

Assignment #3

*Due: Friday, Oct 29 6PM**Sanjeev Arora*

Suggested reading: Sipser Chapters 4, 5, 6.

Collaboration Policy

You are allowed to collaborate with other people enrolled in this class. If you solved a particular problem in collaboration with somebody else, please mention the collaborator(s) name.

It is a violation of class rules to look at solutions to any of the problems from any other person or source, including online ones.

Problems:

1. Show that the Post Correspondence Problem (see section 5.2), over a unary alphabet, is decidable.
2. Let $J = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{either } w = 0x \text{ for some } x \in A_{TM}, \text{ or } w = 1y \text{ for some } y \in \overline{A_{TM}}\}$. Show that neither J nor $\overline{J}$ is Turing recognizable.
3. Suppose the Church Turing Thesis is false, and some advanced civilization has figured out how to solve the halting problem for TMs. They wish to send a brief message to the rest of the universe allowing living beings everywhere to solve the halting problem. Imagine that the set of valid inputs for the halting problem are numbered $1, 2, 3, \dots$ and everybody agrees about this numbering. Show that the length of the message needed to decide the halting problem on the first i inputs is only $\lceil \log i \rceil$ (for large enough i).
4. Prove that a language L is recursively enumerable if and only if it can be expressed as

$$L = \{x \mid \text{there exists } y \text{ such that } \langle x, y \rangle \in R\}$$

where R is a decidable language. In other words, you must prove that every language of this form is RE, and that every RE language has a related decidable language R that allows it to be expressed exactly as above.

5. (Rice's Theorem)

Let P be a nontrivial property of the language of a Turing Machine. Prove that the property of determining whether a TM's language has property P is undecidable.

More formally, let P be a language consisting of TM descriptions, where P fulfills two conditions. First, P is nontrivial – it contains some, but not all TM descriptions.

Second, P is a property of the language – if $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ then $\langle M_1 \rangle \in P$ iff $\langle M_2 \rangle \in P$.

Prove that P is undecidable.

6. Using Rice's Theorem, prove the undecidability of the following languages:

(a) $INFINITE_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is an infinite language} \}$.

(b) $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } 1011 \in L(M) \}$.

(c) $ALL_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$.

7. Describe how you could write a Java program which, in the spirit of the Recursion Theorem (6.3), prints out its own source code.

8. Show that the function $K(x)$ (see definition 6.28) is uncomputable.