# Did I get it right? Part 3: Induction for Lists

## Speaker: David Walker COS 326 Princeton University



http://~cos326/notes/evaluation.php http://~cos326/notes/reasoning.php

> slides copyright 2020 David Walker and Andrew W. Appel permission granted to reuse these slides for non-commercial educational purposes



#### Last Time --> This Time

Last time, we saw some proofs can be done by induction over natural numbers

It turns out the structure of natural numbers is similar in many ways to the structure of lists.

In this lecture, we'll take a look at how to do a similarly structured proofs over lists.



### A Couple of Useful Functions

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs



length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

Proof strategy:

- Proof by induction on the list xs
  - recall, a list may be of these two things:
    - [] (the empty list)
    - hd::tl (a non-empty list, where tl is shorter)
  - a proof must cover both cases: [] and hd :: tl
  - in the second case, you will often use the inductive hypothesis on the smaller list tl
  - otherwise as before:
    - use folding/eval of OCaml definitions
    - use your knowledge of OCaml evaluation
    - use lemmas/properties you know of basic operations like :: and +

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

case xs = [ ]:

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
  length (cat [ ] ys)
```

(LHS of theorem)

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
    length (cat [ ] ys)
    = length ys
```

(LHS of theorem) (evaluate cat)

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = []:
    length (cat [] ys)
    = length ys
    = 0 + (length ys)
```

(LHS of theorem) (evaluate cat) (arithmetic)

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
    length (cat [ ] ys)
    = length ys
    = 0 + (length ys)
    = (length [ ]) + (length ys)
```

case done!

(LHS of theorem) (evaluate cat) (arithmetic) (eval length)

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

case xs = hd::tl

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd::tl
    IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

```
let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs
```

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

==

```
case xs = hd::tl
    IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

```
length (cat (hd::tl) ys) (LHS of theorem)
```

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd::tl
IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

```
length (cat (hd::tl) ys) (LHS of theorem)
== length (hd :: (cat tl ys)) (evaluate cat, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
```

==

```
let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs
```

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd::tl
    IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

```
length (cat (hd::tl) ys)(LHS of t== length (hd :: (cat tl ys))(evaluate== 1 + length (cat tl ys)(evaluate==
```

```
(LHS of theorem)
(evaluate cat, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
(evaluate length, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
```

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd::tl
    IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

```
length (cat (hd::tl) ys)
== length (hd :: (cat tl ys))
== 1 + length (cat tl ys)
== 1 + (length tl + length ys)
```

```
(LHS of theorem)
(evaluate cat, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
(evaluate length, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
(by IH)
```

==

let rec length xs =
match xs with
| [] -> 0
| x::xs -> 1 + length xs

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd::tl
    IH: length (cat tl ys) = length tl + length ys
```

length (cat (hd::tl) ys)(LHS of theorem)== length (hd :: (cat tl ys))(evaluate cat, take 2<sup>nd</sup>== 1 + length (cat tl ys)(evaluate length, take== 1 + (length tl + length ys)(by IH)== length (hd::tl) + length ys(reparenthesizing and

(LHS of theorem)
(evaluate cat, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
(evaluate length, take 2<sup>nd</sup> branch)
(by IH)
(reparenthesizing and evaling length in reverse we have RHS with hd::tl for xs)

#### case done!

let rec length xs =
match xs with
[] -> 0
[ x::xs -> 1 + length xs

```
let rec cat xs1 xs2 =
  match xs1 with
  [] -> xs2
  [ hd::tl -> hd :: cat tl xs2
```

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

Proof strategy:

- Proof by induction on the list xs? why not on the list ys?
  - answering that question, may be the hardest part of the proof!
  - it tells you how to split up your cases
  - sometimes you just need to do some trial and error

let rec length xs =
match xs with
| [] -> 0
| x::xs -> 1 + length xs

let rec cat xs1 xs2 = match xs1 with | [] -> xs2 | hd::tl -> hd :: cat tl xs2 pattern matching on first argument. In the theorem: cat xs ys Hence induction on xs. Case split the same y as the program

a clue:

## Be careful with the Induction Hypothesis!

18



### Be careful with the Induction Hypothesis!

Theorem: For all lists xs and ys,

length(cat xs ys) = length xs + length ys

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

In COS 326, the induction hypothesis will typically be a function of *one variable* (in this case, xs)

In more complicated proofs, the induction hypothesis is a function of one structure where the ordering of elements in the structure is wellfounded (there are no infinite descending chains).

