

COS 495 - Lecture 13 Autonomous Robot Navigation

Instructor: Chris Clark Semester: Fall 2011

Figures courtesy of Siegwart & Nourbakhsh



Control Structure





Localization: Outline

1. Localization Tools

- 1. Belief representation
- 2. Map representation
- 3. Probability Theory
- 2. Overview of Algorithms



- Our belief representation refers to the method we describe our estimate of the robot state.
- So far we have been using a Continuous Belief representation.





- We can provide a description of the level of confidence we have in our estimate.
- We typically use a Gaussian distribution to model the state of the robot.
- We need to know the variance of this Gaussian!





 For example, consider modeling our robot's position with a 2D Gaussian:









Continuous (multiple hypothesis)





 Or, we could assign a probability of being in some discrete locations:

Grid



Topological





Discretized (prob. Distribution)



Discretized Topological (prob. dist.)





- Continuous
 - Precision bound by sensor data
 - Typically single hypothesis pose estimate
 - Lost when diverging (for single hypothesis)
 - Compact representation
 - Reasonable in processing power



- Discrete
 - Precision bound by resolution of discretization
 - Typically multiple hypothesis pose estimate
 - Never lost (when diverges converges to another cell).
 - Memory and processing power needed (unless topological map used)
 - Aids discrete planner implementation



Multi-Hypothesis Example



Path of the robot

Belief states at positions 2, 3 and 4



Localization: Outline

1. Localization Tools

- 1. Belief representation
- 2. Map representation
- 3. Probability Theory
- 2. Overview of Algorithms



- The application determines Map precision
- The map and feature precisions must match the sensor precisions
- There is a clear trade-off between precision and computational complexity
- Similar to belief representations, there are two main types:
 - Continuous
 - Discretized



Continous line-based





Exact cell decomposition





Fixed cell decomposition





Fixed cell decomposition





Adaptive cell decomposition





Topological decomposition





Topological decomposition





Topological decomposition





Localization: Outline

1. Localization Tools

- 1. Belief representation
- 2. Map representation
- 3. Probability Theory
- 2. Overview of Algorithms



Basic Probability Theory

Probability that A is true

P(A)

- We compute the probability of each robot state given actions and measurements.
- Conditional Probability that A is true given that B is true

P(A | *B)*

• For example, the probability that the robot is at position x_t given the sensor input z_t is $P(x_t | z_t)$



Basic Probability Theory

Product Rule:

$$p(A \land B) = p(A | B) p(B)$$

 $p(A \land B) = p(B | A) p(A)$

Can equate above expressions to derive Bayes rule.

Bayes Rule:

$$p(A \mid B) = \frac{p(B \mid A) p(A)}{p(B)}$$



Localization: Outline

1. Localization Tools

2. Overview of Algorithms

- 1. Typical Methods
- 2. Basic Structure



- Problem Statement:
 - Determine the state of a robot in a known environment.
- Strategy:
 - It might start to move from a known location, and keep track of its position using odometry.
 - However, the more it moves the greater the uncertainty in its position.
 - Therefore, it will update its position estimate using observation of its environment



- Method:
 - Fuse the odometric position estimate with the observation estimate to get best possible update of actual position
- This can be implemented with two main functions:
 - 1. Act
 - 2. See



- Action Update (Prediction)
 - Define function to predict position estimate based on previous state x_{t-1} and encoder measurement o_t or control inputs u_t

$$x'_{t} = Act (o_{t}, x_{t-1})$$

Increases uncertainty



- Perception Update (Correction)
 - Define function to correct position estimate x'_t using exteroceptive sensor inputs z_t

$$x_t = \text{See}(z_t, x'_t)$$

Decreases uncertainty



 Motion generally improves the position estimate.





Map-Based Localization Kalman Filtering vs. Markov

- Markov Localization
 - Can localize from any unknown position in map
 - Recovers from ambiguous situation
 - However, to update the probability of all positions within the whole state space requires discrete representation of space.
 This can require large amounts of memory and processing power.

- Kalman Filter Localization
 - Tracks the robot and is inherently precise and efficient
 - However, if uncertainty grows too large, the KF will fail and the robot will get lost.



Particle Filter Example

