Final Exam Solutions

- 1. **Initialization.** Don't forget to do this.
- 2. Memory usage.
 - (2.1) 32 bytes
 - 16 bytes object overhead
 - 8 bytes for string reference
 - 4 bytes for int
 - 4 bytes padding
 - $(2.2) \sim 40n$ bytes
 - $\sim 32n$ bytes for the *n* Suffix objects
 - $\sim 8n$ for the array of n references
- 3. String operations.
 - $(3.1) \Theta(n^4)$

String concatenation takes time proportional to the length of the resulting string, so the running time is $\Theta(1+2+3+\ldots+n^2) = \Theta(n^4)$.

 $(3.2) \Theta(n^3)$

String concatenation takes time proportional to the length of the resulting string, so each iteration of the inner loop takes $\Theta(1+2+3+\ldots+n) = \Theta(n^2)$ time, for a total of $\Theta(n^3)$ time. The outer loop, which repeatedly appends string of length n, takes $\Theta(n+2n+3n+\ldots+n^2) = \Theta(n^3)$ time as well.

 $(3.3) \Theta(n^2)$

Appending each character to the end of a StringBuilder takes $\Theta(1)$ amortized time. So, starting from an empty StringBuilder, appending n^2 characters takes $\Theta(n^2)$ time.

- 4. String sorts.
 - (4.1) LSD radix sort (after 1 pass)
 - (4.2) 3-way radix quicksort (after the first partitioning step)
 - (4.3) LSD radix sort (after 2 passes)
 - (4.4) MSD radix sort (after the second call to key-indexed counting)
 - (4.5) MSD radix sort (after the first call to key-indexed counting)

5 (÷	ranh	search.

- (5.1) 0 2 5 4 6 3 8 9 7 1
- (5.2) 5 2 8 9 3 6 1 7 4 0
- (5.3) No. The reverse of the DFS postorder (0 4 7 1 6 3 9 8 2 5) is a topological order. A digraph has a topological order if and only if it has no directed cycle.
- (5.4) 0 2 4 5 6 7 3 9 1 8

6. Minimum spanning trees.

- (6.1) 0 10 20 40 50 80 100 110
- (6.2) 10 20 50 40 80 0 100 110

7. Shortest paths.

- (7.1) 0 1 3 2 5 4

 Dijkstra's algorithm relaxes the vertices in increasing order of distance from s.
- (7.2) 0 3 1 2 4 5 The topological order happens to be unique (because of the path $0 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$).
- (7.3) 110, pass 2

8. Self-adjusting data structures.

This question was based on a guest lecture in Fall 2021.

- (8.1) \square \boxtimes \square \boxtimes
- $(8.2) \boxtimes \square \boxtimes \boxtimes$
- $(8.3) \boxtimes \square \square \boxtimes$
- (8.4) Bob Tarjan

9. Dynamic programming.

(9.1) A C D H L

The last three letters can be permuted in any order.

 $(9.2) \Theta(n)$

10. Ternary search tries.

- (10.1) I, IN, OF, TIP, TRIE, TRY
- (10.2) JADWIN, MATHEY, NASSAU
- $(10.3) \boxtimes \square \square \square$

11. Data compression.

- (11.1) S P A R S E
- (11.2) C C B B C C A D
- (11.3) 41 42 81 83 82 85 80
- (11.4) C A B

The compression ratios for A, B, and C are 16/255, 8, and 8/255, respectively.

(11.5) ABC

The compression ratios for A, B, and C are 1.4/8, 1.8/8, and 2/8, respectively.

12. Min-weight crossing edge.

- (12.1) 0, 1, 4, 5 or 2, 3, 6, 7
- (12.2) Remove edge e = v w from the MST. This defines a cut, with the vertices in the connected component containing v on one side and the vertices in the connected component containing w on the other. This cut achieves our goal:
 - By construction of the cut, e is a crossing edge.
 - No other crossing edge f could have smaller weight because, if it did, we could replace e with f in our MST and obtain a strictly lighter spanning tree—a contradiction.

To construct the cut efficiently:

- Create a new edge-weighted graph H with V vertices, adding all edges in the MST except e.
- Run DFS in H from either vertex v or w.
- The marked vertices define one side of the cut.

In this application, DFS takes $\Theta(V)$ time because the number of edges in H is V-2, not E.

Alternative solutions. There are a few variants that also work:

- \bullet Run DFS from any vertex—it doesn't need to be v or w.
- Use BFS instead of DFS.
- Instead of creating H, run DFS in the MST graph, but modify DFS to skip over edge e.
- When performing the graph search from either v or w, consider only those edges whose weight is strictly less than the weight of e. (This might produce a different cut than the approach discussed earlier.)

13. Writing seminar preferences.

The key idea is to treat the preferences for each student as an 8-digit number over an alphabet of size m. To check for duplicates:

- Sort the *n* numbers using LSD radix sort.
- Check adjacent entries for duplicates.

Sorting takes $\Theta(m+n)$ time because R=m and the number of characters per string is a constant. Checking adjacent entries takes a total of $\Theta(n)$ time because comparing two strings, each of length 8, takes O(1) time.

Partial-credit solutions.

- MSD radix sort. While it makes $\Theta(m+n)$ calls to charAt() in the worst case, it can still take $\Theta(mn)$ time, e.g., if there are $\Theta(n)$ pairs of students with equal preferences lists.
- Compare-base sorting. Mergesort (or heapsort) makes $\Theta(n \log n)$ compares in the worst case. Each compare takes constant time, so the overall running time for sorting is $O(n \log n)$.
- 3-way radix quicksort. All of the partitions might be degenerate, which could lead to $\Theta(n^2)$ time in the worst case. Even probabilistically, the expected running could be $\Theta(n \log n)$ if $\Theta(n)$ students have different first choices.
- Multiway trie. Inserting the n strings into an R-way trie uses $\Theta(Rn)$ space (and time). In this application, R = m, which leads to $\Theta(mn)$ space (and time), not $\Theta(m+n)$.