EG: Induction on pairs of naturals (x, y) where pairs are ordered lexicographically:

(x1, y1) > (x2, y2) iff x1 > x2 or (x1 = x2 and y1 > y2)



add\_all (add\_all xs a) b == add\_all xs (a+b)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl

add\_all (add\_all xs a) b == add\_all xs (a+b)

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[ [ ] -> [ ]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
```

```
add_all (add_all [] a) b (LHS of theorem)
```



```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
```

```
add_all (add_all [] a) b
== add_all [ ] b
==
```

(LHS of theorem) (by evaluation of add\_all)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = [ ]:
```

```
add_all (add_all [] a) b
== add_all [] b
== []
==
```

(LHS of theorem)
(by evaluation of add\_all)
(by evaluation of add\_all)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[ [ ] -> [ ]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

case xs = [ ]:

```
add_all (add_all [] a) b
== add_all [] b
== []
== add_all [] (a + b)
```

(LHS of theorem)(by evaluation of add\_all)(by evaluation of add\_all)(by evaluation of add\_all)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[ [ ] -> [ ]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

#### Another List example

Theorem: For all lists xs,

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

```
add_all (add_all (hd :: tl) a) b
```

(LHS of theorem)

#### ==

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add all tl c

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

```
add_all (add_all (hd :: tl) a) b
== add_all ((hd+a) :: add_all tl a) b
==
```

(LHS of theorem) (by eval inner add\_all)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

```
add_all (add_all (hd :: tl) a) b
== add_all ((hd+a) :: add_all tl a) b
== (hd+a+b) :: (add_all (add_all tl a) b)
==
```

(LHS of theorem)
(by eval inner add\_all)
(by eval outer add\_all)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

add\_all (add\_all (hd :: tl) a) b == add\_all ((hd+a) :: add\_all tl a) b == (hd+a+b) :: (add\_all (add\_all tl a) b) == (hd+a+b) :: add\_all tl (a+b) (LHS of theorem)
(by eval inner add\_all)
(by eval outer add\_all)
(by IH)

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[ [ ] -> [ ]
 hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

add\_all (add\_all (hd :: tl) a) b == add\_all ((hd+a) :: add\_all tl a) b == (hd+a+b) :: (add\_all (add\_all tl a) b) == (hd+a+b) :: add\_all tl (a+b) == (hd+(a+b)) :: add\_all tl (a+b) (LHS of theorem)
(by eval inner add\_all)
(by eval outer add\_all)
(by IH)
(associativity of + )

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl

```
add_all (add_all xs a) b == add_all xs (a+b)
```

**Proof:** By induction on xs.

```
case xs = hd :: tl:
```

```
add_all (add_all (hd :: tl) a) b
== add_all ((hd+a) :: add_all tl a) b
== (hd+a+b) :: (add_all (add_all tl a) b)
== (hd+a+b) :: add_all tl (a+b)
== (hd+(a+b)) :: add_all tl (a+b)
== add_all (hd::tl) (a+b)
```

```
(LHS of theorem)
(by eval inner add_all)
(by eval outer add_all)
(by IH)
(associativity of + )
(by (reverse) eval of add_all)
```

let rec add\_all xs c =
match xs with
[[]->[]
hd::tl -> (hd+c)::add\_all tl c

#### Template for Inductive Proofs on Lists

Theorem: For all lists xs, property of xs.

**Proof:** By induction on lists xs.

```
Case: xs == []:
```

Case: xs == hd :: tl:

There are other ways to cover all lists: case for [], case for x1::[], case for x1::x2::tl'

cases must cover all lists

But that's the same as covering [] and x1::tl ...

... and then just splitting x1::tl into 2 additional c where tl is [] or tl is x2::tl' ... Template for Inductive Proofs on *any datatype* 

type ty = A of ... | B of ... | C of ... | D

Theorem: For all ty x, property of x.

**Proof:** By induction on x of type ty.

```
Case: x == A(...):
...
Case: x == B(...):
...
Case: x == C(...):
...
Case: x == D:
...
```

cases must cover all the constructors of the datatype



## SUMMARY



Proofs about programs are structured similarly to the programs:

- types tell you the kinds of values your proofs/programs operate over
- types suggest how to break down proofs/programs into cases
- when programs use recursion on smaller values they terminate and their proofs appeal to the inductive hypothesis on smaller values

Key proof ideas:

- expression evaluation: if e evaluates to e' then e == e
- substitution of equals for equals
- use well-established axioms about primitives (+, -, %, etc)
- use proof by induction to prove correctness of recursive functions
- split proofs about complex data into cases; be sure to cover all cases

35

