

# Algorithms

#### ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE



Last updated on 9/12/19 10:17 AM

Steps to developing a usable algorithm to solve a computational problem.



## 1.5 UNION-FIND

## union-find data type

quick-find

quick-unior

*improvements* 

applications

# Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

### Union-find data type

**Disjoint sets**. A collection of sets containing *n* elements; each element in exactly one set.

Find. Return a "canonical" element in the set containing p? Union. Merge the set containing p with the set containing q.



Simplifying assumption. The *n* elements are named 0, 1, ..., n - 1.

### Union-find data type (API)

Goal. Design an efficient union-find data type.


- Number of elements *n* can be huge.
- Number of operations *m* can be huge.
- Union and find operations can be intermixed.

| public class UF            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| UF(int n)                  | initialize union–find data structure<br>with n singleton sets (0 to n – 1) |
| void union(int p, int q)   | merge sets containing<br>elements p and q                                  |
| <pre>int find(int p)</pre> | canonical element in set<br>containing p (0 to n – 1)                      |

### An application: dynamic connectivity

Given *n* vertices, support two operations:


- Add edge: directly connect two vertices with an edge.
- Connection query: is there a path connecting two vertices?



### A larger connectivity example

#### **Q.** Is there a path connecting vertices *v* and *w*?

finding a path is a slightly harder problem (stay tuned for graph algorithms in Chapter 4)




A. Yes.

### Modeling the dynamic-connectivity problem

- Q. How to model the dynamic-connectivity problem using union-find?
- A. Maintain disjoint sets that correspond to connected components.

Connected component. Maximal set of vertices that are mutually connected.




3 connected components

$$\{0\}$$
  $\{1, 4, 5\}$   $\{2, 3, 6, 7\}$ 

3 disjoint sets

### Modeling the dynamic-connectivity problem

- Q. How to model the dynamic-connectivity problem using union-find?
- A. Maintain disjoint sets that correspond to connected components.
  - Add edge between vertices *v* and *w*.
  - Are vertices *v* and *w* connected?



## 1.5 UNION-FIND

union-find data type

quick-find

quick-union

improvements

applications

## Algorithms


Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne

https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

### Quick-find [eager approach]

#### Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of length n.
- Interpretation: id[p] is canonical element in the set containing p.




- **Q.** How to implement find(p)?
- A. Easy, just return id[p].


## Quick-find [eager approach]

#### Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of length n.
- Interpretation: id[p] is canonical element in the set containing p.



- Q. How to implement union(p, q)?
- A. Change all entries whose identifier equals id[p] to id[q] (or vice versa).



https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu/15uf/QuickFindUF.java.html

### Cost model. Number of array accesses (for read or write).

| algorithm  | initialize | union | find |
|------------|------------|-------|------|
| quick-find | п          | п     | 1    |

number of array accesses (ignoring leading constant)

Union is too expensive. Processing a sequence of n union operations on n elements takes more than  $n^2$  array accesses.

quadratic

## 1.5 UNION-FIND

union-find data type

quick-find

quick-union

mprovements

applications

## Algorithms


Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne

https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## Quick-union [lazy approach]

#### Data structure.

- Integer array parent[] of length n, where parent[i] is parent of i in tree.
- Interpretation: elements in one tree correspond to one set.




- **Q.** How to implement find(p) operation?
- A. Return root of tree containing p.



#### Data structure.

- Integer array parent[] of length n, where parent[i] is parent of i in tree.
- Interpretation: elements in one tree correspond to one set.




#### How to implement union(3, 5)?

- A. Set parent[3] = 5.
- **B.** Set parent [9] = 5.
- **C.** Set parent[9] = 6.
- **D.** Set parent[2] = parent[3] = parent[4] = parent[9] = 6.

#### Data structure.

- Integer array parent[] of length n, where parent[i] is parent of i in tree.
- Interpretation: elements in one tree correspond to one set.




- Q. How to implement union(p, q)?
- A. Set parent of p's root to q's root.

### Quick-union [lazy approach]

#### Data structure.

- Integer array parent[] of length n, where parent[i] is parent of i in tree.
- Interpretation: elements in one tree correspond to one set.




