## Speaker: David Walker COS 326 Princeton University



slides copyright 2020 David Walker and Andre v Appel permission granted to reuse these slides for non-commercial educational purposes

Often, we either have a thing .... or we don't:





Often, we either have a thing .... or we don't:



#### Option types are used in this situation: t option



Often, we either have a thing .... or we don't:



Option types are used in this situation: t option

There's one way to build a pair, but two ways to build an optional value:

- None -- when we've got nothing
- Some v -- when we've got a value v of type t



















## Remember the typing rule for if

if e1 : bool
and e2 : t and e3 : t (for some type t)
then if e1 then e2 else e3 : t

Returning an optional value from an if statement:









slope : point -> point -> float option

let print\_slope (p1:point) (p2:point) : unit =



15





slope : point -> point -> float option

let print\_slope (p1:point) (p2:point) : unit =
 match slope p1 p2 with



17



Vertical bar separates possibilities











```
slope : point -> point -> float option
let print_slope (p1:point) (p2:point) : unit =
  match slope p1 p2 with
  | Some s ->
     print_string ("Slope: " ^ string_of_float s)
  | None ->
     print_string "Vertical line.\n"
```



21

## Writing Functions Over Typed Data

- Steps to writing functions over typed data:
  - 1. Write down the function and argument names
  - 2. Write down argument and result types
  - 3. Write down some examples (in a comment)
  - 4. Deconstruct input data structures
  - 5. Build new output values
  - 6. Clean up by identifying repeated patterns
- For option types:

deconstruct with:

match ... with | None -> ... | Some s -> ...

when the input has type t option,

when the output has type t option, construct with:



## **MORE PATTERN MATCHING**



```
type point = float * float
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   let (x1,y1) = p1 in
   let (x2,y2) = p2 in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```



```
type point = float * float
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   let (x1,y1) = p1 in
   let (x2,y2) = p2 in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```

(x2, y2) is an example of a pattern – a pattern for tuples.

So let declarations can contain patterns just like match statements

The difference is that a match allows you to consider multiple different data shapes

```
type point = float * float
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   match p1 with
   | (x1,y1) ->
        let (x2,y2) = p2 in
        sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```

There is only 1 possibility when matching a pair



We can nest one match expression inside another.

(We can nest any expression inside any other, if the expressions have the right types)

## Better Style: Complex Patterns



Pattern for a pair of pairs: ((variable, variable), (variable, variable)) All the variable names in the pattern must be different.

## Better Style: Complex Patterns



A pattern must be consistent with the type of the expression between match ... with We use (p3, p4) here instead of ((x1, y1), (x2, y2))

## Pattern-matching in function parameters

```
type point = float * float
let distance ((x1,y1):point) ((x2,y2):point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```

Function parameters are patterns too!



### What's the best style?

```
let distance (p1:point) (p2:point) : float =
  let square x = x *. x in
  let (x1,y1) = p1 in
  let (x2,y2) = p2 in
  sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```

```
let distance ((x1,y1):point) ((x2,y2):point) : float =
   let square x = x *. x in
   sqrt (square (x2 -. x1) +. square (y2 -. y1))
```

Either of these is reasonably clear and compact.

Code with unnecessary nested matches/lets is particularly ugly to read. You'll be judged on code style in this class.

## What's the best style?



This is how I'd do it ... the types for tuples + the tuple patterns are a little ugly/verbose ... but for now in class, use the explicit type annotations. We will loosen things up later in the semester.



## **Combining patterns**

```
type point = float * float
(* returns a nearby point in the graph if one exists *)
nearby : graph -> point -> point option
let printer (g:graph) (p:point) : unit =
 match nearby q p with
  | None -> print string "could not find one\n"
  | Some (x, y) ->
      print float x;
      print string ", ";
      print float y;
      print newline();
```



### **Other Patterns**

#### Constant values can be used as patterns



matches anything it is the "don't care" pattern



### Exercises

Exercise 1: What is the type of foo below? Of bar? (bar is used but isn't shown)



Exercise 2: Consider these two types:

type t = (bool \* bool) option
type s = (bool option) \* (bool option)

Do they contain the same "amount" of information?

Write a function to convert data with type t to type s.

And another function to convert data with type s back to type t.

What happens?

Explain when a program you write might use s instead of t and vice versa.

