

COS 217: Introduction to Programming Systems

Assembly Language

Local Variables and Function Calls

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

1



Goals of this Lecture

Help you learn:
- Function call problems
- AARCH64 solutions
  - Pertinent instructions and conventions

2



Function Call Problems

(1) Calling and returning
- How does caller function jump to callee function?
- How does callee function jump back to the right place in caller function?

(2) Passing arguments
- How does caller function pass arguments to callee function?

(3) Storing local variables
- Where does callee function store its local variables?

(4) Returning a value
- How does callee function send return value back to caller function?
- How does caller function access the return value?

(5) Optimization
- How do caller and callee function minimize memory access?

3



Running Example

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```

Calls standard C `labs()` function
- Returns absolute value of given `long`

4



CALLING AND RETURNING

5



Problem 1: Calling and Returning

How does caller call the callee?

i.e., Jump to the address of the callee's first instruction

How does callee get back to the right place in the caller?

i.e., Jump to the instruction immediately following the most-recently-executed call

```
… absadd(3L, -4L);
…
```

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```

6



iClicker Question

Q: Based on last lecture, what instructions would we use to “jump” into and back out of the callee?

```
… absadd(3L, -4L);
…
```

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```

A. 2 conditional branches
B. 1 conditional branch, then 1 unconditional branch
C. 1 unconditional branch, then 1 conditional branch
D. 2 unconditional branches
E. Something more complicated



Attempted Solution: b Instruction

Attempted solution: caller and callee use b (unconditional branch) instruction

```
f:
  …
  b g      // Call g
fReturnPoint:
  …
```

```
g:
  …
  b fReturnPoint     // Return
```



Attempted Solution: b Instruction

Problem: callee may be called by multiple callers

```
f:
  …
  b g      // Call g
fReturnPoint:
  …
```

```
h:
  …
  b g      // Call g
hReturnPoint:
  …
```

```
g:
  …
  b ???      // Return
```



Partial Solution: Use Register

`br` (branch register) instruction branches to address in X arg

```
f1:
  adr x30, f1ReturnPoint
  b g          // Call g
f1ReturnPoint:
  …
```

```
f2:
  adr x30, f2ReturnPoint
  b g          // Call g
f2ReturnPoint:
  …
```

```
g:
  …
  br x30    // Return
```

Correctly returns to either f1 or f2



Partial Solution: Auto Register

`bl` (branch and link) instruction stores return point in X30
`ret` (return) instruction returns to address in X30

```
f1:
  bl g        // Call g
  …
```

```
f2:
  bl g        // Call g
  …
```

```
g:
  …
  ret         // Return
```

Correctly returns to either f1 or f2



Still not quite there yet ...

Problem: Cannot handle nested function calls

```
f:
  bl g        // Call g
  ...         // location 1
```

```
g:
  bl h        // Call h
  ...         // location 2
  ret         // Return
```

```
h:
  ... ... ...
  ret         // Return
```

Problem if f() calls g()
then g() calls h()

Return address g() → f() is lost



Rest of Solution: Use the Stack

Observations:

- May need to store many return addresses
  - The number of nested function calls is not known in advance
  - A return address must be saved for as long as the invocation of this function is live, and discarded thereafter
- Stored return addresses are destroyed in reverse order of creation
  - `f()` calls `g()` ⇒ return addr for `g` is stored
  - `g()` calls `h()` ⇒ return addr for `h` is stored
  - `h()` returns to `g()` ⇒ return addr for `h` is destroyed
  - `g()` returns to `f()` ⇒ return addr for `g` is destroyed
- LIFO data structure (stack) is appropriate

AARCH64 solution:

- Use the STACK section of memory, usually accessed via SP



Saving Link (Return) Addresses

Push X30 on stack when entering a function
Pop X30 from stack before returning from a function

```
f:
   // Save X30
   ...
   bl g // Call g
   ...
   // Restore X30
   ret
```

```
g:
   // Save X30
   ...
   bl h // Call h
   ...
   // Restore X30
   ret
```

```
h:
   ...
   ret
```



