



When Working with Dynamic Memory...

Thou shalt:

- Ask for enough memory
(in bytes, including trailing nul for strings)
- Check whether you got it
(including all of malloc, calloc, realloc)
- Initialize it before use (except calloc)
- Hang on to the pointer so you can...
- free it exactly once
- Then not use it again




When Working with Dynamic Memory...

Thou shalt:

- Ask for enough memory (in bytes, including trailing nul for strings)
- Check whether you got it (including all of malloc, calloc, realloc)
- Initialize it before use (except calloc)
- Hang on to the pointer so you can...
- free it exactly once
- Then not use it again

Or else...



COS 217: Introduction to Programming Systems

Testing

“On two occasions I have been asked [by members of Parliament!],
‘Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?’
I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question.”
– Charles Babbage



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY





Why Test?

It's hard to know if a (large) program works properly

“Beware of bugs in the above code;
I have only proved it correct, not tried it.”
– Donald Knuth

Ideally: Automatically *prove* that a program is correct
(or demonstrate why it's not)




That's impossible

Alan M. Turing *38



Why Test?

Semi-ideally: *Semi*-automatically prove that *some* programs are correct



This is possible, but

- beyond most current engineering practice
- beyond the scope of this course

Take COS 326, then COS 510 or COS 516 if you're interested!



Why Test?

Pragmatically: Convince yourself that your program *probably* works



Result: software engineers spend **at least as much time building test code** as writing the program

- You want to spend that time efficiently!



Who Does the Testing?

Programmers

- "Transparent" testing
- Pro: Know the code \Rightarrow can test all statements/paths/boundaries
- Con: Know the code \Rightarrow biased by code design; shared oversights

Quality Assurance (QA) engineers

- "Opaque" testing
- Pro: Do not know the code \Rightarrow unbiased by code design
- Con: Do not know the code \Rightarrow unlikely to test all statements/paths/boundaries

Customers

- "Field" testing
- Pros: Use code in unexpected ways; “debug” specs
- Cons: Often don't like “participating”;
difficult to be systematic;
could be hard to generate enough examples



EXTERNAL TESTING



Example: “upper1” Program

```
/* Read text from stdin. Convert the first character of each
"word" to uppercase, where a word is a sequence of
letters. Write the result to stdout. Return 0. */

int main(void)
{
    . . .
}
```

How do we test this program?
Run it on some sample inputs?

```
$ ./upper1
heLLo there...
^D
HeLLo There...
$
```

OK to do it once; tedious
to repeat every time the
program changes



Organizing Your Tests

```
/* Read text from stdin. Convert the first character of each
"word" to uppercase, where a word is a sequence of
letters. Write the result to stdout. Return 0. */

int main(void)
{
    . . .
}
```

heLlO there...

HeLlO There...

84weird e. xample

84weird E. Xample

```
$ ./upper1 < inputs/001
HeLlO There...
$ cat correct/001
HeLlO There...
$ ./upper1 < inputs/002
84weird E. Xample
$ cat correct/002
84Weird E. Xample
```



Running Your Tests

```
/* Read text from stdin. Convert the first character of each  
"word" to uppercase, where a word is a sequence of  
letters. Write the result to stdout. Return 0. */
```

```
$ cat run-tests
```

```
./upper1 < inputs/001 > outputs/001
```

```
cmp outputs/001 correct/001
```

```
./upper1 < inputs/002 > outputs/002
```

```
cmp outputs/002 correct/002
```

```
$ sh run-tests
```

```
outputs/002 correct/002 differ: byte 5, line 1
```

this is a
"shell script"
or "bash script"

Assignment 1 Testing Script



```
$ cat testdecomment
#!/bin/bash

#-----
# testdecomment
# Author: Bob Dondero
#-----

#-----
# testdecomment is a testing script for the decomment program.
# To run it, issue the command "./testdecomment".

# To use it, the working directory must contain:
# (1) decomment, the executable version of your program, and
# (2) sampledecomment, the given executable binary file.

# The script executes decomment and sampledecomment on each file
# in the working directory that ends with ".txt", and compares the
# results.
#-----

# Validate the argument.
if [ "$#" -gt "0" ]; then
    echo "Usage: testdecomment"
    exit 1
fi

echo

# Call testdecommentdiff for each file in the working directory
# that ends with ".txt", passing along the argument.
for file in *.txt
do
    ./testdecommentdiff $file
done
```

Regression Testing



```
for (;;) {  
    test program; discover bug;  
    fix bug, in the process break something else;  
}
```

re·gres·sion

/ri:'grɛʃən/

noun

1. a return to a former or less developed state.
2. ...

regression testing: Rerun your entire test suite after each change to the program. When new bugs are found, add tests to the test suite that check for those kinds of bugs.