- Q. How to implement union(p, q)?
- A. Set parent of p's root to q's root.


### Quick-union demo



#### 



### Quick-union: Java implementation



https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu/15uf/QuickUnionUF.java.html

### Cost model. Number of array accesses (for read or write).

| algorithm   | initialize | union | find |              |
|-------------|------------|-------|------|--------------|
| quick-find  | п          | п     | 1    |              |
| quick-union | п          | п     | п    | ← worst case |

number of array accesses (ignoring leading constant)


#### worst-case input

### Quick-find defect.

- Union too expensive (more than *n* array accesses).
- Trees are flat, but too expensive to keep them flat.

### Quick-union defect.

- Trees can get tall.
- Find too expensive (could be more than *n* array accesses).



## 1.5 UNION-FIND

union-find data type

## Algorithms

improvements

quick-find

quick-union

applications


Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne

https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu




### When merging two trees, which strategy is most effective?

- **A.** Link the root of the smaller tree to the root of the larger tree.
- **B.** Link the root of the larger tree to the root of the smaller tree.
- **C.** Link the root of the shorter tree to the root of the taller tree.
- **D.** Link the root of the taller tree to the root of the shorter tree.



shorter and larger tree (height = 2, size = 14)




taller and smaller tree (height = 5, size = 9)

### Improvement 1: weighting


### Weighted quick-union.

- Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees.
- Keep track of size of each tree (number of elements).
- Always link root of smaller tree to root of larger tree.





### Suppose that the parent[] array during weighted quick-union is:




### Which parent[] entry changes during union(2, 6)?

- A. parent[0]
- **B.** parent[2]
- C. parent[6]
- **D.** parent[8]


### Weighted quick-union demo



#### 




### Quick-union vs. weighted quick-union: larger example



Quick-union and weighted quick-union (100 sites, 88 union() operations)

Data structure. Same as quick-union, but maintain extra array size[i] to count number of elements in the tree rooted at i, initially 1.

- Find: identical to quick-union.
- Union: link root of smaller tree to root of larger tree; update size[].




https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu/15uf/WeightedQuickUnionUF.java.html

### Running time.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of *p*.
- Union: takes constant time, given two roots.

Proposition. Depth of any node x is at most  $\lg n$ .  $\leftarrow$  in computer science,  $\lg$  means base-2 logarithm




### Running time.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of *p*.
- Union: takes constant time, given two roots.

Proposition. Depth of any node x is at most  $\lg n$ .  $\leftarrow$  in computer science,  $\lg$  means base-2 logarithm Pf. What causes the depth of element x to increase? Increases by 1 when root of tree  $T_1$  containing x is linked to root of tree  $T_2$ .

- The size of the tree containing x at least doubles since  $|T_2| \ge |T_1|$ .
- Size of tree containing x can double at most lg n times. Why?



#### Running time.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of *p*.
- Union: takes constant time, given two roots.

**Proposition.** Depth of any node x is at most  $\lg n$ .

| algorithm            | initialize | union    | find     |  |
|----------------------|------------|----------|----------|--|
| quick–find           | п          | п        | 1        |  |
| quick–union          | п          | п        | п        |  |
| weighted quick-union | п          | $\log n$ | $\log n$ | <ul> <li>log mean logarithm,</li> <li>for some constant b</li> </ul> |

number of array accesses (ignoring leading constant)

Key point. Weighted quick-union makes it possible to solve problems that could not otherwise be addressed.

| algorithm                      | worst-case time                  |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| quick-find                     | m n                              |  |
| quick-union                    | m n                              |  |
| weighted quick-union           | $m \log n$                       |  |
| QU + path compression          | $m \log n \prec$                 | — fastest for percolation?                 |
| weighted QU + path compression | $m \alpha(n) \blacktriangleleft$ | inverse Ackermann functio<br>(ask Tarjan!) |

order of growth for  $m \ge n$  union-find operations on a set of n elements

### **Ex.** [10<sup>9</sup> unions and finds with 10<sup>9</sup> elements]

- Weighted quick-union reduces run time from 30 years to 6 seconds.
- Supercomputer won't help much; good algorithm enables solution.