# Kinematics & Dynamics

Thomas Funkhouser
Princeton University
C0S 426, Fall 2000

## Overview

- Kinematics
  - Considers only motion
  - Determined by positions, velocities, accelerations
- Dynamics
  - Considers underlying forces
  - Compute motion from initial conditions and physics

## Example: 2-Link Structure



- Two links connected by rotational joints




## Forward Kinematics

- Animator specifies joint angles: $\Theta_1$ and $\Theta_2$
- Computer finds positions of end-effector: X




$$X = (l_1 \cos\Theta_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_1 + \Theta_2), l_1 \sin\Theta_1 + l_2 \sin(\Theta_1 + \Theta_2))$$

## Forward Kinematics



- Joint motions can be specified by spline curves




## Forward Kinematics

- Joint motions can be specified by initial conditions and velocities




$$\Theta_1(0) = 60^\circ \qquad \Theta_2(0) = 250^\circ$$

$$\frac{d\Theta_1}{dt} = 1.2 \qquad \frac{d\Theta_2}{dt} = -0.1$$

## Example: 2-Link Structure



- What if animator knows position of “end-effector”




## Inverse Kinematics

- Animator specifies end-effector positions: X
- Computer finds joint angles: $\Theta_1$ and $\Theta_2$:




$$\Theta_2 = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{x^2 + x^2 - l_1^{\,2} - l_2^{\,2}}{2 l_1 l_2}\right)$$

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{-(l_2 \sin(\Theta_2))x + (l_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_2))y}{(l_2 \sin(\Theta_2))y + (l_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_2))x}$$

## Inverse Kinematics



- End-effector postions can be specified by spline curves




## Inverse Kinematics

- Problem for more complex structures
  - System of equations is usually under-defined
  - Multiple solutions




Three unknowns: $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$
Two equations: x, y

## Inverse Kinematics



- Solution for more complex structures:
  - Find best solution (e.g., minimize energy in motion)
  - Non-linear optimization




## Inverse Kinematics

"Ballboy"

Fujito, Milliron, Ngan, & Sanocki
Princeton University

## Summary of Kinematics

- Forward kinematics
  - Specify conditions (joint angles)
  - Compute positions of end-effectors
- Inverse kinematics
  - “Goal-directed” motion
  - Specify goal positions of end effectors
  - Compute conditions required to achieve goals

Inverse kinematics provides easier specification for many animation tasks, but it is computationally more difficult

## Overview

- Kinematics
  - Considers only motion
  - Determined by positions, velocities, accelerations
- Dynamics
  - Considers underlying forces
  - Compute motion from initial conditions and physics

## Dynamics



- Simulation of physics insures realism of motion



Lasseter '87

## Spacetime Constraints



- Animator specifies constraints:
  - What the character's physical structure is
    - » e.g., articulated figure
  - What the character has to do
    - » e.g., jump from here to there within time t
  - What other physical structures are present
    - » e.g., floor to push off and land
  - How the motion should be performed
    - » e.g., minimize energy



## Spacetime Constraints

- Computer finds the “best” physical motion satisfying constraints
- Example: particle with jet propulsion
  - **x**(t) is position of particle at time t
  - **f**(t) is force of jet propulsion at time t
  - Particle’s equation of motion is:



$$mx'' - f - mg = 0$$

  - Suppose we want to move from a to b within $t_0$ to $t_1$ with minimum jet fuel:

$$\text{Minimize } \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left| f(t)^2 \right| dt \text{ subject to } x(t_0)=a \text{ and } x(t_1)=b$$

Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints

- Discretize time steps:

$$x'_i = \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{h}$$

$$x''_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

$$m\left( x''_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}}{h^2} \right) - f_i - mg = 0$$

$$\text{Minimize } h\sum_i \left| f_i \right|^2 \text{ subject to } x_0=a \text{ and } x_1=b$$

Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints



- Solve with iterative optimization methods



Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints



- Advantages:
  - Free animator from having to specify details of physically realistic motion with spline curves
  - Easy to vary motions due to new parameters and/or new constraints
- Challenges:
  - Specifying constraints and objective functions
  - Avoiding local minima during optimization

## Spacetime Constraints



- Adapting motion:



Original Jump



Heavier Base

Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints



- Adapting motion:



Hurdle

Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints



- Adapting motion:



Ski Jump

Witkin & Kass ‘88

## Spacetime Constraints



- Editing motion:



Li et al. ‘99

## Spacetime Constraints

- Morphing motion:

Gleicher '98

## Spacetime Constraints

- Advantages:
  - Free animator from having to specify details of physically realistic motion with spline curves
  - Easy to vary motions due to new parameters and/or new constraints
- Challenges:
  - Specifying constraints and objective functions
  - Avoiding local minima during optimization

## Dynamics

- Other physical simulations:
  - Rigid bodies
  - Soft bodies
  - Cloth
  - Liquids
  - Gases
  - etc.

Cloth
*(Baraff & Witkin '98)*



Hot Gases
*(Foster & Metaxas '97)*

## Summary

- Kinematics
  - Forward kinematics
    - » Animator specifies joints (hard)
    - » Compute end-effectors (easy - assn 5!)
  - Inverse kinematics
    - » Animator specifies end-effectors (easier)
    - » Solve for joints (harder)
- Dynamics
  - Space-time constraints
    - » Animator specifies structures & constraints (easiest)
    - » Solve for motion (hardest)
  - Also other physical simulations