Regression Testing (lamentable reality)





Bug-Driven Testing

Reactive mode...

- Find a bug \Rightarrow create a test case that catches it

Proactive mode...

- Do **fault injection**
 - Intentionally (temporarily!) inject a bug
 - Make sure testing mechanism catches it
 - Test the testing!



Is This the Best Way?

Limitations of whole-program testing:

- Requires program to have one right answer
- Requires *knowing* that one right answer
- Requires having enough tests
- Requires *rewriting* the tests when specifications change



Is This the Best Way?

Modularity!

- One of the main lessons of COS 217:
Writing large, nontrivial programs is best done by composing simpler, understandable components
- *Testing* large, nontrivial programs is best done by *testing* simpler, understandable components



Who Does the Testing?

Programmers


- "Transparent" testing
- Pro: Know the code \Rightarrow can test all statements/paths/boundaries
- Con: Know the code \Rightarrow biased by code design

Quality Assurance (QA) engineers

- "Opaque" testing
- Pro: Do not know the code \Rightarrow unbiased by code design
- Con: Do not know the code \Rightarrow unlikely to test all statements

Customers

- Field testing
- Pros: Use code in unexpected ways; "debug" specs
- Cons: Often don't like "participating"; difficult to generate enough cases



Exploiting structure of code makes this strategy more efficient



INTERNAL TESTING WITH ASSERTIONS



The assert Macro

```
#include <assert.h>
```

```
...
```

```
assert(expr)
```

- If expr evaluates to TRUE (non-zero):
 - Do nothing
- If expr evaluates to FALSE (zero):
 - Print message to stderr: “line x: assertion expr failed”
 - Exit the program
- Many uses...



1. Validating Parameters

At beginning of function, make sure parameters are valid

```
/* Return the greatest common
   divisor of positive integers
   i and j. */

int gcd(int i, int j)
{
    assert(i > 0);
    assert(j > 0);
    ...
}
```



2. Validating Return Value

At end of function, make sure return value is plausible

```
/* Return the greatest common
   divisor of positive integers
   i and j. */

int gcd(int i, int j)
{
    ...
    assert(value > 0);
    assert(value <= i);
    assert(value <= j);
    return value;
}
```



3. Checking Array Subscripts

Check out-of-bounds array subscript: it causes vast numbers of security vulnerabilities in C programs!

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <assert.h>

#define N 1000
#define M 1000000
int a[N];

int main(void)
{
    int i,j, sum=0;
    for (j = 0; j < M; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
            assert (0 <= i && i < N);
            sum += a[i];
        }
    printf ("%d\n", sum);
}
```



4. Checking Function Values

Check values returned by called functions
(but not with assert – this is not a programming bug)

Example:

- scanf () returns number of values read
- Caller should check return value

```
int i, j;  
...  
scanf("%d%d", &i, &j);
```

Bad code

```
int i, j;  
...  
if (scanf("%d%d", &i, &j) != 2)  
    /* Handle the error */
```

Good code



5. Checking Invariants


At function entry, check aspects of data structures that shouldn't vary; maybe at function exit too

```
int isValid(MyType object)
{
    ...
    /* Code to check invariants goes here.
       Return 1 (TRUE) if object passes
       all tests, and 0 (FALSE) otherwise. */
    ...
}

void myFunction(MyType object)
{
    assert(isValid(object));
    ...
    /* Code to manipulate object goes here. */
    ...
    assert(isValid(object));
}
```



UNIT TESTING





Testing Modular Programs

Any nontrivial program built up out of *modules*, or *units*.

Example: Assignment 2.

str.h (excerpt)

```
/* Return the length of src */
size_t Str_getLength(const
/* Copy src to dest. Return dest.*
char *Str_
/* Concatena
char *Str_
```

stra.c (excerpt)

