

Precept 2: Non-preemptive Scheduler

COS 318: Fall 2021





- Now we want to add functionality to the kernel to run (many) programs!
- Have some hard-coded kernel-space programs to run
- We want each program to be able to yield periodically

Project 2 Schedule



- Precept: Monday 9/27, Tuesday 9/28, 7:30pm
- Design Review: Tuesday 9/28 8:30-10:30pm, Wednesday 9/29 3-7pm

https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall21/cos318/projects/signup /2.cgi

• **Due:** Sunday 10/10, 11:55pm

Project 2 Overview



- Goal: Build a non-preemptive kernel that can switch between different tasks (task = process or kernel thread)
- Read the project spec for more details
- Start early

What is a Non-Preemptive Kernel?



Current running task loses CPU or running state

in the following scenarios:

- 1. Yield
- 2. Block: I/O operation, Lock (thread)
- 3. **Exit**

What is a Non-Preemptive Kernel?



COS 318: go to class(); go to precept(); yield(); thinking (); design review() yield(); coding(); exit();

have_fun(); yield(); play(); yield(); do random stuff() yield();

• • •

Life:

What is a Non-Preemptive Kernel?



COS 318: Life: go_to_class(); have_fun(); go_to_precept(); yield(); yield(); play(); thinking (); yield(); design_review() do random stuff() yield(); yield(); coding(); ... exit();

What You Need to Deal With

- 1. Process Control Blocks (PCBs)
- 2. User and Kernel Stack
- 3. Basic System Call Mechanism
- 4. Context Switching
- 5. Mutual Exclusion

Assumptions



- **Protected Mode:** No more segment registers: 32 bit memory, no more BIOS
- Non-Preemptive Tasks: Run code until yield, block, or exit
- **Fixed Number of Tasks:** Allocate per-task state (PCB) statically in your program at compile time
- Fixed Task Stack Size

1. Process Control Block (PCB)



- Defined in kernel.h and initialized in kernel.c:_start
- What is its purpose?
- What should be in the PCB?
 - Process ID (PID)
 - Stack Info
 - Registers
 - CPU Time
 - Etc.

2. Allocating Stacks



- Allocate separate user-space stacks for each task in kernel.c:_start()
- In theory, processes have two stacks:
 - 1. User Stack: For the process to use
 - 2. Kernel Stack: For the kernel to use when executing system calls on behalf of the process

Option: In this assignment, you can opt to use only one stack

- Kernel threads need only one stack
- 4kB per stack is enough





- let user processes ask for kernel services
- <u>Standard Procedure</u>:
 - Push system call ID + arguments onto stack
 - Interrupt / trap: elevate privileges + jumps into kernel
- NOT the case for this assignment...

3. System Calls - In this project

- User processes use library **syslib**.h
- This library allows for:
 - Loading kernel entry point address from known location in memory (ENTRY_POINT)
 - Push system call ID onto stack + call kernel_entry function

3. System Calls - kernel_entry

- kernel_entry address stored at ENTRY_POINT (0xf00)
- Saves registers + switches to kernel stack
- Does the reverse when exiting the kernel

0x00000	BIOS
0x00F00	ENTRY_POINT
0x01000	Kernel
0x10000	Process 1
0x20000	Process 2
0x30000	Process 3
0x40000	Stacks
	Kernel Stack
0x9FFFE	(set by bootblock.s)
0xA0000	Video RAM

4. Context Switch - Overview



- Goal: safely switch currently running task
- When does this happen?
 - Preemptive OS: typically when OS dictates: i.e. timer interrupt
 - Non-preemptive OS: when task yields or exits



- 1. Save task state into PCB
- 2. Push current PCB into ready or block queue
- 3. Choose new task from ready queue + pop its PCB
- 4. Restore new task state + run it

4. Context Switch - Saving State



- Tasks should not care what happens while its not running save current state in its PCB:
 - General purpose registers (including %esp)
 - \circ Flags
- What about the instruction pointer?

4. Context Switch - Scheduling



- Kernel must maintain:
 - Ready Queue: tasks ready to be run
 - Blocked Queue: tasks blocked on some resource
- Which task runs next?
 - Regular: round-robin

EC: lowest run-time

5. Mutual Exclusion (via locks)



- Spinlock implementation is provided, you must implement a blocking lock
 - See spec for precise requirements
- No preemption => no race conditions *
- Exactly one correct trace

Timing context switches



- util.c:get_timer returns # cycles since boot
- Implement parts of th3 and process3
 - process3 included twice in task list be able to distinguish between the two executions

Tips + Things to think about...



- What should you do when a kernel thread is run for the first time?
- What state should be saved to PCB? In what order?
- Code and test incrementally

Design Review



(Tue & Wed, Sep 28th & 29th) Answer the questions:

- **Process Control Block:** What will be in your PCB and what will it be initialized to?
- **Context Switching:** How will you save and restore a task's context? Should anything special be done for the first task?
- **Processes:** What, if any, are the differences between threads and processes and how they are handled?
- **Mutual Exclusion:** What's your plan for implementing mutual exclusion?
- **Scheduling:** Look at the project web page for questions about an execution example.

Uses of Non-Preemptive Schedulers

- Generators in python, coroutines in C#, async operator in Javascript, must maintain state between function calls
- Inside the runtime of programming languages, i.e. Go's goroutines will yield when locking if lock is held
- Real-time Operating Systems, such as pacemakers, or other medical devices



Questions?