# Ordinary Differential Equations Part 2

COS 323

#### Last time

- Differential equations
- Numerical methods for solving ODE initial value problems
  - Euler's method
  - Heun's method
  - Runge-Kutta methods
  - Adaptive methods (e.g., adaptive R. K.)

# Today

- More methods for initial value problems
  - Stiff ODEs
  - Backward Euler
  - Multi-step methods
    - Adams methods
- Boundary value problems
  - Definition
  - Shooting method
  - Finite difference method
  - Collocation method

# Stiff ODEs and Implicit Methods

### Stiff ODE

 May involve transients, rapidly oscillating components: rates of change much smaller than interval of study



from Chapra & Canale

### Non-stiff ODE



### Stiff ODE



See http://www.cse.illinois.edu/iem/ode/stiff/

Consider scalar ODE

$$y' = -100y + 100t + 101$$

with initial condition y(0) = 1

- General solution is y(t) = 1 + t + ce<sup>-100t</sup>, and particular solution satisfying initial condition is y(t) = 1 + t
   (i.e., c = 0)
- Since solution is linear, Euler's method is theoretically exact for this problem
- However, to illustrate effect of using finite precision arithmetic, let us perturb initial value slightly

 With step size h = 0.1, first few steps for given initial values are

t	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
exact sol.	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.40
Euler sol.	0.99	1.19	0.39	8.59	-64.2
Euler sol.	1.01	1.01	2.01	-5.99	67.0

- Computed solution is incredibly sensitive to initial value, as each tiny perturbation results in wildly different solution
- Any point deviating from desired particular solution, even by only small amount, lies on different solution, for which c ≠ 0, and therefore rapid transient of general solution is present

# Solving Stiff ODEs

- Adaptive Runge-Kutta?
  - Step size for stability may be VERY small, even when y not changing rapidly
- Implicit methods are often preferred method

#### Euler's method

• Known: 
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y)$$
  
 $y = y_0$  at  $t = t_0$ 

• What is  $y_1$  at time  $t_1 = t_0 + h$ ?



### Backward (Implicit) Euler's method

• Known: 
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y)$$
  
 $y = y_0$  at  $t = t_0$ 

• What is  $y_1$  at time  $t_1 = t_0 + h$ ?

$$y_1 = y_0 + f(t_1, y_1)h$$

• How to solve?

- Fixed-point iteration or Newton-Raphson

# Implicit Methods

- Backward Euler
  - "Unconditionally stable" <sup>(C)</sup>
    - Unstable ODE still problematic
  - Can take larger step sizes
    - Can be more efficient, even given root-finding at each step!
  - Global error O(h) ⊗
- Higher-order methods exist
  - e.g., second-order implicit trapezoid, implicit R.K.
     methods…

Multistep methods

# Single step methods

- Use information at a single t<sub>i</sub> to predict
   y<sub>i+1</sub> at t<sub>i+1</sub>
- Includes Euler, Heun, R.K., etc.



Multistep methods

 Use information we've already learned from points at t<sub>i-1</sub>, t<sub>i-2</sub>, etc.



### Heun (from last class)

- Predict y<sub>i</sub>, then use slope at y<sub>i</sub> to correct the prediction.
- Predictor: Euler's method  $y_{i+1}^0 = y_i + f(t_i, y_i)h$
- Corrector: Trapezoidal rule

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{f(t_i, y_i) + f(t_i, y_{i+1}^0)}{2}h$$

# Non-self-starting Heun

- Use information from a previous point to improve prediction
- Old predictor:

$$y_{i+1}^0 = y_i + f(t_i, y_i)h$$

• New predictor:

$$y_{i+1}^0 = y_{i-1} + f(t_i, y_i)2h$$

Improves accuracy of predictor from O(h<sup>2</sup>) to O(h<sup>3</sup>)

# Non-self-starting Heun



#### Predictor & Midpoint Rule

$$\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_{i+1}} dy = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t, y) dt$$
$$y_{i+1} - y_{i-1} = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i+1}} f(t, y) dt$$

 $y_{i+1} \cong y_{i-1} + 2hf(t_i, y_i)$ 

# Corrector & Trapezoidal Rule

$$dy/dt = f(t,y)$$
  

$$\int_{y_i}^{y_{i+1}} dy = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t,y) dt$$
  

$$y_{i+1} - y_i = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t,y) dt$$
  

$$y_{i+1} \cong y_i + \frac{f(t_i, y_i) + f(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1})}{2}h$$

### NSS Heun Error

- Use knowledge of error of midpoint and trapezoidal rules to determine O(h<sup>3</sup>) for both predictor and corrector
- Can relate error of predictor and corrector to get error estimate for a step:

$$E_{c} = -\frac{y_{i+1}^{0} - y_{i+1}^{corrected}}{5}$$

 Use error to modify corrector and/or adaptively adjust step size

# More multi-step methods

- Open formula used as predictor to obtain initial estimate, then closed formula used to correct the predictor
- Can use higher-order predictors and correctors...