Stack Operations

SP (stack pointer) register points to top of stack

- Can be used in `ldr` and `str` instructions
- Can be used in arithmetic instructions
- AARCH64 requirement: must be multiple of 16



Stack Operations

To create a new stack frame:

- Decrement `sp`
  `sub sp, sp, 16`



Stack Operations

To use the stack frame:

- Load/store at or offset from `sp`
  `str x30, [sp]`
  …
  `ldr x30, [sp]`



Stack Operations

To delete the stack frame:

- Increment `sp`
  `add sp, sp, 16`



Saving Link (Return) Addresses

Push X30 on stack when entering a function
Pop X30 from stack before returning from a function

```
f:
   // Save X30
   sub sp, sp, 16
   str x30, [sp]
   ...
   bl g  # Call g
   ...
   // Restore X30
   ldr x30, [sp]
   add sp, sp, 16
   ret
```

```
g:
   // Save X30
   sub sp, sp, 16
   str x30, [sp]
   ...
   bl h  # Call h
   ...
   // Restore X30
   ldr x30, [sp]
   add sp, sp, 16
   ret
```

```
h:
   ...
   ret
```



Running Example

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   sub sp, sp, 16
   str x30, [sp]
   // long absA, absB, sum
   ...
   // absA = labs(a)
   ...
   bl labs
   ...
   // absB = labs(b)
   ...
   bl labs
   ...
   // sum = absA + absB
   ...
   // return sum
   ...
   ldr x30, [sp]
   add sp, sp, 16
   ret
```

PASSING ARGUMENTS



Problem 2: Passing Arguments

Problem:

- How does caller pass arguments to callee?
- How does callee accept parameters from caller?

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```



ARM Solution 1: Use the Stack

Observations (déjà vu):

- May need to store many argument sets
  - The number of argument sets is not known in advance
  - If this function calls any others, argument set must be saved for as long as the invocation of this function is live, and discarded thereafter
- Stored argument sets are destroyed in reverse order of creation
- LIFO data structure (stack) is appropriate



ARM Solution 2: Use Registers

AARCH64 solution:

- Pass first 8 (integer or address) arguments in registers for efficiency
  - X0..X7 and/or W0..W7
- More than 8 arguments ⇒
  - Pass arguments 9, 10, … on the stack
  - (Beyond scope of COS 217)
- Arguments are structures ⇒
  - Pass arguments on the stack
  - (Beyond scope of COS 217)

Callee function then saves arguments to stack

- Or maybe not!
  - See “optimization” later this lecture
- Callee accesses arguments as positive offsets vs. SP



Running Example

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   sub sp, sp, 32
   str x30, [sp]        // Save x30
   str x0, [sp, 16]     // Save a
   str x1, [sp, 8]      // Save b
   // long absA, absB, sum
   ...
   // absA = labs(a)
   ldr x0, [sp, 16]     // Load a
   bl labs
   ...
   // absB = labs(b)
   ldr x0, [sp, 8]      // Load b
   bl labs
   ...
   // sum = absA + absB
   ...
   // return sum
   ...
   ldr x30, [sp]        // Restore x30
   add sp, sp, 32
   ret
```

STORING LOCAL VARIABLES



Problem 3: Storing Local Variables

Where does callee function store its local variables?

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```



ARM Solution: Use the Stack

Observations (this is getting repetitive …):

- May need to store many local variable sets
  - The number of local variable sets is not known in advance
  - Local variable sets must be saved
    for as long as the invocation of this function is live, and discarded thereafter
- Stored local variable sets are destroyed in reverse order of creation
- LIFO data structure (stack) is appropriate

AARCH64 solution:

- Use the STACK section of memory
- Or maybe not!
  - See later this lecture



Running Example

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, 48
   str x30, [sp]     // Save x30
   str x0, [sp, 16]  // Save a
   str x1, [sp, 8]   // Save b
   // absA = labs(a)
   ldr x0, [sp, 16]  // Load a
   bl labs
   ...
   // absB = labs(b)
   ldr x0, [sp, 8]   // Load b
   bl labs
   ...
   // sum = absA + absB
   ldr x0, [sp, 40]  // Load absA
   ldr x1, [sp, 32]  // Load absB
   add x0, x0, x1
   str x0, [sp, 24]  // Store sum
   // return sum
   ...
   ldr x30, [sp]     // Restore x30
   add sp, sp, 48
   ret
```

RETURNING A VALUE

Problem 4: Return Values

Problem:

- How does callee function send return value back to caller function?
- How does caller function access return value?

