## Precept Topics

- Intractability and Algorithm Design
- NP-completeness
- Jeopardy!


## A. RECAP: Intractability and Algorithm Design

Your preceptor will give an overview of the content of this week's lectures.
Feel free to use this space for notes or as scratch paper.

## B. EXERCISE: NP-completeness of Independent Set

In this problem, we will prove a well-known intractability result: that INDEPENDENT-SET (IND-SET hereafter) is NP-complete. Recall that, to do so, we need to - besides understanding what the IND-SET problem is - prove two separate things:

1. IND-SET is in NP (i.e., there is a polynomial-time algorithm for verifying a candidate solution);
2. Some NP-complete problem poly-time reduces to IND-SET.

We will pick SAT as the NP-complete problem to reduce from.
An instance of the IND-SET problem has two components: a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$. A solution to the instance $(G, k)$ is a set $S$ of vertices such that none of the edges of $G$ have both endpoints in $S$.
a) Let's start with the first (and easier) step: prove that IND-SET is in NP. That is, describe an algorithm that, given a purported solution $S$ to an instance $(G, k)$, verifies whether the solution is valid or not in polynomial time.
b) In order to reduce from SAT to IND-SET, we must construct an instance of IND-SET from an instance of SAT. Here is one way to do so: if the system of boolean equations has $m$ equations and $n$ variables, set $k=m$ and create a graph $G$ with one vertex for each appearance of a literal (i.e. a variable or a negation of a variable) in an equation. Then place an edge between a pair of vertices if the variables they represent are:

- in the same equation; or
- the negation of one another.

Apply this transformation to the SAT instances below and list all of the independent sets of size at least $m$ in the graphs you obtain.

| $x_{1}$ | or | $\neg x_{2}$ | or | $\neg x_{3}$ | or | $x_{4}$ | $=$ | true |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\neg x_{1}$ | or | $\neg x_{2}$ |  |  | or | $x_{4}$ | $=$ | true |
|  | $x_{2}$ | or | $x_{3}$ | or | $\neg x_{4}$ | $=$ | true |  |


| $\neg x_{1}$ | or | $x_{2}$ |  | $=$ | true |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\neg x_{2}$ | or | $x_{3}$ | $=$ | true |
| $x_{1}$ |  | or | $\neg x_{3}$ |  | true |  |
| $\neg x_{1}$ | or | $\neg x_{2}$ | or | $\neg x_{3}$ |  | true |
| $x_{1}$ | or | $x_{2}$ | or | $x_{3}$ | $=$ | true |

c) We're still missing one step in the reduction: post-processing the solution of the IND-SET instance to obtain a solution to the SAT instance. Describe an algorithm that does so.
d) Explain why the reduction is correct; that is, show that

1. it runs in polynomial time;
2. it generates an unsatisfiable IND-SET instance when the SAT instance is unsatisfiable; and
3. it generates a satisfiable instance when the SAT instance is satisfiable, and the solution obtained from the post-processing step is a satisfying truth assignment.

## C. JEOPARDY!

Your preceptor will lead a Jeopardy! round with categories from topics of the course. Have fun! (And if you don't know the rules, make sure to ask before starting.)

EXERCISE (optional): VERTEX-COVER is the problem whose instances are graph-integer pairs ( $G, k$ ) and a solution is a set $S$ of vertices such that every edge has at least one endpoint in $S$. Prove that VERTEX-COVER is NP-complete.

