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It’s a shared world
How do we coordinate?

Congestion Control
Distributed Resource Sharing
Congestion

- Best-effort network does not “block” calls
  - So, they can easily become overloaded
  - Congestion == “Load higher than capacity”

- Examples of congestion
  - Link layer: Ethernet frame collisions
  - Network layer: full IP packet buffers

- Excess packets are simply dropped
  - And the sender can simply retransmit

Congestion Collapse

- Easily leads to *congestion collapse*
  - Senders retransmit the lost packets
  - Leading to even *greater* load
  - ... and even *more* packet loss

Detecting Congestion

- Link layer
  - Carrier sense multiple access
  - Seeing your own frame collide with others

- Network layer
  - Observing end-to-end performance
  - Packet delay or loss over the path

Detect and Respond to Congestion

- What does the end host see?
- What can the end host change?
Responding to Congestion

- Upon detecting congestion
  - Decrease the sending rate

- But, what if conditions change?
  - If more bandwidth becomes available,
  - ... unfortunate to keep sending at a low rate

- Upon not detecting congestion
  - Increase sending rate, a little at a time
  - See if packets get through

Ethernet CSMA/CD

Collisions

- Single shared broadcast channel
  - Avoid having multiple nodes speaking at once
  - Otherwise, collisions lead to garbled data

Multi-Access Protocol

- Divide channel into pieces
  - In time
  - In frequency

- Take turns
  - Pass a token for the right to transmit

- Punt
  - Let collisions happen
  - Detect and recover from them
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Like Human Conversation...

- Carrier sense
  - Listen before speaking
  - ... and don’t interrupt!
- Collision detection
  - Detect simultaneous talking
  - ... and shut up!
- Random access
  - Wait for a random period of time
  - ... before trying to talk again!

Carrier Sense Multiple Access

- Listen for other senders
  - Then transmit your data
- Collisions can still occur
  - Propagation delay
  - Wasted transmission

CSMA/CD Collision Detection

- Detect collision
  - Abort transmission
  - Jam the link
- Wait random time
  - Transmit again
- Hard in wireless
  - Must receive data while transmitting
Ethernet Uses CSMA/CD

- Carrier Sense: wait for link to be idle
- Collision Detection: listen while transmitting
- Random Access: exponential back-off
  - After collision, wait random time before trying again
  - After $m$th collision, choose $K$ randomly from $\{0, \ldots, 2^m - 1\}$
  - ... and wait for $K \times 512$ bit times before trying again

Metcalfe’s Ethernet sketch

Limitations on Ethernet Length

- Latency depends on physical length of link
  - Time to propagate a packet from one end to other
- Suppose A sends a packet at time $t$
  - And B sees an idle line at a time just before $t + d$
  - ... so B happily starts transmitting a packet
- B detects a collision, and sends jamming signal
  - But A doesn’t see collision till $t + 2d$

TCP Congestion Control

- A needs to wait for time $2d$ to detect collision
  - So, A should keep transmitting during this period
  - ... and keep an eye out for a possible collision
- Imposes restrictions on Ethernet
  - Maximum length of the wire: 2500 meters
  - Minimum length of the packet: 512 bits (64 bytes)
Congestion in a Drop-Tail FIFO Queue

- Access to the bandwidth: first-in first-out queue
  - Packets transmitted in the order they arrive

- Access to the buffer space: drop-tail queuing
  - If the queue is full, drop the incoming packet

How it Looks to the End Host

- Delay: Packet experiences high delay
- Loss: Packet gets dropped along path

- How does TCP sender learn this?
  - Delay: Round-trip time estimate
  - Loss: Timeout and/or duplicate acknowledgments

TCP Congestion Window

- Each TCP sender maintains a congestion window
  - Max number of bytes to have in transit (not yet ACK'd)

- Adapting the congestion window
  - Decrease upon losing a packet: backing off
  - Increase upon success: optimistically exploring
  - Always struggling to find right transfer rate

- Tradeoff
  - Pro: avoids needing explicit network feedback
  - Con: continually under- and over-shoots “right” rate

Additive Increase, Multiplicative Decrease

- How much to adapt?
  - Additive increase: On success of last window of data, increase window by 1 Max Segment Size (MSS)
  - Multiplicative decrease: On loss of packet, divide congestion window in half

- Much quicker to slow down than speed up!
  - Over-sized windows (causing loss) are much worse than under-sized windows (causing lower throughput)
  - AIMD: A necessary condition for stability of TCP
Leads to the TCP “Sawtooth”

How Should a New Flow Start?

Start slow (a small CWND) to avoid overloading network

But, could take a long time to get started!

“Slow Start” Phase

- Start with a small congestion window
  - Initially, CWND is 1 MSS
  - So, initial sending rate is MSS / RTT
- Could be pretty wasteful
  - Might be much less than actual bandwidth
  - Linear increase takes a long time to accelerate
- Slow-start phase (really “fast start”)
  - Sender starts at a slow rate (hence the name)
  - ... but increases rate exponentially until the first loss

Slow Start in Action

Double CWND per round-trip time
Slow Start and the TCP Sawtooth

- TCP originally had no congestion control
  - Source would start by sending entire receiver window
  - Led to congestion collapse!
  - “Slow start” is, comparatively, slower

Window

Loss

Exponential “slow start”

Time
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Two Kinds of Loss in TCP

- Timeout vs. Triple Duplicate ACK
  - Which suggests network is in worse shape?
- Timeout
  - If entire window was lost, buffers may be full
  -...blasting entire CWND would cause another burst
  -...be aggressive: start over with a low CWND
- Triple duplicate ACK
  - Might be due to bit errors, or “micro” congestion
  - ...react less aggressively (halve CWND)

Repeating Slow Start After Timeout

Window

timeout

Slow start until reaching half of previous cwnd.

Slow-start restart: Go back to CWND of 1, but take advantage of knowing the previous value of CWND

Conclusions

- Congestion is inevitable
  - Internet does not reserve resources in advance
  - TCP actively tries to push the envelope
- Congestion can be handled
  - Additive increase, multiplicative decrease
  - Exponential backoff: congestion bad, react aggressively
    - Ethernet: double retransmission timer
    - TCP: divide sending rate in half
- Fundamental tensions
  - Feedback from the network?
  - Enforcement of “TCP friendly” behavior?