```
long absadd(long a, long b)
{
   long absA, absB, sum;
   absA = labs(a);
   absB = labs(b);
   sum = absA + absB;
   return sum;
}
```

ARM Solution: Use X0 / W0

In principle

- Store return value in stack frame of caller

Or, for efficiency

- Known small size ⇒ store return value in register
- Other ⇒ store return value in stack

AARCH64 convention

- Integer or address:
  - Store return value in X0 / W0
- Floating-point number:
  - Store return value in floating-point register
  - (Beyond scope of COS 217)
- Structure:
  - Store return value in memory pointed to by X8
  - (Beyond scope of COS 217)

Running Example

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, 48
   str x30, [sp]     // Save x30
   str x0, [sp, 16]  // Save a
   str x1, [sp, 8]   // Save b
   // absA = labs(a)
   ldr x0, [sp, 16]  // Load a
   bl labs
   str x0, [sp, 40]  // Store absA
   // absB = labs(b)
   ldr x0, [sp, 8]   // Load b
   bl labs
   str x0, [sp, 32]  // Store absB
   // sum = absA + absB
   ldr x0, [sp, 40]  // Load absA
   ldr x1, [sp, 32]  // Load absB
   add x0, x0, x1
   str x0, [sp, 24]  // Store sum
   // return sum
   ldr x0, [sp, 24]  // Load sum
   ldr x30, [sp]     // Restore x30
   add sp, sp, 48
   ret
```



(More to come on this general topic in Lecture 21 next week.)

OPTIMIZATION

Problem 5: Optimization

Observation: Accessing memory is expensive

- Orders of magnitude more expensive than accessing registers
- For efficiency, want to store parameters and local variables in registers (and not in memory) when possible

Observation: Registers are a finite resource

- In principle: Each function should have its own registers
- In reality: All functions share same small set of registers

Problem: How do caller and callee use same set of registers without interference?

- Callee may use register that the caller also is using
- When callee returns control to caller, old register contents may have been lost
- Caller function cannot continue where it left off

ARM Solution: Register Conventions

Callee-save registers

- X19..X29 (or W19..W29)
- Callee function must preserve contents
- If necessary…
  - Callee saves to stack near beginning
  - Callee restores from stack near end

ARM Solution: Register Conventions

Callee-save registers

- X19..X29 (or W19..W29)
- Callee function must preserve contents
- If necessary…
  - Callee saves to stack near beginning
  - Callee restores from stack near end

Caller-save registers

- X8..X18 (or W8..W18) – plus parameters in X0..X7
- Callee function can change contents
- If necessary…
  - Caller saves to stack before call
  - Caller restores from stack after call

Running Example

Parameter handling in unoptimized version:

- absadd() accepts parameters (a and b) in X0 and X1
- At beginning, absadd() copies contents of X0 and X1 to stack
- Body of absadd() uses stack
- At end, absadd() pops parameters from stack

Parameter handling in optimized version:

- absadd() accepts parameters (a and b) in X0 and X1
- At beginning, copies contents of X0 and X1 to X19 and X20
- Body of absadd() uses X19 and X20
- Must be careful:
  - absadd() cannot corrupt contents of X19 and X20
  - So absadd() must save X19 and X20 near beginning, and restore near end

Running Example

Local variable handling in unoptimized version:

- At beginning, absadd() allocates space for local variables (absA, absB, sum) on stack
- Body of absadd() uses stack
- At end, absadd() pops local variables from stack

Local variable handling in optimized version:

- absadd() keeps local variables in X21, X22, X23
- Body of absadd() uses X21, X22, X23
- Must be careful:
  - absadd() cannot change contents of X21, X22, or X23
  - So absadd() must save X21, X22, and X23 near beginning, and restore near end

