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Problem Statement

- Given a set of sample points in three dimensions produce a simplicial surface that captures the "most reasonable shape" the points were sampled from.


Applications

- Computer graphics,
- Medical imaging
- Cartography
- Compression
- Reverse engineering
- Urban modeling
- etc.


Desirable Properties

- Interpolate input points
- Handle arbitrary genus
- Generally smooth
- Retain sharp features
- Watertight surface


Challenges


## Possible Approaches?



## Possible Approaches

- Explicit Meshing
- Ball pivoting algorithm
- Crust
- etc.
- Implicit Reconstruction
- Hoppe's algorithm
- Moving Least Squares (MLS)
- Poisson surface reconstruction
- etc.
- Surface fitting
- Deformable templates
- etc.


## The Ball Pivoting Algorithm
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## The Ball Pivoting Algorithm

- Pick a ball radius, roll ball around surface, connect what it hits
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- Point on front
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The Ball Pivoting Algorithm
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## The Ball Pivoting Algorithm

## Possible problems?




Small Concavities
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## The Ball Pivoting Algorithm

## Possible problems?

Self-intersection? Watertight?


Possible Approaches

- Explicit Meshing
- Ball pivoting algorithm
- Crust
- etc.
- Implicit Reconstruction
- Hoppe's algorithm
- Moving Least Squares (MLS)
- Poisson surface reconstruction
- etc.
- Surface fitting
- Deformable templates
- etc.


## Crust

Aims to find adjacent surface without a parameter specifying feature sizes

## Definitions

## Definitions

Medial Axis: of surface F is the closure of points that have more than one closest point in F .


Crust in 2D

Input : $\mathrm{P}=$ Set of sample points in the plane Output: $\mathrm{E}=$ Set of edges connecting points in P

The Algorithm
Compute the Voronoi vertices of $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{V}$
Calculate the Delaunay of (P U V)
Pick the edges $(p, q)$ where both $p, q$ are in $P$


## The Intuition behind Crust

The Voronoi Cells of a dense sampling are thin and long.

The Medial Axis is the extension of Voronoi Diagram for continuous surfaces in the sense that the Voronoi Diagram of S Can be defined as the set of points with more than one closest point in $\mathrm{S} .(\mathrm{S}=$ Sample Point Set $)$


Sample Output



- Some Voronoi vertices lie neither near the surface nor near the medial axis
- Keep the "poles"



## Sample Output



## Implicit Reconstruction

- Main idea:
- Compute an implicit function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})$ (negative outside, positive inside)
- Extract surface where $f(p)=0$



## Crust in 3D

- Compute the 3D Voronoi diagram of the sample points.
- For each sample point $s$, pick the farthest vertex $v$ of its Voronoi cell, and the farthest vertex $v^{\prime}$ such that angle $v s v^{\prime}$ exceeds 90 degrees.
- Compute the Voronoi diagram of the sample points and the "poles", the Voronoi vertices chosen in the second step.
- Add a triangle on each triple of sample points with neighboring cells in the second Voronoi diagram.


## Possible Approaches

- Explicit Meshing
- Ball pivoting algorithm
- Crust
- etc.
- Implicit Reconstruction
- Hoppe's algorithm
- Moving Least Squares (MLS)
- Poisson surface reconstruction
- etc.
- Surface fitting
- Deformable templates
- etc.


## Hoppe et al's Algorithm

1. Tangent Plane Estimation
2. Consistent tangent plane orientation
3. Signed distance function computation
4. Surface extraction


Tangent Plane Estimation

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Extract points $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ in neighborhood
- Compute covariance matrix M
- Analyze eigenvalues and eigenvectors of M (via SVD)
$\mathbf{M}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}q_{i}^{x} q_{i}^{x} & q_{i}^{x} q_{i}^{y} & q_{i}^{x} q_{i}^{z} \\ q_{i}^{y} q_{i}^{x} & q_{i}^{y} q_{i}^{y} & q_{i}^{y} q_{i}^{z} \\ q_{i}^{z} q_{i}^{x} & q_{i}^{z} q_{i}^{y} & q_{i}^{z} q_{i}^{z}\end{array}\right]$
Covariance Matrix
$\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{U S U}^{t}$
$\mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\lambda_{a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{c}\end{array}\right] \mathbf{U}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}A_{x} & A_{y} & A_{z} \\ B_{x} & B_{y} & B_{z} \\ C_{x} & C_{y} & C_{z}\end{array}\right]$