```
#include "str.h"
size_t Str_getLe
... "you" write this co
}
char *Str_copy(char *dest, const char *src) {
... you write this code ...
}
char *Str_concat(char *dest, const char *src) {
... you write this code ...
}
```

replace.c (excerpt)

```
#include "str.h"
/* Write line to stdout with each occurrence
of from replaced with to. */
size_t replaceAndWrite(
char *line, char *from, char *to) {
... you write this code that calls some of
Str_getLength, Str_copy,
Str_concat, etc.
}
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
```




Unit Testing Harness

Write a new program that combines one module with additional code that tests it

Scaffold: *Temporary* code that calls code that you care about

Code that you care about



(Optional) Stub: *Temporary* code that is called by code that you care about



teststr.c

```
/* Test the Str_getLength() function. */
static void testGetLength(void) {
    size_t result;
    printf("    Boundary Tests\n");
    { char src[] = {'\0', 's'};
      result1 = Str_getLength(acSrc);
      assert(result == 0);
    }
    printf("    Statement Tests\n");
    { char src[] = {'R', 'u', 't', 'h', '\0', '\0'};
      result = Str_getLength(src);
      assert(result == 4);
    }
    { char src[] = {'R', 'u', 't', 'h', '\0', 's'};
      result = Str_getLength(src);
      assert(result == 4);
    }
    { char src[] = {'G', 'e', 'h', 'r', 'i', 'g', '\0', 's'};
      result = Str_getLength(src);
      assert(result == 6);
    }
}
```



TEST COVERAGE



Statement Testing



Statement testing

- “Testing to satisfy the criterion that each statement in a program be executed at least once during program testing.”

From the Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology



Statement Testing Example

Example pseudocode:

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

Statement testing:

Should make sure both if statements, and all 4 numbered statements in their consequents and alternatives are executed in the testing suite.



Unbiased Coverage



Q: How many passes of testing are required to get full **statement** coverage?

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

- A. 1
- B. 2
- C. 3
- D. 4
- E. 5

B

For example, these two cases:

1. {condition1:T, condition2:T}
2. {condition1:F, condition2:F}

Path Testing



Path testing

- “Testing to satisfy coverage criteria that each logical path through the program be tested. Often paths through the program are grouped into a finite set of classes. One path from each class is then tested.”

From the Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology



Path Testing Example

Example pseudocode:

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

Path testing:

Should make sure all logical paths are executed

- Simple programs \Rightarrow maybe reasonable
- Complex program \Rightarrow combinatorial explosion!!!
 - Path test code fragments



Not just the path of least resistance



Q: How many passes of testing are required to get full **path** coverage?

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

- A. 1
- B. 2
- C. 3
- D. 4
- E. 5

D, 4 passes are required:

condition1 && condition2,
condition1 && !condition2,
!condition1 && condition2,
!condition1 && !condition2

Boundary Testing



Boundary testing (or **corner case** testing)

- “A testing technique using input values at, just below, and just above, the defined limits of an input domain; and with input values causing outputs to be at, just below, and just above, the defined limits of an output domain.”

From the Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology



Boundary Testing Example

How would you boundary-test this function?

```
/* Where a[] is an array of length n,  
   return the first index i such that a[i]==x,  
   or -1 if not found */  
int find(int a[], int n, int x);
```

```
int a[10];  
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)  
    a[i] = 1000 + i;  
assert (find(a, 10, 1000) == 0);  
assert (find(a, 10, 1009) == 9);  
assert (find(a, 9, 1009) == -1);  
assert (find(a+1, 9, 1000) == -1);
```



Stress Testing

Should stress the program or module with respect to:

- **Quantity** of data
 - Large data sets
- **Variety** of data
 - Textual data sets containing non-ASCII chars
 - Binary data sets
 - Randomly generated data sets

Consider using computer to generate test data

- Arbitrarily repeatable
- Avoids human biases



Stress Testing


```
enum {STRESS_TEST_COUNT = 10};  
enum {STRESS_STRING_SIZE = 10000};  
  
static void testGetLength(void) {  
  
    . . .  
  
