADT *myADT_T;

void myADT_func1(myADT_T obj, int param)
{ ... }
```

```
Abstract Object

static int myA0_var1, myA0_var2;

void myA0_func1(int param)
{ ... }
```



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-15)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 12, precepts 5 and 9)
  - Especially using gdb and, to a lesser extent, MemInfo



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-15)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 12, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
  - Some of the testing cases/code may not be written for you (eep!)
  - You will write a "checker" that verifies an AO's internal state to make sure it's sound




- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-15)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 12, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
- 4. Design your own modules and interfaces (lecture 13)
  - We will give you a high-level interface and client code
  - You will decide what other modules to write, and what interfaces they have



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-15)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 12, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
- 4. Design your own modules and interfaces (lecture 13)
- 5. Read code that you didn't write
  - Unusual assignment: large parts of it don't involve writing code
  - Mimics the real world: you won't re-write fa long from scratch on day 1






The Sting (1973)


# Trees






# Trees (as seen by computer scientists)






## Trees (as implemented by computer scientists)





## Trees (as implemented by computer scientists)





### Trees and Filesystems




- Trees encode hierarchical relationships
- So do filesystems
  - A directory can hold files or other directories ("folders", if you must ...)
  - All directories and files are reachable from the root

### Filesystems as Trees




- Small extension of plain trees
  - All interior nodes are directories
  - Some leaves are files, with associated contents



### Filesystems as Trees




- Our naming convention: each node has a path name
  - Path name of a node has the path of parent, plus a '/', plus the name of node
  - Root node has its own name



### Filesystems as Trees



- Our naming convention: each node has a path name
  - Names need not be globally unique, but siblings must have distinct names



#### A4 Premise



- Someone has created a filesystem-as-tree API
   ... plus some not-so-great implementations of simpler versions of this data structure
- You have access to the API, and client code
- You do not have access to all the implementations
- Parts 1 and 2: figure out why the implementations are buggy
- Part 3: refactor, rework, and extend a partial implementation to match new API



PART 1

## Part 1 Simplifications



Simplification #1: no files – everything's a directory. (Also for part 2.)

Simplification #2: binary trees – no more than 2 children per node.

Put these together, and we have Binary Directory Trees (BDTs).





Summary of API in bdt.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

<pre>int BDT_init(void);</pre>	Sets the data structure to initialized status.
<pre>int BDT_destroy(void);</pre>	Removes all contents and returns data structure to uninitialized status.
<pre>int BDT_insert(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Inserts a new path into the tree, if possible. (Like mkdir -p)
<pre>boolean BDT_contains(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a Node with absolute path pcPath?
<pre>int BDT_rm(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Removes the directory hierarchy rooted at path. (Like rm -r)
<pre>char* BDT_toString(void);</pre>	Returns a string representation of the data structure.

### Part 1 Functionality

a/b/c



```
So, how does this work? Let's look at some (renamed) excerpts from bdt_client.c
assert(BDT_init() == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_insert("a") == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_insert("a/b/c") == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_contains ("a") == TRUE);
assert(BDT_contains ("a/b") == TRUE);
assert(BDT_contains ("a/b/c") == TRUE);
assert((temp = BDT_toString()) != NULL);
fprintf(stderr, "%s\n", temp);
                  a/b
```



### Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: a4def.h Definitions

```
/* Return statuses */
enum { SUCCESS,
       INITIALIZATION ERROR,
       ALREADY_IN_TREE,
       NO_SUCH_PATH, CONFLICTING_PATH, BAD_PATH,
       NOT_A_DIRECTORY, NOT_A_FILE,
       MEMORY_ERROR
};
/* In lieu of a proper boolean datatype */
enum bool { FALSE, TRUE };
/* Make enumeration "feel" more like a builtin type */
typedef enum bool boolean;
```

### Part 1 - Behind the Scenes: bdt.c Definitions



BDT Abstract Object static variable declarations:

```
/* 1. a flag for being in an initialized state (TRUE) or not (FALSE) */
static boolean bIsInitialized;

/* 2. a pointer to the root node in the hierarchy */
static struct node *psRroot;

/* 3. a counter of the number of nodes in the hierarchy */
static size_t ulCount;
```

# Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (at program start)



bIsInitialized psRoot ulCount

FALSE • 0

How do we know that these are the initial values, given that we did not initialize them explicitly?

(Hint: what section of memory are they in?)

# Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (after initialization)



bIsInitialized psRoot ulCount


TRUE

• 0

BDT\_init();


## Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (one-node insert)





## Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (multi-node insert)






```
BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");
BDT_insert("a/b/c");
```

## Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (second child)






```
BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");
BDT_insert("a/b/c");
BDT_insert("a/b/d");
```


## Part 1 – Error Statuses (duplicate, bad root, 3<sup>rd</sup> child)





## Part 1 – Special Case: Promotion





```
BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");
BDT_insert("a/b/c");
BDT_insert("a/b/d");
BDT_rm("a/b/c");
```



Great! So... we need to implement the bdt.h API. No problem.

Nope – we've done that for you!