#### Newton-Cotes formulas

• For **open** formulas:

$$y_{i+1} = y_{i-n} + \int_{t_{i-n}}^{t_{i+1}} f_n(t,y) dt$$

- where f<sub>n</sub>(t,y) is an n-point interpolating polynomial (e.g., n=1 yields midpoint rule)
- Closed:

$$y_{i+1} = y_{i-n+1} + \int_{t_{i-n+1}}^{t_{i+1}} f_n(t,y) dt$$

n=2 yields Trapezoidal rule; n=3 yields Simpson's rule; etc.

#### Adams-Bashforth Formulas

- Open formulas
- Use forward Taylor series expansion around t<sub>i</sub> and backward differences to approximate derivatives of f

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + f_i h + \frac{f'_i}{2} h^2 + \frac{f''_i}{6} h^3 + \dots$$
  
Approximate  $f'_i$  as  $f'_i = \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{h} + \frac{f''_i}{2} h^2 + O(h^2)$ 

#### Adams-Moulton Formulas

- Closed formulas
- Use backward Taylor series expansion around t<sub>i</sub> and backward differences to approximate derivatives of f

$$y_{i} = y_{i+1} - f_{i+1}h + \frac{f_{i+1}'}{2}h^{2} + \frac{f_{i+1}''}{6}h^{3} + \dots$$
  
Approximate  $f_{i+1}'$  as  $f_{i+1}' = \frac{f_{i+1} - f_{i}}{h} + \frac{f_{i+1}''}{2}h^{2} + O(h^{2})$ 

#### About the Adams formulas

- Choice of truncation point for Taylor Series estimate yields formulas of different order
- Adams formula of order n incurs truncation error of O(h<sup>n+1</sup>)
- Can couple Adams-Bashforth predictor with Adams-Moulton corrector
- 4<sup>th</sup>-order Adams Method: very popular

### Comments on Multistep Methods

- Non-self-starting
- Changing step size is complicated
- Predictor and corrector yield good local error estimate
- Implicit\*\* methods have greater stability than explicit methods, but require iterative correction
   \*\*e.g., Adams-Moulton by itself is implicit
- If order >2, an implicit method is never unconditionally stable
- Especially effective for stiff equations

#### Review of IVP methods

- Euler's: 1-step, O(h), 1<sup>st</sup> order R.K.
- Heun: 1-step, O(h<sup>2</sup>), 2<sup>nd</sup>order R.K., iterative correction step
- Second-order Ralston: 1-step, O(h<sup>2</sup>), optimal 2<sup>nd</sup>-order R.K.
- 4<sup>th</sup>-order Runge-Kutta: O(h<sup>4</sup>), very popular

**Embedded** Runge-Kutta: O(h<sup>5</sup>), allows efficient step-size adjustment

- Backward Euler: O(h), stable, usually requires root-finding
- Non-self-starting Heun:
   O(h<sup>3</sup>), multi-step, iterative correction
- Fourth-order Adams:
   O(h<sup>5</sup>), multi-step, popular

# Boundary-Value Problems

### IVPs vs BVPs

- An nth-order ODE requires n auxiliary conditions
- Can transform nth-order ODE into system of n firstorder ODEs
- Initial Value Problems
  - All n conditions specified at same value of dependent variable (e.g., t<sub>0</sub>)
- Boundary Value Problems
  - Conditions known at different values of t (often extreme values)
  - Can't start with one value of t for which we know the solution!

Example BVP

- y" = F/m
- IVP: y=0 at t=0, y' = 0 at t=0
- BVP: y=0 at t=0, y=10 at t=100
  or y = 0 at t = 0, y'=.3 at t=100

Translating higher-order ODE into system of equations

For *k*-th order ODE

$$y^{(k)}(t) = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(k-1)})$$

define k new unknown functions

$$u_1(t) = y(t), \ u_2(t) = y'(t), \ \dots, \ u_k(t) = y^{(k-1)}(t)$$

Then original ODE is equivalent to first-order system

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1'(t) \\ u_2'(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_{k-1}'(t) \\ u_k'(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_2(t) \\ u_3(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_k(t) \\ f(t, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Two-point BVP for second-order scalar ODE

$$u'' = f(t, u, u'), \qquad a < t < b$$

with BC

$$u(a) = \alpha, \qquad u(b) = \beta$$

is equivalent to first-order system of ODEs

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1'\\y_2' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_2\\f(t,y_1,y_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad a < t < b$$
with separated linear BC
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1(\hat{a})\\y_2(a) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1(b)\\y_2(b) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\\\beta \end{bmatrix}$$