COS 318: Operating Systems
 File Performance and Reliability

Kai Li Computer Science Department Princeton University

(http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/cos318/)



Topics

- File buffer cache
- Disk failure and file recovery tools
- Consistent updates
- Transactions and logging



File Buffer Cache for Performance

- Cache files in main memory
 - Check the buffer cache first
 - Hit will read from or write to the buffer cache
 - Miss will read from the disk to the buffer cache
- Usual questions
 - What to cache?
 - How to size the cache?
 - What to prefetch?
 - How and what to replace?
 - Which write policies?





What to Cache?

Things to consider

- i-nodes and indirect blocks of directories
- Directory files
- I-nodes and indirect blocks of files
- Files

Strategies

- Cache i-nodes and indirect blocks if they are in use?
- Cache only the i-nodes and indirect blocks of the current directory?
- Cache an entire file vs. referenced blocks of files



How to Size the Cache?

- Partitions
 - Buffer cache vs. VM vs. the rest of the system
- Early systems use fixed-size buffer cache
 - It does not adapt to workloads
- Later systems use variable size cache
 - But, large files are common, how do we make adjustment?
- Solutions
 - Let users make decisions
 - Working set idea with dynamic adjustments within thresholds





Multiple User Processes

- Typical architecture
 - Shared buffer cache in kernel
 - Global LRU as default
- Other ideas?
 - Working set idea
- Questions
 - Each process use its own replacement strategy?
 - Move the buffer cache to the user level?





What to Prefetch?

- Optimal
 - The blocks are fetched in just enough time to use them
 - But, ...
- The good news is that files have locality
 - Temporal locality
 - Spatial locality
- Common strategies
 - Prefetch next k blocks together (typically > 64KB)
 - Some discard unreferenced blocks
 - Cluster blocks (to the same cylinder group and neighborhood) make prefetching efficient, directory and i-nodes if possible
- Advanced strategy
 - Prefetch all small files of a directory



How and What to Replace?

- Page replacement theory
 - Use past to predict future
 - LRU is good
- Buffer cache with LRU replacement mechanism
 - If b is in buffer cache, move it to front and return b
 - Otherwise, replace the tail block, get b from disk, insert b to the front
 - Use double linked list with a hash table
- Questions
 - Why a hash table?
 - What if file >> the cache?



table



Write through

- Whenever modify cached block, write block to disk
- Cache is always consistent
- Simple, but cause more I/Os

Write back

- When modifying a block, mark it as dirty & write to disk later
- Fast writes, absorbs writes, and enables batching
- So, what's the problem?





Write Back Complications

- Fundamental tension
 - On crash, all modified data in cache is lost.
 - The longer you postpone write backs, the faster you are and the worst the damage is
- When to write back
 - When a block is evicted
 - When a file is closed
 - On an explicit flush
 - When a time interval elapses (30 seconds in Unix)
- Issues
 - These write back options have no guarantees
 - A solution is consistent updates (later)



File Recovery Tools

- Physical backup (dump) and recovery
 - Dump disk blocks by blocks to a backup system
 - Backup only changed blocks since the last backup as an incremental
 - Recovery tool is made accordingly
- Logical backup (dump) and recovery
 - Traverse the logical structure from the root
 - Selectively dump what you want to backup
 - Verify logical structures as you backup
 - Recovery tool selectively move files back
- Consistency check (e.g. fsck)
 - Start from the root i-node
 - Traverse the whole tree and mark reachable files
 - Verify the logical structure
 - Figure out what blocks are free







Recovery from Disk Block Failures

- Boot block
 - Create a utility to replace the boot block
 - Use a flash memory to duplicate the boot block and kernel
- Super block
 - If there is a duplicate, remake the file system
 - Otherwise, what would you do?
- Free block data structure
 - Search all reachable files from the root
 - Unreachable blocks are free
- i-node blocks
 - How to recover?
- Indirect or data blocks
 - How to recover?





Persistency and Crashes

- File system promise: Persistency
 - File system will hold a file until its owner explicitly deletes it
 - Backups can recover your file even beyond the deletion point
- Why is this hard?
 - A crash will destroy memory content
 - Cache more \Rightarrow better performance
 - Cache more \Rightarrow lose more on a crash
 - A file operation often requires modifying multiple blocks, but the system can only atomically modify one at a time
 - Systems can crash anytime

Me	
	?



What Is A Crash?

- Crash is like a context switch
 - Think about a file system as a thread before the context switch and another after the context switch
 - Two threads read or write same shared state?
- Crash is like time travel
 - Current volatile state lost; suddenly go back to old state
 - Example: move a file
 - Place it in a directory
 - Delete it from old
 - Crash happens and both directories have problems



Before Crash After





Approaches

- Throw everything away and start over
 - Done for most things (e.g., make again)
 - Not what you want to happen to your email
- Reconstruction
 - Figure out where you are and make the file system consistent and go from there
 - Try to fix things after a crash ("fsck")

Make consistent updates

• Either new data or old data, but not garbage data

Make multiple updates appear atomic

- Build arbitrary sized atomic units from smaller atomic ones
- Similar to how we built critical sections from locks, and locks from atomic instructions



