

Atomic Commit

- Atomic: All or nothing
- Either all participants do something (commit) or no participant does anything (abort)
- Common use: commit a transaction that updates data on different shards









Atomic Commit

- Atomic: All or nothing
- Either all participants do something (commit) or no participant does anything (abort)
- Atomic commit accomplished with two-phase commit protocol (2PC)

8

Two-Phase Commit

- Phase 1
 - Coordinator sends Prepare requests to all participants
 - Each participant votes yes or no
 - Sends yes or no vote back to coordinator
 - Typically acquires locks if they vote yes
- Coordinator inspects all votes
 - If all yes, then commit
 - If any no, then abort

- Phase 2
 - Coordinator sends Commit or Abort to all participants
 - If commit, each participant does something
- Each participant releases locks
 Each participant sends an Ack back to the coordinator

Unilateral Abort

- Any participant can cause an abort
- With 100 participants, if 99 vote yes and 1 votes no => abort!
- Common reasons to abort:
 - Cannot acquire required lock
 - · No memory or disk space available to do write
 - Transaction constraint fails
 - (e.g., Alan does not have \$100)
- Q: Why do we want unilateral abort for atomic commit?











Equivalence of Schedules

- Two operations from different transactions are conflicting if:
- 1. They read and write to the same data item
- 2. The write and write to the same data item
- Two schedules are equivalent if:
- 1. They contain the same transactions and operations
- 2. They order all conflicting operations of non-aborting transactions in the same way









23

Lets Scale Strong Consistency!

- Atomic Commit

 Two-phase commit (2PC)
- 2. Serializability
 - Strict serializability
- 3. Concurrency Control:
 - Two-phase locking (2PL)
 - Optimistic concurrency control (OCC)

Concurrency Control

- Concurrent execution can violate serializability
- We need to control that concurrent execution so we do things a single machine executing transactions one at a time would
 Concurrency control

Concurrency Control Strawman #1

• Big global lock

- Acquire the lock when transaction starts
- Release the lock when transaction ends
- Provides strict serializability
 - Just like executing transaction one by one because we are doing exactly that

• No concurrency at all

• Terrible for performance: one transaction at a time

Locking • Locks maintained on each shard • Transaction requests lock for a data item • Shard grants or denies lock • Lock types • Lock types • Shared to have before read object • Exclusive: Need to have before write object Shared (S) Exclusive (X) Shared (S) Yes No Exclusive (X) No No



Two-Phase Locking (2PL)

- 2PL rule: Once a transaction has released a lock it is not allowed to obtain any other locks
 - Growing phase: transaction acquires locks
 - Shrinking phase: transaction releases locks

• In practice:

- Growing phase is the entire transaction
- Shrinking phase is during commit

28