Running Example

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, 48
   str x30, [sp]     // Save x30
   str x19, [sp, 8]  // Save x19, use for a
   str x20, [sp, 16] // Save x20, use for b
   str x21, [sp, 24] // Save x21, use for absA
   str x22, [sp, 32] // Save x22, use for absB
   str x23, [sp, 40] // Save x23, use for sum
   mov x19, x0       // Store a in x19
   mov x20, x1       // Store b in x20
   // absA = labs(a)
   mov x0, x19       // Load a
   bl labs
   mov x21, x0       // Save absA
   // absB = labs(b)
   mov x0, x20       // Load b
   bl labs
   mov x22, x0       // Store absB
   // sum = absA + absB
   add x23, x21, x22
   // return sum
   mov x0, x23       // Load sum
   ldr x30, [sp]     // Restore x30
   ldr x19, [sp, 8]  // Restore x19
   ldr x20, [sp, 16] // Restore x20
   ldr x21, [sp, 24] // Restore x21
   ldr x22, [sp, 32] // Restore x22
   ldr x23, [sp, 40] // Restore x23
   add sp, sp, 48
   ret
```

absadd() stores parameters and local vars in X19..X23, not in memory

absadd() cannot destroy contents of X19..X23

So absadd() must save X19..X23 near beginning and restore near end

Eliminating Redundant Copies

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, 32
   str x30, [sp]     // Save x30
   str x19, [sp, 8]  // Save x19, use for b
   str x20, [sp, 16] // Save x20, use for absA
   mov x19, x1       // Store b in x19
   // absA = labs(a)
   bl labs           // a already in x0
   mov x20, x0       // Save absA
   // absB = labs(b)
   mov x0, x19       // Load b
   bl labs
   // sum = absA + absB
   add x0, x20, x0   // x0 held absB, now holds sum
   // return sum – already in x0
   ldr x30, [sp]     // Restore x30
   ldr x19, [sp, 8]  // Restore x19
   ldr x20, [sp, 16] // Restore x20
   add sp, sp, 32
   ret
```

Further optimization: remove redundant moves between registers

- "Hybrid" pattern that uses both caller- and callee-saved registers
- Can be confusing: no longer systematic mapping between variables and registers
- Attempt only after you have working code!
- Save working versions for easy comparison!

Non-Optimized vs. Optimized Patterns

Unoptimized pattern

- Parameters and local variables strictly in memory (stack) during function execution
- Pro: Always possible
- Con: Inefficient
- gcc compiler uses when invoked without –O option

Optimized pattern

- Parameters and local variables mostly in registers during function execution
- Pro: Efficient
- Con: Sometimes impossible
  - Too many local variables
  - Local variable is a structure or array
  - Function computes address of parameter or local variable
- gcc compiler uses when invoked with –O option, when it can!



Writing Readable Code

```
// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, 48
   str x30, [sp]
   str x19, [sp, 8]
   str x20, [sp, 16]
   str x21, [sp, 24]
   str x22, [sp, 32]
   str x23, [sp, 40]
   mov x19, x0
   mov x20, x1
   // absA = labs(a)
   mov x0, x19
   bl labs
   mov x21, x0
   // absB = labs(b)
   mov x0, x20
   bl labs
   mov x22, x0
   // sum = absA + absB
   add x23, x21, x22
   // return sum
   mov x0, x23
   ldr x30, [sp]
   ldr x19, [sp, 8]
   ldr x20, [sp, 16]
   ldr x21, [sp, 24]
   ldr x22, [sp, 32]
   ldr x23, [sp, 40]
   add sp, sp, 48
   ret
```

Problem
- Hardcoded sizes, offsets, registers are difficult to read, understand, debug

43



Writing Readable Code

```
   // Stack frame size in bytes
   .equ STACKSIZE, 48
   // Registers for parameters
   a    .req x19
   b    .req x20
   // Registers for local variables
   absA .req x21
   absB .req x22
   sum  .req x23

// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, STACKSIZE
   str x30, [sp]     // Save x30
   str x19, [sp, 8]  // Save x19
   str x20, [sp, 16] // Save x20
   str x21, [sp, 24] // Save x21
   str x22, [sp, 32] // Save x22
   str x23, [sp, 40] // Save x23
   mov a, x0         // Store a in x19
   mov b, x1         // Store b in x20

   ...
```

