
Reasoning About the Performance of Distributed Systems




COS 418/518: Distributed Systems
Lecture 21

Wyatt Lloyd

Measuring Distributed Systems



Measuring Distributed Systems




Latency


How long a request takes to complete

 Measured externally from time request is sent until time response is received.


Latency, Measure Externally



Latency, Reason Internally



Latency, Reason Internally




Latency = 1 + 2 + 3

Throughput

- How many operations per unit time a system can handle
 - Typically operations/second


 Measured externally as the rate that responses come out of the system

Max Throughput Example (Not Ideal)



Throughput = Number of (valid) responses received by all clients End time – start time

Queuing Delay & Overload




- Overload: offered load > max system throughput
 - Queues get really long
 - Other weird/bad things happen
 - →Observed throughput < max system throughput

Measuring Throughput Method


- 1. Starting with low load
- 2. Increase load

3. Repeat until measured throughput stops increasing

Throughput, Reason Internally




Throughput, Reason Internally



Throughput = min(1, 2, 3)

Throughput Bottlenecks (simplified)



Max throughput limited by some bottleneck resource:

- 1) Incoming bandwidth
- 2) Server CPU
- 3) Outgoing bandwidth

Load Generation

Closed-loop

- Each "client" sends one request, waits for the response to come back, and then sends another request
- More "clients" => more load

Open-loop


- Load is generated independently of the response rate of the system, typically from a probability distribution
- More directly control the load on the system
- Which one is more realistic?
- We'll reason using closed-loop clients

Mental Experimental Setup


- Start with 1 closed-loop client
 - Expected latency?
 - Expected throughput?
- Double number of closed-loop clients
 - Expected increase in latency?
 - Expected increase in throughput?
- Repeat

Throughput-Latency Graph

Simple Setting: Single Server; Client-Server RTT 90ms; Server Processing latency 10ms; Single-Threaded Server (100 ops/s)



Throughput-Latency Graph



Throughput / Latency Relationship

- Proportional at low load ... but not high load
- Because measured throughput is a function of latency
 - · i.e., throughput bottleneck is offered load
- Related, but you should reason about both
- For system A vs system B, all are possible:
 - A has lower latency and higher throughput than B
 - A has lower latency and lower throughput than B
 - A has higher latency and lower throughput than B
 - A has higher latency and higher throughput than B

Evaluation in Minutes not Months

 Reasoning using your mental model is much much faster than really doing it

- What would happen if?
 - I moved my servers from the San Jose datacenter to Oregon?
 - I switch from c5.xlarges to c5.24xlarges for my servers?
 - I doubled the number of servers?
 - I switch from system design X to system design Y?
 - replace single server with Paxos-replicated system?
 - replace Paxos with eventually consistent design?
 - · add batching?
 - replace Paxos with new variant?

Let's use these tools!


Mental Experimental Setup

System A versus System B


From 1 to N closed-loop clients loading each

Compare throughput and latency


Move Single Server from San Jose to Oregon (Clients in San Jose)




Replace Single Server with Paxos (Clients and servers in same datacenter, 3 replicas)



Paxos: 3 replicas to 5 replicas (Clients and servers in same datacenter)




Paxos: 3 replicas to 30 replicas (Clients and servers in same datacenter)




Batching

- Group together multiple operations
- Improves throughput, e.g.,
 - Marshall data together
 - Send to network layer together
 - Unmarshall data together
 - Handle group of operations together
- Delay processing/sending operations to increase batch size
 - Common way to trade an increase in latency for increase in throughput

Paxos with batching (Clients and servers in same datacenter, 3 replicas)



Paxos: 3 local replicas to geo-replicated (Clients in NY; replicas in NY, Oregon, Singapore



Summary

- Measure distributed systems externally
- Latency: how long operations take
- Throughput: how many operations/sec
- Reason about latency and throughput using internal knowledge of system design
 - (and back-of-the-envelope calculations)
- Reason about effects on latency and throughput from changes to system choice, deployment, design
 - Critical tool in system design