SVE: Distributed Video Processing at Facebook Scale

Qi Huang

Petchean Ang, Peter Knowles, Tomasz Nykiel, Iaroslav Tverdokhlib, Talwar, Abhishek Mathur, Sachin Kulkarni, Matthew Burke, Wyatt Lloyd

Facebook, University of Southern California, Cornell, Princeton



- Amit Yajurvedi, Paul Dapolito IV, Xifan Yan, Maxim Bykov, Chuen Liang, Mohit



Video is growing across Facebook





• FB: **500M** users watch **100M** hours video daily (Mar. 16) • Instagram: 250M daily active users for stories (Jun. 17) • All: many tens of millions of daily uploads, 3X NYE spike





Processing is diverse and demanding





Legacy: upload video file to web server







Legacy: preserve original for reliability

Client





Web Server



Original Storage



Legacy: process after upload completes





Original Storage







Legacy: encode w/ varying bitrates



Original Storage





Processing



720P 4Mbps



480P 1.5Mbps



Legacy: store encodings before sharing Original Final Processing Client Web Server Storage Storage









1.5Mbps











Focus: pre-sharing pipeline



All steps from when a user starts an upload until a video is ready to be shared



Serial pipeline leads to slow processing





Monolithic script slows development

"Let's experiment speech recognition, add a logic to extract audio and a "Change color

> "We need to change the thumbnail generation logic for videos > x minutes to create scene-based scrubber preview"

"Pass-through for small and wellformatted videos" "We want to experiment AI-based encodings to spend 10x CPU for 30% compression improvement on popular videos"





Challenges for video processing (a) FB

- Speedy
- Users can share videos quickly
 - Flexible
- Thousands of engineers can write pipelines for tens of apps
 - Robust
 - Handle faults and overload that is inevitable at scale



Our Streaming Video Engine (SVE) is speedy, flexible, and robust



Speedy: harness parallelism Users can share videos quickly

• Overlap fault tolerance and processing Overlap upload and processing • Parallel processing



Architectural changes for parallelism









Original Storage



















Results: $2.3x \sim 9.3x$ speedup





Video size buckets





Video size buckets





Video size buckets



Challenges for video processing (a) FB

- Speedy
- Users223n slabe side side side side states with the service of the
 - Flexible
- Thousands of engineers can write pipelines for tens of apps
 - Robust
 - Handle faults and overload that is inevitable at scale





Flexible: build DAG framework Thousands of engineers can write pipelines for tens of apps

• Engineers write only sequential tasks in a familiar language • Dynamic DAG generation per video

• DAG of computation on the stream-of-tracks abstraction



DAG on stream-of-tracks abstraction



Metadata

Track

- \$pipeline = Pipeline.build()
- \$video_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(IMG_TYPE)
 ->addTask()
- \$audio_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(AUD_TYPE)
 ->addTask()
- \$meta_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(META_TYPE)
 ->addTask()







DAG on stream-of-tracks abstraction

\$pipeline = Pipeline.build()

\$video_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(IMG_TYPE)
->addTask(Encode(HD), 10s);de(SD), Thumb)
Encode(SD, 10s), Thumb(10s))
\$audio_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(AUD_TYPE)

->addTask(Encode(AAC))

\$meta_track=\$pipeline>addTrack(META_TYPE
 ->addTask(Analysis)





Sync Point Tasks








One system for 15+ applications

- Generate billions of tasks per day
- Varying DAG size
 - 360 video has thousands of tasks per upload
 - Newsfeed post averages at 153 tasks per upload
 - Instagram averages at 22 tasks per upload
 - Messenger averages at 18 tasks per upload



Challenges for video processing (a) FB

- Speedy
- 2.3x ~ 9.3x speedup
 - Flexible
- Thousands of **Ongiagetes can be application of the set of apps**
 - Robust
 - Handle faults and overload that is inevitable at scale



Robust: tolerate overload Handle faults and overload that is inevitable at scale

• Defer full video processing for some new uploads • Load-shedding across global deployments

• Rely on priority to degrade non-latency-sensitive tasks



volume Upload











Only assign hi-pri tasks under overload











Challenges for video processing (a) FB

- 2.3x ~ 9.3x speedup
- Flexible **One system for 15+ applications**

Speedy

- Robust
- Handle faults and a solution of the second s



More details in paper

- Advanced DAG control
 - Task group: batch multiple tasks for schedule
 - Priority control: annotate latency-sensitive task
 - Optional task: okay to fail or skip
 - Customizable error handling: early termination
- Failure monitoring and recovery
- Overload scenario caused by Kraken and system bugs
- Lessons learned



Failures from Global Inconsistencies Lesson Learned

preprocessor = null

(segment.is_first_segment()) { preprocessor = get_preprocessor() storage_write(video_id, "preprocessor", preprocessor) else { preprocessor = storage_read(video_id, "preprocessor")

forward_segment(preprocessor, segment)

One preprocessor handles all segments of one video

Mapping from video to preprocessor determined when upload starts

Storage system is eventually consistent, what could go wrong?



Related work Batch processing SVE overlaps data ingestion and processing

• Stream processing

 Video processing at scale SVE support many production appside Storm

SVE offers dynamic DAG generation per input. Stream Scope



Streaming Video Engine

- Deployed in production for 2 years
- Speedy to enable users to share videos quickly • Harness parallelism in upload, processing, and storage
- Flexible to support 15 app with tens of millions of uploads/day • Dynamic DAG generation on the stream-of-tracks abstraction
- Robust to tolerate faults and overload at scale
 - Prioritize processing and then shed load to other DCs or the future