Tangent Plane Estimation


## Tangent Plane Estimation

- Surface normal is estimated by eigenvector (principal axis) associated with smallest eigenvalue


Tangent Plane Estimation



Tangent Plane Estimation

- Eigenvectors are "Principal Axes of Inertia"
- Eigenvalues are variances of the point distribution in those directions



## Consistent Tangent Plane Orientation

- Traverse nearest neighbor graph flipping normals for consistency
- Greedy propagation algorithm (minimum spanning tree of normal similarity)



## Signed Distance Function

- $f(p)$ is signed distance to tangent plane of closest point sample

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\text { Compute } \mathbf{z} \text { as the projection of } \mathbf{p} \text { onto } T p(\mathbf{x})\} \\
& \mathbf{z} \leftarrow \mathbf{o}_{i}-\left(\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{o}_{i}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i}\right) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \\
& \text { if } \quad d(\mathbf{z}, X)<\rho+\delta \text { then } \\
& \text { else } \quad f(\mathbf{p}) \leftarrow\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{o}_{i}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{i} \quad\{= \pm\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{z}\|\} \\
& \text { endif } \quad f(\mathbf{p}) \leftarrow \text { undefined }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Surface Extraction

- Extract triangulated surface where $f(p)=0$
- e.g., Marching Cubes



## Sample Results
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## Moving Least Squares

- Similar, but different implicit function
- Weighted contribution of nearby points


Possible Approaches

- Explicit Meshing
- Ball pivoting algorithm
- Crust
- etc.
- Implicit Reconstruction
- Hoppe's algorithm
- Moving Least Squares (MLS)
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- Deformable templates
- etc.


## Sample Results



## Moving Least Squares



MLS

## The Indicator Function

- We reconstruct the surface of the model by solving for the indicator function of the shape.

$$
\chi_{M}(p)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p \in M \\ 0 & \text { if } p \notin M\end{cases}
$$



## Challenge

- How to construct the indicator function?



## Gradient Relationship

- There is a relationship between the normal field and gradient of indicator function



## Integration

- Represent the points by a vector field $\vec{V}$
- Find the function $\chi$ whose gradient best approximates $\vec{V}$ :

$$
\min _{\star}\|\nabla \chi-\vec{V}\|
$$

Integration as a Poisson Problem

- Represent the points by a vector field $\vec{V}$
- Find the function $\chi$ whose gradient best approximates $\vec{V}$ :

$$
\min _{x}\|\nabla \mathcal{\chi}-\vec{V}\|
$$

- Applying the divergence operator, we can transform this into a Poisson problem:

$$
\nabla \cdot(\nabla \chi)=\nabla \cdot \vec{V} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Delta x=\nabla \cdot \vec{V}
$$

## Implementation

Given the Points:

- Set octree
- Compute vector field
- Compute indicator function
- Extract iso-surface



## Implementation: Adapted Octree

Given the Points:

- Set octree

Compute vector field
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## Implementation: Vector Field

Given the Points:

- Compute vector field
- Splat the samples


Implementation: Indicator Function
Given the Points:

- Compute indicator function
- Compute divergence



## Implementation: Indicator Function

Given the Points:
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## Implementation: Vector Field
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## Implementation: Indicator Function

Given the Points:

- Compute indicator function
- Solve Poisson equation



## Implementation: Surface Extraction

Given the Points:
Compute vector field

- Extract iso-surface



## Michelangelo's David

- 215 million data points from 1000 scans
- 22 million triangle reconstruction
- Maximum tree depth of 11
- Compute Time: 2.1 hours
- Peak Memory: 6600MB


David - Chisel marks



Scalability - Buddha Model


Stanford Bunny



FFT Reconstruction Comparison


FFT Reconstruction Comparison

- FFT: Fixed resolution


FFT Reconstruction Comparison

- FFT: Fixed resolution


FFT Reconstruction Comparison

- Poisson: Adaptive resolution


FFT Reconstruction Comparison

- Poisson: Adaptive resolution


Questions?