    printf("    Stress Tests\n");  
    {int i;  
      char acSrc[STRESS_STRING_SIZE];  
      for (i = 0; i < STRESS_TEST_COUNT; i++) {  
          randomString(acSrc, STRESS_STRING_SIZE);  
          result = Str_getLength(acSrc);  
          assert(result == strlen(acSrc));  
      }  
    }  
}
```

Is this “cheating”?
Maybe, maybe not.



When you don't have a reference implementation to give you "the answer"

```
printf("  Stress Tests\n");
{int i,j;
  char acSrc[STRESS_STRING_SIZE];
  for (i = 0; i < STRESS_TEST_COUNT; i++) {
    randomString(acSrc, STRESS_STRING_SIZE);
    result = Str_getLength(acSrc);
```



```
}
```

Think of as many properties as you can that the right answer must satisfy.



When you don't have a reference implementation to give you "the answer"

```
printf("  Stress Tests\n");
{int i,j;
  char acSrc[STRESS_STRING_SIZE];
  for (i = 0; i < STRESS_TEST_COUNT; i++) {
    randomString(acSrc, STRESS_STRING_SIZE);
    result = Str_getLength(acSrc);

    assert(0 <= result);
    assert(result < STRESS_STRING_SIZE);
    for (j = 0; j < result; j++)
      assert(acSrc[j] != '\\0');
    assert(acSrc[result] == '\\0');
  }
}
```

Think of as many properties as you can that the right answer must satisfy.



Testing Takeaways: You can ...

- . . . combine unit testing and regression testing
- . . . write your unit tests (teststr.c) before you write your client code (replace.c)
- . . . write your unit tests (teststr.c) before you begin writing what they will test (stra.c)
- . . . use your unit-test design to refine your interface specifications (i.e., what's described in comments in the header)
 - another reason to write the unit tests before writing the code!
- . . . avoid relying on the COS 217 repository to provide all your unit tests



POST-TESTING





Leave Testing Code Intact!

Examples of testing code:

- unit test harnesses (entire module, `teststr.c`)
- `assert` statements
- entire functions that exist only in context of asserts (`isValid()` function)

Do not remove testing code when program is finished

- In the “real world” no program ever is “finished”



If you suspect that the testing code is inefficient:

- Test whether the time impact is significant
- Leave `assert()` but disable at compile time
- Disable other code with `#ifdef...#endif` preprocessor directives



Efficiency of Testing Code

Doesn't that slow it down?

How much slower does the assertion make the program?

```
$ gcc217 -O2 test.c
```

```
$ time a.out
```


```
0.385 seconds ± .02
```

```
$ gcc217 -O2 test_without_assert.c
```

```
$ time a.out
```

```
0.385 seconds ± .02
```

Why?



There's a better way - stay tuned!

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <assert.h>

#define N 1000
#define M 1000000
int a[N];

int main(void) {
    int i,j, sum=0;
    for (j=0; j<M; j++)
        for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
            assert (0 <= i && i < N);
            sum += a[i];
        }
    printf ("%d\n", sum);
}
```



The assert Macro

If testing code *is* affecting efficiency, it is possible to disable `assert ()` calls without removing them

- Define `NDEBUG` in code...

```
/*-----*/  
/* myprogram.c */  
/*-----*/  
#define NDEBUG  
  
#include <assert.h>  
  
...  
/* Asserts are disabled here. */  
...
```

- ... or when compiling:

```
$ gcc217 -D NDEBUG myprogram.c -o myprogram
```



#ifdef

Beyond asserts: using #ifdef...#endif

```
...  
#ifdef TEST_FEATURE_X  
/* Code to test feature  
   X goes here. */  
#endif  
...
```

myprog.c

- To enable testing code:

```
$ gcc217 -D TEST_FEATURE_X myprog.c -o myprog
```

- To disable testing code:

```
$ gcc217 myprog.c -o myprog
```




#ifndef

Or just piggyback on NDEBUG

```
...  
#ifndef NDEBUG  
/* Code to test feature  
   X goes here. */  
#endif  
...
```

myprog.c

- To enable testing code:

```
$ gcc217 myprog.c -o myprog
```

- To disable testing code:

```
$ gcc217 -D NDEBUG myprog.c -o myprog
```

Summary



Testing is expensive but necessary – be efficient

- External testing with scripts
- Internal testing with asserts
- Unit testing with harnesses
- Checking for code coverage

Test the code—and the tests!

Leave testing code intact, but disable as appropriate