

Simple Functions

Speaker: David Walker

COS 326

Princeton University



Defining functions

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = 1 + x
```



Defining functions

let keyword

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = 1 + x
```

function name

argument name

type of argument

type of result

expression
that computes
value produced
by function

Note: recursive functions with begin with **"let rec"**



Defining functions

Nonrecursive functions:

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = 1 + x
let add_two (x:int) : int = add_one (add_one x)
```

definition of add_one
must come before use



Defining functions

Nonrecursive functions:


```
let add_one (x:int) : int = 1 + x  
let add_two (x:int) : int = add_one (add_one x)
```

With a local definition:

```
let add_two' (x:int) : int =  
  let add_one x = 1 + x in  
  add_one (add_one x)
```

local function definition
hidden from clients

I left off the types.
O'Caml figures them out

Good style: types on
top-level definitions 

Types for Functions

Some functions:

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = 1 + x
let add_two (x:int) : int = add_one (add_one x)
let add (x:int) (y:int) : int = x + y
```

function with two arguments



Types for functions:

```
add_one : int -> int
add_two : int -> int
add : int -> int -> int
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

Example:

```
add_one : int -> int
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add_one (3 + 4) : int
```



Multi-argument Functions

Definition:

```
let add (x:int) (y:int) : int =  
  x + y
```

Type:

```
add : int -> int -> int
```



Multi-argument Functions

Definition:

```
let add (x:int) (y:int) : int =  
  x + y
```

Type:

```
add : int -> int -> int
```

Same as:

```
add : int -> (int -> int)
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

same as:

$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

Example:

```
add : int -> int -> int
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add (3 + 4) : ???
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

same as:

$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

Example:

```
add : int -> (int -> int)
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add (3 + 4) :
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

same as:

$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

Example:

```
add : int -> (int -> int)
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add (3 + 4) : int -> int
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

same as:

$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

Example:

```
add : int -> int -> int
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add (3 + 4) : int -> int
```

```
(add (3 + 4)) 7 : int
```



Rule for type-checking functions

General Rule:

If a function $f : T1 \rightarrow T2$
and an argument $e : T1$
then $f e : T2$

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

same as:

$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

Example:

```
add : int -> int -> int
```

```
3 + 4 : int
```

```
add (3 + 4) : int -> int
```

```
add (3 + 4) 7 : int
```

extra parens
not necessary



One key thing to remember

- If you have a function f with a type like this:

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F$$

- Then each time you add an argument, you can get the type of the result by knocking off the first type in the series

$$f\ a1 : B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \quad (\text{if } a1 : A)$$
$$f\ a1\ a2 : C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \quad (\text{if } a2 : B)$$
$$f\ a1\ a2\ a3 : D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \quad (\text{if } a3 : C)$$
$$f\ a1\ a2\ a3\ a4\ a5 : F \quad (\text{if } a4 : D \text{ and } a5 : E)$$


DEBUGGING TYPE ERRORS



Debugging Type Errors

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    ...  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```



Debugging Type Errors

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    ...  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```

ocamlbuild says:

```
Error: This expression has type int but an  
expression was expected of type string
```



Type Checking Rules

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    ...  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```

ocamlbuild says:

```
Error: This expression has type int but an  
expression was expected of type string
```

merlin inside emacs points to the error above and gives a second error:


```
Error: This expression has type string but an  
expression was expected of type int
```



Type Checking Rules

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    ...  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```



???

ocamlbuild says:

```
Error: This expression has type int but an  
expression was expected of type string
```

merlin inside emacs points to the error above and gives a second error:

```
Error: This expression has type string but an  
expression was expected of type int
```



Type Checking Rules

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

they don't
agree!

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    0  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```

???

ocamlbuild says:

```
Error: This expression has type int but an  
expression was expected of type string
```

merlin inside emacs points to the error above and gives a second error:

```
Error: This expression has type string but an  
expression was expected of type int
```



Type Checking Rules

Type errors can be confusing sometimes. Consider:

they don't
agree!

```
let rec concatn s n =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    0  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```

???

The type checker points to *some* place where there is *disagreement*.

Moral: *Sometimes you need to look in an earlier branch for the error* even though the type checker points to a later branch.
The type checker doesn't know what the user wants.



A Tactic: Add Typing Annotations

```
let rec concatn (s:string) (n:int) : string =  
  if n <= 0 then  
    0  
  else  
    s ^ (concatn s (n-1))
```

Error: This expression has type int but an expression was expected of type string



Exercise

Given the following code:

```
let munge b x =  
  if not b then  
    string_of_int x  
  else  
    "hello"  
  
let y = 17
```

What are the types of the following expressions?
(And what must the types of f and g be?)

```
munge : ??  
  
munge (y > 17) : ??  
  
munge true (f (munge false 3)) : ??  
  
munge true (g munge) : ??
```