Write Metadata First





Writing metadata first can cause inconsistency

Write Data First





Consistent Updates: Bottom-Up Order

- The general approach is to use a "bottom up" order
 - File data blocks, file i-node, directory file, directory i-node, ...
- What about file buffer cache
 - Write back all data blocks
 - Update file i-node and write it to disk
 - Update directory file and write it to disk
 - Update directory i-node and write it to disk (if necessary)
 - Continue until no directory update exists
- Does this solve the write back problem?
 - Updates are consistent but leave garbage blocks around
 - May need to run fsck to clean up once a while
 - Ideal approach: consistent update without leaving garbage



Transaction Properties

- Group multiple operations together so that they have "ACID" property:
 - Atomicity
 - It either happens or doesn't (no partial operations)
 - Consistency
 - A transaction is a correct transformation of the state
 - Isolation (serializability)
 - Transactions appear to happen one after the other
 - Durability (persistency)
 - Once it happens, stays happened
- Question
 - Do critical sections have ACID property?



Transactions

- Bundle many operations into a transaction
 - One of the first transaction systems is Sabre American Airline reservation system, made by IBM
- Primitives
 - BeginTransaction
 - Mark the beginning of the transaction
 - Commit (End transaction)
 - When transaction is done
 - Rollback (Abort transaction)
 - Undo all the actions since "Begin transaction."
- Rules
 - Transactions can run concurrently
 - Rollback can execute anytime
 - Sophisticated transaction systems allow nested transactions



Implementation

BeginTransaction

- Start using a "write-ahead" log on disk
- Log all updates
- Commit
 - Write "commit" at the end of the log
 - Then "write-behind" to disk by writing updates to disk
 - Clear the log
- Rollback
 - Clear the log
- Crash recovery
 - If there is no "commit" in the log, do nothing
 - If there is "commit," replay the log and clear the log

Assumptions

- Writing to disk is correct (recall the error detection and correction)
- Disk is in a good state before we start



An Example: Atomic Money Transfer

- Move \$100 from account S to C (1 thread):
 BeginTransaction
 - S = S \$100;
 - C = C + \$100;
 - Commit
- Steps:
 - 1: Write new value of S to log
 - 2: Write new value of C to log
 - 3: Write commit
 - 4: Write S to disk
 - 5: Write C to disk
 - 6: Clear the log

Possible crashes

- After 1
- After 2
- After 3 before 4 and 5
- Questions
 - Can we swap 3 with 4?
 - Can we swap 4 and 5?





S=700 C=110 Commit

Revisit The Implementation

BeginTransaction

- Start using a "write-ahead" log on disk
- Log all updates
- Commit
 - Write "commit" at the end of the log
 - Then "write-behind" to disk by writing updates to disk
 - Clear the log
- Rollback
 - Clear the log
- Crash recovery
 - If there is no "commit" in the log, do nothing
 - If there is "commit," replay the log and clear the log
- Questions
 - What is "commit?"
 - What if there is a crash during the recovery?



Two-Phase Locking for Transactions

- First phase
 - Acquire all locks
- Second phase
 - Commit operation release all locks (no individual release operations)
 - Rollback operation always undo the changes first and then release all locks



Use Transactions in File Systems

Make a file operation a transaction

- Create a file
- Move a file
- Write a chunk of data
- ...
- Would this eliminate any need to run fsck after a crash?

Make arbitrary number of file operations a transaction

- Just keep logging but make sure that things are idempotent: making a very long transaction
- Recovery by replaying the log and correct the file system
- This is called logging file system or journaling file system
- Almost all new file systems are journaling (Windows NTFS, Veritas file system, file systems on Linux)



Issue with Logging: Performance

- For every disk write, we now have two disk writes (on different parts of the disk)?
 - It is not so bad because once written to the log, it is safe to do real writes later
- Performance tricks
 - Changes made in memory and then logged to disk
 - Log writes are sequential (synchronous writes can be fast if on a separate disk)
 - Merge multiple writes to the log with one write
 - Use NVRAM (Non-Volatile RAM) to keep the log



Log Management

- How big is the log? Same size as the file system?
- Observation
 - Log what's needed for crash recovery
- Management method
 - Checkpoint operation: flush the buffer cache to disk
 - After a checkpoint, we can truncate log and start again
 - Log needs to be big enough to hold changes in memory
- Some logging file systems log only metadata (file descriptors and directories) and not file data to keep log size down
 - Would this be a problem?



What to Log?

Physical blocks (directory blocks and inode blocks)

- Easy to implement but takes more space
- Which block image?
 - Before operation: Easy to go backward during recovery
 - After operation: Easy to go forward during recovery.
 - Both: Can go either way.
- Logical operations
 - Example: Add name "foo" to directory #41
 - More compact
 - But more work at recovery time



Log-structured File System (LFS)

- Structure the entire file system as a log with segments
- A segment has i-nodes, indirect blocks, and data blocks
- All writes are sequential (no seeks)
- There will be holes when deleting files
- Questions
 - What about read performance?
 - How would you clean (garbage collection)?





Summary

File buffer cache

- True LRU is possible
- Simple write back is volnerable to crashes
- Disk block failures and file system recovery tools
 - Individual recovery tools
 - Top down traversal tools
- Consistent updates
 - Transactions and ACID properties
 - Logging or Journaling file systems