```
$ make
gcc217 -g -c dynarray.c
gcc217 -g -c path.c
gcc217 -g -c bdt_client.c
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtGood.o bdt_client.o -o bdtGood
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad1.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad1
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad2.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad2
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad3.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad3
gcc217m -g -c dynarray.c -o dynarrayM.o
gcc217m -g -c path.c -o pathM.o
gcc217m -g -c bdt_client.c -o bdt_clientM.o
gcc217m -g dynarrayM.o pathM.o bdtBad4.o bdt_clientM.o -o bdtBad4
gcc217m -g dynarrayM.o pathM.o bdtBad5.o bdt_clientM.o -o bdtBad5
```

\$ ./bdtGood
Checkpoint 1:
1root
1root/2child
1root/2child/3grandchild
1root/2second

. . .



OK, so what's there for us to do?



```
$ ./bdtBad1
bdtBad1: bdt_client.c:24: main: Assertion
  `BDT_insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == INITIALIZATION_ERROR'
  failed.
Aborted (core dumped)
```



Ah. OK, no problem. Let's just take a look at bdtBad1.c and ...

```
$ cat bdtBad1.c
cat: bdtBad1.c: No such file or directory
```

\$ ls bdt\* bdt.h bdtBad1.o bdtBad2.o bdtBad3.o bdtBad4.o bdtBad5.o bdtGood.o bdt\_client.o bdtBad1 bdtBad2 bdtBad3 bdtBad4 bdtBad5 bdtGood bdt\_clientM.o bdt\_client.c





Wait, you mean we don't get to see the source? That's cruel...

I didn't say that.

So then what do you expect us to do?

\$ gdb bdtBad1

Or, more likely if following our course materials, run gdb from within emacs

... and the fun begins!



What you must do: debug.

- You do not have to identify the bug itself, only its location (function granularity).
- But, this must be the location of the underlying error, which is **not** necessarily where the error manifests itself or is "noticed" by the client.





PART 2

## Part 2 Simplifications



Simplification: no files – everything's a directory.

But now, trees of *arbitrary* branching factor are allowed.

So now we have Binary Directory Trees (DTs).

### Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Node\_T



```
New / repurposed code: nodeDT.h, dynarray.h and dynarray.c
Node definition:
typedef struct node *Node_T;
struct node {
   /* this directory's absolute path*/
   Path_T oPPath;
   /* this node's parent, NULL for the root of the directory tree */
  Node T oNParent;
   /* this directory's children (subdirectories) stored in sorted order by pathname */
   DynArray_T oDChildren;
};
```

### Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: DynArray\_T



#### DynArrays implement dynamically resizable arrays


• We've implemented them for you. Correctly, even. Aren't we nice?

#### DynArray definition:

```
typedef struct DynArray *DynArray_T;
struct DynArray {
   /* The number of elements in the DynArray from the client's point of view. */
   size t uLength;
   /* The number of elements in the array that underlies the DynArray. */
   size t uPhysLength;
   /* The array that underlies the DynArray. */
   const void **ppvArray;
                                                   Pointer to array of void*
                                                   (allows resizing)
```


## Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (initialize, insert)





### Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (insert a child)






```
DT_init();
DT_insert("a");
DT_insert("a/b");
```

## Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (insert 2<sup>nd</sup> child)






```
DT_init();
DT_insert("a");
DT_insert("a/b");
DT_insert("a/c");
```

## Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (insert 3<sup>rd</sup> child)





```
DT_init();
DT_insert("a");
DT_insert("a/b");
DT_insert("a/c");
DT_insert("a/a");
```



#### Great! So \*now\* do we go implement the dt.h API?

Nope – we've done that for you! (Again.)

```
$ make
gcc217 -g -c dynarray.c
gcc217 -g -c path.c
gcc217 -g -c checkerDT.c
gcc217 -g -c nodeDTGood.c
gcc217 -g -c dtGood.c
gcc217 -g -c dt client.c
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTGood.o dtGood.o dt_client.o -o dtGood
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad1a.o dtBad1a.o dt client.o -o dtBad1a
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad1b.o dtBad1b.o dt_client.o -o dtBad1b
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad2.o dtBad2.o dt_client.o -o dtBad2
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad3.o dtBad3.o dt_client.o -o dtBad3
gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad4.o dtBad4.o dt_client.o -o dtBad4
```



And there are still broken implementations!

```
$ ./dtBad2
dtBad2: dt_client.c:67: main: Assertion
   `DT_insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == ALREADY_IN_TREE' failed.
Aborted (core dumped)
```

### Part 2 – What to Do: I did type step, not next, right?



Ah. Sigh. We'll just fire up gdb and ...