Problem
- Hardcoded sizes, offsets, registers are difficult to read, understand, debug

Using .equ and .req
- To define a symbolic name for a constant:
  `.equ SOMENAME, nnn`
- To define a symbolic name for a register (e.g. what variable it holds):
  `SOMENAME .req Xnn`

44



Writing Readable Code

```
   ...

   // absA = labs(a)
   mov x0, a
   bl labs
   mov absA, x0
   // absB = labs(b)
   mov x0, b
   bl labs
   mov absB, x0
   // sum = absA + absB
   add sum, absA, absB
   // return sum
   mov x0, sum
   ldr x30, [sp]     // Restore x30
   ldr x19, [sp, 8]  // Restore x19
   ldr x20, [sp, 16] // Restore x20
   ldr x21, [sp, 24] // Restore x21
   ldr x22, [sp, 32] // Restore x22
   ldr x23, [sp, 40] // Restore x23
   add sp, sp, STACKSIZE
   ret
```

Problem
- Hardcoded sizes, offsets, registers are difficult to read, understand, debug

Using .equ and .req
- To define a symbolic name for a constant:
  `.equ SOMENAME, nnn`
- To define a symbolic name for a register (e.g. what variable it holds):
  `SOMENAME .req Xnn`

45



Writing Readable Code

```
   // Stack frame size in bytes
   .equ STACKSIZE, 48
   // Registers for parameters
   a    .req x19
   b    .req x20
   // Registers for local variables
   absA .req x21
   absB .req x22
   sum  .req x23

// long absadd(long a, long b)
absadd:
   // long absA, absB, sum
   sub sp, sp, STACKSIZE
   str x30, [sp]
   str x19, [sp, oldX19]
   str x20, [sp, oldX20]
   str x21, [sp, oldX21]
   str x22, [sp, oldX22]
   str x23, [sp, oldX23]
   mov a, x0
   mov b, x1

   ...
```

Problem
- Hardcoded sizes, offsets, registers are difficult to read, understand, debug

Using .equ and .req
- To define a symbolic name for a constant:
  `.equ SOMENAME, nnn`
- To define a symbolic name for a register (e.g. what variable it holds):
  `SOMENAME .req Xnn`

46



Writing Readable Code

```
   ...

   // absA = labs(a)
   mov x0, a
   bl labs
   mov absA, x0
   // absB = labs(b)
   mov x0, b
   bl labs
   mov absB, x0
   // sum = absA + absB
   add sum, absA, absB
   // return sum
   mov x0, sum
   ldr x30, [sp]
   ldr x19, [sp, oldX19]
   ldr x20, [sp, oldX20]
   ldr x21, [sp, oldX21]
   ldr x22, [sp, oldX22]
   ldr x23, [sp, oldX23]
   add sp, sp, STACKSIZE
   ret
```

Problem
- Hardcoded sizes, offsets, registers are difficult to read, understand, debug

Using .equ and .req
- To define a symbolic name for a constant:
  `.equ SOMENAME, nnn`
- To define a symbolic name for a register (e.g. what variable it holds):
  `SOMENAME .req Xnn`

47



Summary

Function calls in AARCH64 assembly language

Calling and returning
- `bl` instruction saves return address in X30 and jumps
- `ret` instruction jumps back to address in X30

Passing arguments
- Caller copies args to caller-saved registers (in prescribed order)
- Unoptimized pattern:
  - Callee pushes args to stack
  - Callee uses args as positive offsets from SP
  - Callee pops args from stack
- Optimized pattern:
  - Callee keeps args in callee-saved registers
  - Be careful!

48



Summary (cont.)

Storing local variables

- Unoptimized pattern:
  - Callee pushes local vars onto stack
  - Callee uses local vars as positive offsets from SP
  - Callee pops local vars from stack
- Optimized pattern:
  - Callee keeps local vars in callee-saved registers

Returning values

- Callee places return value in X0
- Caller accesses return value in X0