Poly-HO!

COS 326 Speaker: Andrew Appel Princeton University

polymorphic, higher-order programming

slides copyright 2017 David Walker and Andrew Appel permission granted to reuse these slides for non-commercial educational purposes

Some Design & Coding Rules

• Save some software-engineering effort: Never write the same code twice.

"Ooh, I get it! I'll write the code once, copy-paste it somewhere else . . . that way, I didn't write the same code twice"

- What's wrong with that?
 - find and fix a bug in one copy, have to fix in all of them.
 - decide to change the functionality, have to track down all of the places where it gets used.
- Instead, a better practice:
 - factor out the common bits into a reusable procedure.
 - even better: use someone else's (well-tested, well-documented, and well-maintained) procedure.



Consider these definitions:

```
let rec inc_all (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
        hd::tl -> (hd+1)::(inc_all tl)
```

```
let rec square_all (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
        hd::tl -> (hd*hd)::(square_all tl)
```



Consider these definitions:

```
let rec inc_all (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
        hd::tl -> (hd+1)::(inc_all tl)
```

The code is almost identical – factor it out!



A *higher-order* function captures the recursion pattern:

```
let rec map (f:int->int) (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
        hd::tl -> (f hd)::(map f tl)
```

A *higher-order* function captures the recursion pattern:

```
let rec map (f:int->int) (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
        hd::tl -> (f hd)::(map f tl)
```

Uses of the function:

let inc x = x+1
let inc_all xs = map inc xs



A *higher-order* function captures the recursion pattern:

```
let rec map (f:int->int) (xs:int list) : int list =
   match xs with
   [] -> []
   | hd::tl -> (f hd)::(map f tl)
```





A higher-order function captures the recursion pattern:





```
let rec sum (xs:int list) : int =
   match xs with
   [] -> 0
   | hd::tl -> hd + (sum tl)

let rec prod (xs:int list) : int =
   match xs with
   [] -> 1
   | hd::tl -> hd * (prod tl)
```

Goal: Create a function called reduce that when supplied with a few arguments can implement both sum and prod. Define sum2 and prod2 using reduce.

Goal: If you finish early, use map and reduce together to find the sum of the squares of the elements of a list.

(Try it)

(Try it)



```
let rec sum (xs:int list) : int =
   match xs with
   [] -> b
   | hd::tl -> hd + (sum tl)

let rec prod (xs:int list) : int =
   match xs with
   [] -> b
   | hd::tl -> hd * (prod tl)
```



```
let rec sum (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
  [] -> b
  | hd::tl -> hd OP (RECURSIVE CALL ON tl)

let rec prod (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
  [] -> b
  | hd::tl -> hd OP (RECURSIVE CALL ON tl)
```



```
let rec sum (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
  | [] -> b
  | hd::tl -> f hd (RECURSIVE CALL ON tl)

let rec prod (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
  | [] -> b
  | hd::tl -> f hd (RECURSIVE CALL ON tl)
```



A generic reducer

```
let add x y = x + y
let mul x y = x * y

let rec reduce (f:int->int->int) (b:int) (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
        [] -> b
        | hd::tl -> f hd (reduce f b tl)

let sum xs = reduce add 0 xs
let prod xs = reduce mul 1 xs
```



```
let rec reduce (f:int->int->int) (b:int) (xs:int list) : int =
  match xs with
  [] -> b
  [ hd::tl -> f hd (reduce f b tl)

let sum xs = reduce (fun x y -> x+y) 0 xs
let prod xs = reduce (fun x y -> x*y) 1 xs
```



```
let rec reduce (f:int->int->int) (b:int) (xs:int list) : int =
 match xs with
  | [] -> b
  | hd::tl -> f hd (reduce f b tl)
let sum xs = reduce (fun x y -> x+y) 0 xs
let prod xs = reduce (fun x y -> x*y) 1 xs
let sum of squares xs = sum (map (fun x -> x * x) xs)
let pairify xs = map (fun x \rightarrow (x, x)) xs
```



```
let rec reduce (f:int->int->int) (b:int) (xs:int list) : int =
match xs with
    [] -> b
    | hd::tl -> f hd (reduce f b tl)

let sum xs = reduce (+) 0 xs
let prod xs = reduce ( * ) 1 xs

let sum_of_squares xs = sum (map (fun x -> x * x) xs)
let pairify xs = map (fun x -> (x,x)) xs
```







More on Anonymous Functions

Function declarations:

```
let square x = x^*x
let add x y = x+y
```

are *syntactic sugar* for:

let square = (fun x \rightarrow x*x) let add = (fun x y \rightarrow x+y)

In other words, *functions are values* we can bind to a variable, just like 3 or "moo" or true.

Functions are 2nd class no more!



One argument, one result

Simplifying further:

let add = (fun x y \rightarrow x+y)

is shorthand for:

let add =
$$(fun x \rightarrow (fun y \rightarrow x+y))$$

That is, add is a function which:

- when given a value x, returns a function (fun y -> x+y) which:
 - when given a value y, returns x+y.



Curried Functions

curry: verb

(1) to prepare or flavor with hot-tasting spices

(1)

(2) to encode a multi-argument function using nested, higherorder functions.





Curried Functions

Named after the logician Haskell B. Curry (1950s).

- was trying to find minimal logics that are powerful enough to encode traditional logics.
- much easier to prove something about a logic with 3 connectives than one with 20.
- the ideas translate directly to math (set & category theory) as well as to computer science.
- Actually, Moses Schönfinkel did some of this in 1924
 - thankfully, we don't have to talk about *Schönfinkelled* functions





Schönfinkel



Curry

What's so good about Currying?

In addition to simplifying the language, currying functions so that they only take one argument leads to two major wins:

- 1. We can *partially apply* a function.
- 2. We can more easily *compose* functions.





Partial Application

let add = $(fun x \rightarrow (fun y \rightarrow x+y))$

Curried functions allow defs of new, *partially applied* functions:

let inc = add 1

Equivalent to writing:

let inc = $(fun y \rightarrow 1+y)$

which is equivalent to writing:

let inc y = 1+y

also:

let inc2 = add 2 let inc3 = add 3