```
$ gdb dtBad2
(gdb) b 67
       Breakpoint 1 at 0x4044c4: file dt client.c, line 67.
(gdb) run
       Breakpoint 1, main () at dt_client.c:67
67
       assert(DT insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == ALREADY IN TREE);
(gdb) step
       dtBad2: dt_client.c:67: main: Assertion
       `DT insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == ALREADY IN TREE'
       failed.
       Program received signal SIGABRT, Aborted.
```



Ummm... why don't we see info about / why can't we step into these functions?

We didn't compile with "-g" to include debugging info.

Wait, you mean we don't get to see the source? That's cruel...
Sorry.

So then what do you expect us to do?



What you must do: write a checker for the data structure(s).

- Each mutator function calls CheckerDT\_isValid before returning.
- checkerDT.c has the beginnings of an implementation for you to fill in, including a full tree traversal and a couple of demonstration check implementations:

```
$ ./dtBad1a
Not initialized, but count is not 0
dtBad1a: dtBad1a.c:320: DT_destroy: Assertion
`CheckerDT_isValid(bIsInitialized, oNRoot, ulCount)' failed.
Aborted (core dumped)

$ ./dtBad1b
P-C nodes don't have P-C paths: (1root) (1root/2child/3grandchild)
dtBad1b: nodeDTBad1b.c:165: Node_new: Assertion
`CheckerDT_Node_isValid(*poNResult)' failed.
Aborted (core dumped)
```

### Part 2 – Step 2.5



Now examine our allegedly-good implementation in dtGood.c and nodeDTGood.c and contrast with how an A+ COS 217 student would write it. Write a critique.

- Pay special attention to the principles from the modularity lecture.
- Are the interfaces what you need?
- Do you see ways to make the implementation better?
   Less complex? More efficient? Clearer?
   More extensible? (Hint, hint.)



PART 3

51

## Part 3 Simplifications



Simplification: none.

Trees can now contain both directories and files.

• Files can't have children, but do have contents – a sequence of bytes of any size.

So now we have Directory File Trees (FTs).





### Summary of API in ft.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

• These functions are similar to what we had before:

<pre>int FT_init(void);</pre>	Sets the data structure to initialized status.
<pre>int FT_destroy(void);</pre>	Removes all contents and returns data structure to uninitialized status.
<pre>int FT_insertDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Inserts a new directory into the tree, if possible. (Like mkdir -p)
<pre>boolean FT_containsDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a directory with absolute path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_rmDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Removes the hierarchy rooted at directory pcPath. (Like rm -r)
<pre>char* FT_toString(void);</pre>	Returns a string representation of the data structure.





#### Summary of API in ft.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

And these functions are new-ish:

<pre>void *FT_replaceFileContents(const char *pcPath,   void *pvNewContents, size_t ulNewLength);</pre>	Replaces current contents of the file at abs. path pcPath with pvNewContents and returns the old contents.
<pre>void *FT_getFileContents(const char *pcPath);</pre>	Returns the contents of the file at abs. path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_stat(const char *pcPath,   boolean *pbIsFile, size_t *pulSize);</pre>	Does pcPath exist in the hierarchy? If so, pass back whether it's a file & its length, if so.
<pre>int FT_rmFile(const char *pcPath);</pre>	Removes the file at absolute path pcPath.
boolean FT_containsFile(const char *pcPath);	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a file with absolute path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_insertFile(const char *pcPath,   void *pvContents, size_t ulLength);</pre>	Inserts a new file with absolute path pcPath, with the given contents and given length.



OK, so what broken implementations have you got for us this time?

Good news: no broken code. The catch: a blank editor isn't technically broken code...

Great! We'll just quickly hack up dtGood.c from part 2...

Great! We'll sit back and watch while you create an impenetrable web of conflicting dependencies and broken contracts. Good luck spending the next 17 days continuously on gdb.



So then what do you expect us to do?



#### What you must do: design and write high-quality code for the interface in ft.h

- Think before you code
- Learn from the lessons in part 2.5 (note, though, that you don't have to fix everything!)
- Design the appropriate interfaces you'll need
- Compose a Makefile
- Write supporting modules
- Implement the FT interface
  - Likely borrowing ideas / code from dtGood.c
- Test your FT implementation
  - Definitely using ft\_client.c
  - Possibly adding more tests that you think up (which you can verify against our sampleft.o)
  - Probably using ideas from your checkerDT (though you're not required to write one for FT)
- Critique your FT implementation

### Partnered Assignment



For this assignment, you should partner with one (1) partner.

- Solo efforts are grudgingly acceptable, but <u>strongly</u> discouraged.
- Work together, mostly at the same time. We aren't as strict as COS 126, but it's not OK for you to each do some work, and then cat it together.
- You may work with anyone in the class not just from your own precept.
- To find a partner, hang out after precept / lecture, post on Ed, etc.





# Your First COS217 Partnered Assignment



Using a binary classification into bins "generally working" and "complete disaster", how do you think (on average) COS 217 students have done on this assignment in past semesters?

- A. Partnerships "generally working",Solo efforts "generally working"
- B. Partnerships "generally working", Solo efforts "complete disaster"
- C. Partnerships "complete disaster", Solo efforts "generally working"
- D. Partnerships "complete disaster", Solo efforts "complete disaster",

Partnerships' ratio is 11:1

Solo efforts' ratio is 1:1